

PREPARED FOR:

Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency 350 Front Street, Room 234-A Hempstead, NY 11550

Economic and Fiscal Impact

WEST JAMAICA HOLDINGS

Town of Hempstead
Industrial Development Agency

AUGUST 29, 2023

PREPARED BY:



PO Box 3547 Saratoga Springs, NY 12866 518.899.2608 www.camoinassociates.com

ABOUT THE STUDY

Camoin Associates was retained by the Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency to measure the potential economic and fiscal impacts of a project proposed by West Jamaica Holdings. The proposed project involves the construction of a 63-unit residential apartment building at 54 and 68 West Jamaica Ave, Valley Stream NY, 11580. The goal of this analysis is to provide a complete assessment of the total economic, employment, and tax impact of the project on the Town of Hempstead and Village of Valley Stream that results from the new household spending and on-site operations.

The primary tool used in this analysis is the input-output model developed by Lightcast. Primary data used in this study was obtained from the developer's application for financial assistance to the Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency and included the following data points: on-site jobs, exemptions, and PILOT schedule. Secondary data was collected by Camoin Associates and used to estimate spending by new households.

The economic impacts are presented in four categories: direct impact, indirect impact, induced impact, and total impact. The indirect and induced impacts are commonly referred to as the "multiplier effect." Note that previous impact reports commissioned by the Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency were

STUDY INFORMATION

Data Source:

West Jamaica Holdings
Application for Assistance and the
Town of Hempstead Industrial
Development Agency

Geography: Town of Hempstead Village of Valley Stream

Study Period: 2023

Modeling Tool: Lightcast

presented in only three categories: direct impact, indirect impact, and total impact. Prior to 2020, Camoin Associates included both the indirect and induced impacts in the "indirect impact" category. Beginning in 2020, the indirect and induced impacts will be reported separately to allow for more accurate interpretation of results.

DIRECT IMPACTS

This initial round of impacts is generated as a result of spending on operations and new household spending at town businesses.

INDIRECT IMPACTS

The direct impacts have ripple effects through business-to-business spending. This spending results from the increase in demand for goods and services in industry sectors that supply both the facility and the businesses receiving the new household spending.

INDUCED IMPACTS

Impacts that result from spending by facility employees, employees of town businesses, and employees of suppliers. Earnings of these employees enter the economy as employees spend their paychecks in the town on food, clothing, and other goods and services.



CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS	3
FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	9
Attachment A: What is Economic Impact Analysis?	18
Attachment B: Calculating Net New Households	19
Attachment C: Study Areas	20



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") received an application for financial assistance from West Jamaica Holdings (the "Applicant") for the construction of 63 residential market-rate units (the "Project") at 54 and 68 West Jamaica Ave, Valley Stream, NY (the "Site"). The development will consist of 16 studio, 29 one-bedroom, and 18 two-bedroom units along with 56 on-site parking spots. The Applicant is seeking a sales tax exemption, mortgage recording tax exemption, and a 15-year PILOT from the Agency. The Agency commissioned Camoin Associates to conduct an economic and limited fiscal impact analysis of the Project on the Town of Hempstead (the Town) and the Village of Valley Stream (the Village).

Camoin Associates conducted a market analysis and determined 79% of the market rate units (or 50 units) would be considered as providing "net new" households to the town as they allow households to exist in the town that would otherwise locate elsewhere. We then computed the total spending associated with these households to derive job creation resulting from the Project. The following is a summary of our findings from this study, with details below and in the following sections.

Table 1

Summary of Benefits to Town		
Total Jobs		18
Direct Jobs		13
Total Earnings	\$	1,066,884
Direct Earnings	\$	695,948
Annual Sales Tax Revenue to County	\$	32,614
Annual Sales Tax Revenue to Town	\$	2,878
Average Annual PILOT Payment	\$	359,758
Average Annual PILOT Payment to Town	\$	1,516
Average Annual PILOT Benefit	\$	177,269
Average Annual PILOT Benefit to Town	\$	747
	\$	3,625
Average Annual Net Benefit to Town	.	5/025

Summary of Benefits to Village	
Total Jobs	7
Direct Jobs	7
Total Earnings	\$ 397,412
Direct Earnings	\$ 347,613
Average Annual PILOT Payment	\$ 359,758
Average Annual PILOT Payment to Village	\$ 40,957
Average Annual PILOT Benefit	\$ 177,269
Average Annual PILOT Benefit to Village	\$ 20,182
Average Annual Net Benefit to Village	\$ 20,182

• The Project supports 18 net new jobs in the town and 7 net new jobs in the village, with \$1,066,884 and \$397,412 million in associated earnings, respectfully. These figures include net new jobs resulting from both maintenance and operation of the facility as well as economic activity that results from new household spending.



- The Applicant has negotiated terms of a proposed PILOT agreement for a term of 15 years with the Agency, where the Applicant would pay an average of \$359,758 each year, of which \$1,516 are estimated to be allocated to the Town and \$40,957 are estimated to be allocated to the village. The PILOT payments represent an overall all average annual benefit of \$177,269 with a net benefit of \$747 to the town and \$20,182 to the village.
- Through negotiations with the Agency, the Applicant could have access to a sales tax exemption valued at up to \$1,096,306 and a mortgage recording tax exemption valued at up to \$183,467. However, if we assume that the Project would not occur absent IDA benefits, this is not actually a "cost" to the state and county since no future revenue stream would exist without the exemptions.

Table 3 Summary of Costs to Affected Jurisdictions

	S	tate and County
Sales Tax Exemption	\$	1,096,306
Mortgage Tax Exemption	\$	183,467

Source: Applicant, Camoin Associates



ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

The estimates of direct economic activity generated by facility operation and new resident spending as provided by the Applicant were used as the direct inputs for the economic impact model. Camoin Associates uses the input-output model designed by Lightcast (formerly Emsi) to calculate total economic impacts. Lightcast allows the analyst to input the amount of new direct economic activity (spending or jobs) occurring within the town and uses the direct inputs to estimate the spillover effects that the net new spending or jobs have as these new dollars circulate through the region's economy. This is captured in the indirect and induced impacts and is commonly referred to as the "multiplier effect." See Attachment A for more information on economic impact analysis.

The Project would have economic impacts upon the Town of Hempstead and the Village of Valley Stream as a result of Project operation, new permanent jobs, and spending by new tenant households.

CONSTRUCTION PHASE IMPACTS

The Applicant estimates that private sector investment in the construction of the Project would cost approximately \$24.0 million¹, of which 70%² is assumed to be sourced from within the town. This means that there will be \$16.8 million in net new spending in the town associated with the construction phase of the Project.

Table 4

Construction Phase Spending - Town				
Total Construction Cost	\$	24,035,506		
Percent Sourced from Town		70%		
Net New Constuction Spending	\$	16,824,854		

Source: Applicant, Camoin Associates

Based on \$16.8 million worth of net new direct spending associated with the construction phase of the Project, Camoin Associates determined that there would be over \$21.4 million in total one-time construction related spending supporting 86 total jobs and an associated over \$8.1 million in earnings over the construction period throughout the town. Table 5 outlines the economic impacts of construction.

Table 5

Town Economic Impact - Co	onstruction Phase
----------------------------------	-------------------

	Jobs	Earnings	Sales
Direct	64	\$ 6,582,101	\$ 16,824,854
Indirect	11	\$ 773,835	\$ 2,503,814
Induced	11	\$ 827,998	\$ 2,149,456
Total	86	\$ 8,183,934	\$ 21,478,123

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates

Of the total construction cost, 30%³ is assumed to be sourced from within the village. This means that there will be over \$7.2 million in net new spending in the village associated with the construction phase of the Project.

³ According to Lightcast, approximately 30% of construction industry demand is met within the village.



3

¹ Includes project costs as provided by the Applicant, excluding acquisition, legal charges, and financial charges.

² According to Lightcast, approximately 70% of construction industry demand is met within the town.

Table 6

Construction	Phase S	Spending	- Village

Net New Constuction Spending	\$ 7,210,652
Percent Sourced from Village	30%
Total Construction Cost	\$ 24,035,506

Source: Applicant, Camoin Associates

Based on over \$7.2 million worth of net new direct spending associated with the construction phase of the Project, Camoin Associates determined that there would be over \$7.5 million in total one-time construction related spending supporting 62 jobs and an associated over \$2.9 million in earnings over the construction period throughout the village. Table 7 outlines the economic impacts of construction.

Table 7

Village Economic Impact - Construction Phase

	Jobs	Earnings	Sales
Direct	60	\$ 2,820,865	\$ 7,210,562
Indirect	1	\$ 54,199	\$ 209,040
Induced	1	\$ 45,814	\$ 128,313
Total	62	\$ 2,920,878	\$ 7,547,915

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates



IMPACTS OF NEW HOUSEHOLD SPENDING

To determine the annual economic impact of the Project on the town and village, the first step is to calculate the number of households that can be considered "net new" to the economy. In other words, the number of households that, but for the Project, would not exist in the Town of Hempstead. With respect to this Project, net new households consist of those who are able to live in the jurisdictions as a result of the Project and would otherwise choose to live elsewhere. See Attachment B for more information on this methodology.

The Applicant proposes to construct 63 market-rate units. Camoin Associates conducted a rental demand analysis for the Project site and found that 79% of the market-rate units, or 50 units, are net new to the town (Table 8). This is based on a review of the data and an understanding of the proposed Project as detailed above.

Table 8

Net New Households

	Total Households	Percent Net New	Net New Households
Residential Units	63	79%	50
Total	63	79 %	50

Source: Esri, Camoin Associates

SPENDING BY NEW TENANTS

These residents make purchases in the town, thereby adding new dollars to the Town of Hempstead's economy. For this analysis, we researched spending patterns by household income to determine the spending by tenants.

The 63 units will all be market-rate residential units. The Town of Hempstead Area Median Income (AMI) is \$122,805, as a result of all of the units being market-rate, we will consider spending for tenants to be in the \$100,000 to \$149,999 spending basket, per the Bureau of Labor Statistics' 2020 Consumer Expenditure Survey.

Using a spending basket for the region which details household spending in individual consumer categories by income level, we analyzed likely tenant spending. According to the 2020 Consumer Expenditure Survey, households in these units have annual expenditures (excluding housing and utility costs) of \$44,188.

It is assumed that 60%⁴ of total expenditures would occur within the Town of Hempstead and, therefore, have an impact on the town's economy and that 25% of expenditures would occur within the village⁵. The total net new spending columns show the total amount spent in the town and village, based on the number of net new units.

⁵ According to Lightcast, 25% of demand for industries in a typical household spending basket is met within the Village of Valley Stream.



_

⁴ According to Lightcast, 60% of demand for industries in a typical household spending basket is met within the Town of Hempstead.

Table 9

Tenant Town Spending Basket

Multifamily Residential Apartment Units (\$100,000 to \$149,999 Annual Household Income)

Category	ual per Unit ding Basket	mount Spent in Town (60%)	Total Net New Town pending (63 net new units)
Food	\$ 9,901	\$ 5,941	\$ 374,258
Household furnishings and equipment	\$ 2,909	\$ 1,745	\$ 109,960
Apparel and services	\$ 2,037	\$ 1,222	\$ 76,999
Transportation	\$ 14,888	\$ 8,933	\$ 562,766
Health care	\$ 6,508	\$ 3,905	\$ 246,002
Entertainment	\$ 4,331	\$ 2,599	\$ 163,712
Personal care products and services	\$ 934	\$ 560	\$ 35,305
Education	\$ 1,494	\$ 896	\$ 56,473
Miscellaneous	\$ 1,186	\$ 712	\$ 44,831
Total Tenant Spending	\$ 44,188	\$ 26,513	\$ 1,670,306

Source: 2020 Consumer Expenditure Survey, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Table 10

Tenant Village Spending Basket

Multifamily Residential Apartment Units (\$100,000 to \$149,999 Annual Household Income)

Category	ual per Unit ding Basket	A	mount Spent in Village (25%)	otal Net New Village pending (63 net new units)
Food	\$ 9,901	\$	2,475	\$ 155,941
Household furnishings and equipment	\$ 2,909	\$	727	\$ 45,817
Apparel and services	\$ 2,037	\$	509	\$ 32,083
Transportation	\$ 14,888	\$	3,722	\$ 234,486
Health care	\$ 6,508	\$	1,627	\$ 102,501
Entertainment	\$ 4,331	\$	1,083	\$ 68,213
Personal care products and services	\$ 934	\$	234	\$ 14,711
Education	\$ 1,494	\$	374	\$ 23,531
Miscellaneous	\$ 1,186	\$	297	\$ 18,680
Total Tenant Spending	\$ 44,188	\$	11,047	\$ 695,961

Source: 2020 Consumer Expenditure Survey, Bureau of Labor Statistics

The total net new spending in the town and the village was calculated by multiplying the amount spent in each region by the number of net new units. As shown in the table above, spending in the town by all new households would total nearly \$1.7 million per year of which \$695,961 would occur within the village. We used the above spending basket amounts to calculate the direct, indirect, and total impact of the Project on the town and the village.



Using over \$1.6 million as the new sales input, Camoin Associates employed Lightcast to determine the indirect, induced, and total impact of the Project on the Town of Hempstead. Table 11 outlines the findings of this analysis.

Table 11

Town Economic Impact - Household Spending

	Jobs	Earnings	Sales
Direct	11 \$	589,922 \$	1,670,306
Indirect	2 \$	144,945 \$	399,929
Induced	2 \$	148,060 \$	380,430
Total	15 \$	882,926 \$	2,450,665

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates

The following table outlines the impact of the Project on the Village of Valley Stream using the \$695,961 as the new sales input.

Table 12

Village Economic Impact - Household Spending

	Jobs	Earnings	Sales
Direct	5	\$ 245,801	\$ 695,961
Indirect	0	\$ 13,365	\$ 34,462
Induced	0	\$ 18,360	\$ 57,489
Total	5	\$ 277,525	\$ 787,912

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates

⁶ Analysis uses the 34 zip codes that are predominantly located within the Town of Hempstead (see Attachment C).



-

IMPACTS OF ON-SITE EMPLOYMENT

The Applicant anticipates that 2 total jobs will be on-site within two years following Project. Since 79% of the housing units are considered net new to the town, 79% of the jobs are considered to be net new. The table below detail the impact that these 2 net new jobs will have on the Town of Hempstead (Table 13).

Table 13 **Town Economic Impact - On-Site Operations**

	Jobs		Earnings		Sales			
Direct	2	\$	106,026	\$	362,280			
Indirect	1	\$	54,842	\$	158,393			
Induced	0	\$	23,090	\$	59,605			
Total	3	\$	183,958	\$	580,278			

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates

The following table shows the impact on the village from the two on-site jobs.

Table 14

Village Economic Impact - On-Site Operations

	Jobs	Earnings	Sales
Direct	2	\$ 101,812	\$ 347,881
Indirect	0	\$ 14,262	\$ 36,930
Induced	0	\$ 3,813	\$ 11,696
Total	2	\$ 119,887	\$ 396,507

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates



TOTAL ANNUAL ECONOMIC IMPACT

The complete economic impact of both new household spending as well as on-site operation and maintenance of the Project on the Town of Hempstead in Table.

Table 15

Town Total Annual Economic Impact

	Jobs	Earnings	Sales
Direct	13	\$ 695,948	\$ 2,032,587
Indirect	3	\$ 199,786	\$ 558,322
Induced	2	\$ 171,150	\$ 440,035
Total	18	\$ 1,066,884	\$ 3,030,944

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates

Table 16 shows the complete annual economic impact of the Project on the Village of Valley Stream.

Table 16

Village Total Annual Economic Impact

	Jobs	Earnings	Sales
Direct	7	\$ 347,613	\$ 1,043,842
Indirect	0	\$ 27,626	\$ 71,392
Induced	0	\$ 22,173	\$ 69,185
Total	7	\$ 397,412	\$ 1,184,420

Source: Lightcast, Camoin Associates

Note that town impacts are inclusive of village impacts. Town and village impacts should not be added together.



FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

In addition to the economic impact of the Project on the local economies (outlined above), there would also be a fiscal impact in terms of annual property tax and sales tax generation. The following section of the analysis outlines the impact of the completion of the Project on the local taxing jurisdictions in terms of the cost and/or benefit to municipal budgets.

PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES (PILOT)

The Applicant has applied to the Agency for a Payment In Lieu of Taxes (PILOT) agreement. The Applicant has proposed a PILOT (15 years) payment schedule based on the current tax rate, taxable value, and assessed value of the Project. Based on the terms of the PILOT as proposed, Camoin Associates calculated the potential impact on the Town of Hempstead and other applicable jurisdictions.

Table 17

Tax Payments with PILOT

		Total		P	ortion of Pa	ym	ent by Jurisdicti	on	
Year	PIL	.OT Payments	Town		County		School District		Village
1	\$	62,122	\$ 262	\$	7,640	\$	47,148	\$	7,072
2	\$	62,122	\$ 262	\$	7,640	\$	47,148	\$	7,072
3	\$	62,122	\$ 262	\$	7,640	\$	47,148	\$	7,072
4	\$	160,000	\$ 674	\$	19,677	\$	121,434	\$	18,215
5	\$	190,000	\$ 801	\$	23,366	\$	144,202	\$	21,631
6	\$	230,000	\$ 969	\$	28,285	\$	174,561	\$	26,185
7	\$	260,000	\$ 1,096	\$	31,975	\$	197,330	\$	29,600
8	\$	310,000	\$ 1,306	\$	38,124	\$	235,278	\$	35,292
9	\$	360,000	\$ 1,517	\$	44,273	\$	273,225	\$	40,985
10	\$	425,000	\$ 1,791	\$	52,266	\$	322,558	\$	48,385
11	\$	500,000	\$ 2,107	\$	61,490	\$	379,480	\$	56,923
12	\$	600,000	\$ 2,528	\$	73,788	\$	455,376	\$	68,308
13	\$	700,000	\$ 2,950	\$	86,086	\$	531,272	\$	79,693
14	\$	725,000	\$ 3,055	\$	89,160	\$	550,246	\$	82,539
15	\$	750,000	\$ 3,160	\$	92,235	\$	569,220	\$	85,385
Total	\$	5,396,367	\$ 22,739	\$	663,644	\$	4,095,625	\$	614,359
Average	\$	359,758	\$ 1,516	\$	44,243	\$	273,042	\$	40,957



TAX POLICY COMPARISON

Without the Agency's preliminary inducement to provide financial assistance, Camoin Associates assumes the Applicant would not have acquired the Property and would not undertake the Project. Prior to the inducement the Site was owned by a church organization and no taxes were collected, meaning any taxes collected through the PILOT represent a new benefit to the jurisdiction.

Table 18 calculates the benefit to the affected taxing jurisdictions as the difference between the PILOT payments associated with the Project and the lack of property tax payments without the Project. The total benefit to affected jurisdictions would be over \$2.6 million over the 15-year period.

Table 18 **Tax Policy Comparison (All Jurisdictions)**

Year	Payme	Property Tax Payment Without Project		ILOT ayment	Benefit (Cost) of Project		
1	\$	158,287	\$	62,122	\$	(96,165)	
2	\$	161,453	\$	62,122	\$	(99,331)	
3	\$	164,682	\$	62,122	\$	(102,560)	
4	\$	167,976	\$	160,000	\$	(7,976)	
5	\$	171,335	\$	190,000	\$	18,665	
6	\$	174,762	\$	230,000	\$	55,238	
7	\$	178,257	\$	260,000	\$	81,743	
8	\$	181,822	\$	310,000	\$	128,178	
9	\$	185,459	\$	360,000	\$	174,541	
10	\$	189,168	\$	425,000	\$	235,832	
11	\$	192,951	\$	500,000	\$	307,049	
12	\$	196,810	\$	600,000	\$	403,190	
13	\$	200,747	\$	700,000	\$	499,253	
14	\$	204,761	\$	725,000	\$	520,239	
15	\$	208,857	\$	750,000	\$	541,143	
Total	\$	2,737,328	\$	5,396,367	\$	2,659,039	
Average	\$	182,489	\$	359,758	\$	177,269	



TOWN

Table 19 calculates the benefit to the Town. The Town would receive approximately \$747 more in PILOT revenue annually than it would without the Project. The total benefit to the Town would be \$11,205 over the 15-year period.

Table 19

Tax Policy Comparison for Town

Year	Property Tax Payment Without Project	PILOT Payment	Be	nefit (Cost) of Project
1	\$ 667	\$ 262	\$	(405)
2	\$ 680	\$ 262	\$	(419)
3	\$ 694	\$ 262	\$	(432)
4	\$ 708	\$ 674	\$	(34)
5	\$ 722	\$ 801	\$	79
6	\$ 736	\$ 969	\$	233
7	\$ 751	\$ 1,096	\$	344
8	\$ 766	\$ 1,306	\$	540
9	\$ 781	\$ 1,517	\$	735
10	\$ 797	\$ 1,791	\$	994
11	\$ 813	\$ 2,107	\$	1,294
12	\$ 829	\$ 2,528	\$	1,699
13	\$ 846	\$ 2,950	\$	2,104
14	\$ 863	\$ 3,055	\$	2,192
15	\$ 880	\$ 3,160	\$	2,280
Total	\$ 11,535	\$ 22,739	\$	11,205
Average	\$ 769	\$ 1,516	\$	747



COUNTY

Table 20 calculates the benefit to the County. The County would receive approximately \$21,801 more in PILOT revenue annually than it would without the Project. The total benefit to the County would be \$327,008 over the 15-year period.

Table 20 **Tax Policy Comparison for County**

Property Tax PILOT Benefit (Cost) of Year **Payment Without Payment Project Project** \$ 19,466 \$ \$ (11,826)1 7,640 2 \$ 19,855 \$ 7,640 (12,216)\$ 3 20,253 \$ 7,640 \$ (12,613)4 \$ 20,658 \$ 19,677 \$ (981)5 \$ 21,071 \$ 23,366 \$ 2,295 \$ 6 21,492 \$ 28,285 \$ 6,793 7 \$ 21,922 \$ 31,975 \$ 10,053 8 \$ 22,360 \$ 38,124 \$ 15,763 9 \$ 22,808 \$ 44,273 \$ 21,465 \$ 10 23,264 \$ 52,266 \$ 29,003 \$ 11 23,729 \$ 61,490 \$ 37,761 \$ 12 24,204 \$ 73,788 \$ 49,584 13 \$ 24,688 \$ 86,086 \$ 61,398 \$ 14 25,182 \$ 89,160 \$ 63,979 15 \$ 25,685 \$ 92,235 \$ 66,550 **Total** \$ 336,636 \$ 663,644 \$ 327,008 \$ 22,442 44,243 \$ 21,801 Average



SCHOOL DISTRICT

Table 21 calculates the benefit to the School District. The School District would receive approximately \$134,540 more in PILOT revenue annually than it would without the Project. The total benefit to the School District would be over \$2.0 million over the 15-year period.

Table 21 **Tax Policy Comparison for School District**

Year	Property Tax Payment Without Project	nt Without			nefit (Cost) of Project
1	\$ 120,134	\$	47,148	\$	(72,985)
2	\$ 122,536	\$	47,148	\$	(75,388)
3	\$ 124,987	\$	47,148	\$	(77,839)
4	\$ 127,487	\$	121,434	\$	(6,053)
5	\$ 130,037	\$	144,202	\$	14,166
6	\$ 132,637	\$	174,561	\$	41,923
7	\$ 135,290	\$	197,330	\$	62,040
8	\$ 137,996	\$	235,278	\$	97,282
9	\$ 140,756	\$	273,225	\$	132,470
10	\$ 143,571	\$	322,558	\$	178,987
11	\$ 146,442	\$	379,480	\$	233,038
12	\$ 149,371	\$	455,376	\$	306,005
13	\$ 152,359	\$	531,272	\$	378,913
14	\$ 155,406	\$	550,246	\$	394,840
15	\$ 158,514	\$	569,220	\$	410,706
Total	\$ 2,077,522	\$	4,095,625	\$	2,018,103
Average	\$ 138,501	\$	273,042	\$	134,540



VILLAGE

Table 22 calculates the benefit to the Village. The Village would receive approximately \$20,182 more in PILOT revenue annually than it would without the Project. The total benefit to the Village would be \$302,723 over the 15-year period.

Table 22 **Tax Policy Comparison for Village**

Year	Property Tax Payment Without Project	PILOT Payment	Be	nefit (Cost) of Project
1	\$ 18,020	\$ 7,072	\$	(10,948)
2	\$ 18,381	\$ 7,072	\$	(11,308)
3	\$ 18,749	\$ 7,072	\$	(11,676)
4	\$ 19,123	\$ 18,215	\$	(908)
5	\$ 19,506	\$ 21,631	\$	2,125
6	\$ 19,896	\$ 26,185	\$	6,289
7	\$ 20,294	\$ 29,600	\$	9,306
8	\$ 20,700	\$ 35,292	\$	14,593
9	\$ 21,114	\$ 40,985	\$	19,871
10	\$ 21,536	\$ 48,385	\$	26,849
11	\$ 21,967	\$ 56,923	\$	34,956
12	\$ 22,406	\$ 68,308	\$	45,902
13	\$ 22,854	\$ 79,693	\$	56,838
14	\$ 23,311	\$ 82,539	\$	59,227
15	\$ 23,778	\$ 85,385	\$	61,607
Total	\$ 311,636	\$ 614,359	\$	302,723
Average	\$ 20,776	\$ 40,957	\$	20,182

Source: Town of Hempstead IDA, Camoin Associates

OTHER EXEMPTIONS

There are additional benefits to working with the Agency including a one-time sales tax exemption on renovation materials and furniture, fixtures, and equipment as well as a mortgage recording tax exemption. Tax exemptions are for the state and county taxes and are not applicable to the town.

Summary of Costs to Affected Jurisdictions

	State and County
Sales Tax Exemption	\$ 1,096,306
Mortgage Tax Exemption	\$ 183,467

Source: Applicant, Camoin Associates

The additional incentives offered by the Agency will benefit the Applicant but will not negatively affect the taxing jurisdictions because, without the Project, the Town by definition would not be receiving any associated sales tax or mortgage tax revenue.



SALES TAX REVENUE

SALES TAX REVENUE - CONSTRUCTION PHASE

The one-time construction phase earnings described by the total economic impact of the construction work (described in the above section) would lead to additional sales tax revenue for the Town. It is assumed that 70%⁷ of the construction phase earnings would be spent within the county and that 25% of those purchases would be taxable.

Table 24

One-Time Sales Tax Revenue, Construction Phase		
Total New Earnings	\$	8,183,934
Amount Spent in County (70%)	\$	5,728,754
Amount Taxable (25%)	\$	1,432,188
Nassau County Sales Tax Revenue (4.25%)	\$	60,868
New Town Sales Tax Revenue Portion*		0.375%
New Town Sales Tax Revenue	\$	5,371

Source: Town of Hempstead IDA, Camoin Associates

*Note: Nassau County's sales tax rate is 4.25%, of which 0.75% is allocated to the towns and cities within the county. For this analysis we assume half of the 0.75% is allocated to the Town of Hempstead.

SALES TAX REVENUE - NEW HOUSEHOLD SPENDING

As a result of the Project, the Town would receive sales tax revenue from the purchases made by the households. Table 25 displays the new sales tax revenue that the Town of Hempstead would receive annually based on in-town spending by new households.

Table 25

Annual Sales Tax Revenue, Household Spending		
Total New Spending	\$	2,450,665
Amount Taxable (30%)	\$	735,200
Nassau County Sales Tax Revenue (4.25%)	\$	31,246
New Town Sales Tax Revenue Portion*		0.375%
New Town Tax Revenue	\$	2,757

Source: Town of Hempstead IDA, Camoin Associates

*Note: Nassau County's sales tax rate is 4.25%, of which 0.75% is allocated to the towns and cities within the county. For this analysis we assume half of the 0.75% is allocated to the Town of Hempstead.

Note that the household spending figure has already been adjusted to account for 60% of total spending occurring within the town (see table entitled "Tenant Spending Baskets"). It is assumed that 30% of purchases will be taxable, based on the spending baskets of tenants and the understanding that certain non-taxable items (related to housing expenses) have been removed from the total spending line, this increasing the remaining portion taxable.

⁷ According to Lightcast, 70% demand for industries in a typical household spending basket is met within Nassau County.



-

SALES TAX REVENUE – EMPLOYEE EARNINGS

The earnings generated by on-site jobs that will occur as a result of building operation at the Project (described under Impacts of On-Site Employment) would lead to additional annual sales tax revenue for the town. It is assumed that 70% of the earnings would be spent within Nassau County and that 25% of those purchases will be taxable. Table 26 displays the annual tax revenue that the Town will receive.

Table 26

Annual Sales	Tax Revenue,	On-Site	Operations

Total New Earnings	\$	183,958
Amount Spent in County (70%)	\$	128,770
Amount Taxable (25%)	\$	32,193
Nassau County Sales Tax Revenue (4.25%)		1,368
New Town Sales Tax Revenue Portion*		0.375%
New Town Tax Revenue		121

Source: Town of Hempstead IDA, Camoin Associates

TOTAL ANNUAL SALES TAX REVENUE

The total annual sales tax revenue that the Town will receive is summarized in Table 27.

Table 27

Total Annual Sales Tax Re

Household Spending	\$ 2,757
On-Site Operations	\$ 121
New Town Tax Revenue	\$ 2,878



^{*}Note: Nassau County's sales tax rate is 4.25%, of which 0.75% is allocated to the towns and cities within the county. For this analysis we assume half of the 0.75% is allocated to the Town of Hempstead.

ATTACHMENT A: WHAT IS ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS?

The purpose of conducting an economic impact study is to ascertain the total cumulative changes in employment, earnings and output in a given economy due to some initial "change in final demand". To understand the meaning of "change in final demand", consider the installation of a new widget manufacturer in Anytown, USA. The widget manufacturer sells \$1 million worth of its widgets per year exclusively to consumers in Canada. Therefore, the annual change in final demand in the United States is \$1 million because dollars are flowing in from outside the United States and are therefore "new" dollars in the economy.

This change in final demand translates into the first round of buying and selling that occurs in an economy. For example, the widget manufacturer must buy its inputs of production (electricity, steel, etc.), must lease or purchase property and pay its workers. This first round is commonly referred to as the "Direct Effects" of the change in final demand and is the basis of additional rounds of buying and selling described below.

To continue this example, the widget manufacturer's vendors (the supplier of electricity and the supplier of steel) will enjoy additional output (i.e. sales) that will sustain their businesses and cause them to make additional purchases in the economy. The steel producer will need more pig iron and the electric company will purchase additional power from generation entities. In this second round, some of those additional purchases will be made in the US economy and some will "leak out". What remains will cause a third round (with leakage) and a fourth (and so on) in everdiminishing rounds of industry-to-industry purchases. Finally, the widget manufacturer has employees who will naturally spend their wages. Again, those wages spent will either be for local goods and services or will "leak" out of the economy. The purchases of local goods and services will then stimulate other local economic activity. Together, these effects are referred to as the "Indirect Effects" of the change in final demand.

Therefore, the total economic impact resulting from the new widget manufacturer is the initial \$1 million of new money (i.e. Direct Effects) flowing in the US economy, plus the Indirect Effects. The ratio of Total Effects to Direct Effects is called the "multiplier effect" and is often reported as a dollar-of-impact per dollar-of-change. Therefore, a multiplier of 2.4 means that for every dollar (\$1) of change in final demand, an additional \$1.40 of indirect economic activity occurs for a total of \$2.40.

Key information for the reader to retain is that this type of analysis requires rigorous and careful consideration of the geography selected (i.e. how the "local economy" is defined) and the implications of the geography on the computation of the change in final demand. If this analysis wanted to consider the impact of the widget manufacturer on the entire North American continent, it would have to conclude that the change in final demand is zero and therefore the economic impact is zero. This is because the \$1 million of widgets being purchased by Canadians is not causing total North American demand to increase by \$1 million. Presumably, those Canadian purchasers will have \$1 million less to spend on other items and the effects of additional widget production will be cancelled out by a commensurate reduction in the purchases of other goods and services.

Changes in final demand, and therefore Direct Effects, can occur in a number of circumstances. The above example is easiest to understand: the effect of a manufacturer producing locally but selling globally. If, however, 100% of domestic demand for a good is being met by foreign suppliers (say, DVD players being imported into the US from Korea and Japan), locating a manufacturer of DVD players in the US will cause a change in final demand because all of those dollars currently leaving the US economy will instead remain. A situation can be envisioned whereby a producer is serving both local and foreign demand, and an impact analysis would have to be careful in calculating how many "new" dollars the producer would be causing to occur domestically.



ATTACHMENT B: CALCULATING NET NEW HOUSEHOLDS

"Net new" households that move into a geography because of the availability of desired housing contribute to that geography's economy in measurable ways. Estimating the number of net new households, the households that would not otherwise live in the geography, is therefore a critical task for an economic and fiscal impact analysis for a project that includes housing.

Our housing market research indicates that housing is heavily affected by demand, with households in different demographic groups seeking diverse housing price points and amenities. Our estimates of net new households take into consideration demographic and economic differences among renters, and price points among units offered, identifying the existence and size of a housing gap (where more units are demanded than are available) or surplus (where there is oversupply) in the market segment to be served by the proposed project. Generally, where there is a significant housing gap outside the geography but within a reasonable distance for relocation, a project will draw a larger proportion of net new households into that geography. Each project may therefore have a different expectation for net new households, depending on price point, age restriction if any, and location.

The following steps outline our process for calculating net new households. All data is drawn from Esri Business Analyst.

- Identify where households are likely to come from. We expect that renters for a new project would consider housing within a reasonable driving time from their current location, creating a "renter-shed" for a new project. Households that are within the drive time but outside of the study area are net new.
- 2. <u>Identify the existing rental housing supply at different price points</u>. Using data from Esri, we identify rental housing units in the study area by price point and calculate the minimum household income expected to be necessary to afford rent by price range.
- 3. <u>Identify the number of households at different income levels.</u> We analyze households by income group and rental behavior to estimate an "implied number renting" for different income groups.
- 4. <u>Calculate net housing surplus or gap by price point.</u> Rental housing supply and rental housing demand is compared to calculate a "net gap," indicating excess demand for the project, or a "net surplus." To estimate net new households for a project, the net gap in the study area is compared to the net gap in the drive time.



ATTACHMENT C: STUDY AREAS

Town of Hempstead (Green) and Zip Code Region (Red outline with dashes)





ABOUT CAMOIN ASSOCIATES

Camoin Associates has provided economic development consulting services to municipalities, economic development agencies, and private enterprises since 1999. Through the services offered, Camoin Associates has had the opportunity to serve EDOs and local and state governments from Maine to California; corporations and organizations that include Lowes Home Improvement, FedEx, Amazon, Volvo (Nova Bus) and the New York Islanders; as well as private developers proposing projects in excess of \$6 billion. Our reputation for detailed, place-specific, and accurate analysis has led to projects in 43 states and garnered attention from national media outlets including Marketplace (NPR), Crain's New York Business, Forbes magazine, The New York Times, and The Wall Street Journal. Additionally, our marketing strategies have helped our clients gain both national and local media coverage for their projects in order to build public support and leverage additional funding. We are based in Saratoga Springs, NY, with regional offices in Portland, ME; Boston, MA; Richmond, VA and Brattleboro, VT. To learn more about our experience and projects in all of our service lines, please visit our website at www.camoinassociates.com. You can also find us on Twitter @camoinassociate and on Facebook.

THE PROJECT TEAM

Rachel Selsky Vice President Connor Allen Analyst





Leading action to grow your economy

Camoin Associates
PO Box 3547
Saratoga Springs, NY 12866
518.899.2608
www.camoinassociates.com
@camoinassociate

