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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: This is Flo Girardi, the Chairman of the Town of Hempstead IDA. I'm going to take a quick attendance again to make sure we have a quorum.

Mr. Oppenheimer?
MR. OPPENHEIMER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Hargraves?
MS. HARGRAVES: Present.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms. Guerra?

MS. GUERRA: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Present.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend

Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: I'm
present.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is present.
The only item on today's agenda is Park Lake Projects which was tabled from our last meeting. I'm going to open the meeting to public comment before we have a presentation. If anybody wants to speak you can. If you already spoke at the last meeting, please, let's keep it a couple of minutes, two minutes, if there is anything new you have to add.

MR. DEEGAN: This is Dan

Deegan. Would you like me to
introduce the project first?
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: You can
introduce the project.
MR. DEEGAN: So I'll do my presentation on the project.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Normally we've been trying to do public comment first.
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Ms. Garry, go ahead.
MS. GARRY: My name is
Katherine Garry, Rockville Centre. A resident of Hempstead said to me the other day that this project for Park Lake is being presented as a gift horse as if it is a gift to the people who are the current residents of the project area. They're going to claim to gut and rehab the entire area as if this is a benefit to the people who live there. When you open the mouth of the gift horse, you find a mouthful of rotten teeth. This is because it's being set up under low income housing tax credit which shows that the project can be sold in 14 years and converted to market rate.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Can somebody please mute their phone? There is a lot of background noise. MS. GARRY: There is similarity to what happened in Rockville Centre
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in 2010 when the same type of thing came. Developers were going to gut and rehabilitate the buildings there in Rockville Centre on Old Mill Court. When they actually did it, they found that the buildings were in worse shape than they were before because now the people were able to hear everything going on in each other's apartments. This is the fear, that this can happen again here in Hempstead.

I also note that the cost, the other rotten teeth involved, are the cost to the homeowners and homeowner taxpayers in Hempstead to the tune of 70 million dollars over the term of this project. It will continue to destroy the economy of the Hempstead Village. A 40 year PILOT is totally absurd. There is absolutely no legal basis. I therefore ask you to reject this project.
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What needs to be done, in short, is that this needs to be investigated by the county and the County must make a commitment to fund this entire project. This is because low income housing -- It is not a Village problem. It is a County problem. The County must flip the entire bill. It is very unfair to put this bill onto the Hempstead taxpayers and the school district in Hempstead, 70 million dollars.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I believe

Ms. Winston wanted to speak.
MS. WINSTON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Two minutes, Ms. Winston, please.

MS. WINSTON: I'm hearing a lot of noise in the background.

MR. RYAN: This is John Ryan. Whoever is not speaking, would you please mute your phone so Ms.

Winston can speak? Thank you.
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MS. WINSTON: The flimsy excuse that this PILOT will ignite the Village of Hempstead already starving economy and decrease unemployment is a myth. To the contrary, these PILOTS are given away without any thought of accountability on the part of entities that approve them. The negative impact on the Village taxpayers and the school district is astounding and is never given a moments thought. The applicant is already receiving an extension on an already existing PILOT. Now they are asking for another extension over 42 years.

I'm still hearing this noise.
Can I finish?
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead,
Ms. Winston.
MS. WINSTON: Raising the
taxpayers tax on their investment will be (inaudible) and liable to
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make good on decreasing the unemployment and boosting the economy in Hempstead. The applicant states that the project will create eleven jobs, one up from the prior PILOT application. Eleven measly jobs on an 87 million dollar project is insulting, to say the least, and does absolutely nothing for the community. Anyone who believes that it will, $I$ question their motive and their sanity. That's it.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Ms. Winston.
MR. MUHAMMAD: Greetings. My
name is Aubrey Muhammad. I would like to speak.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Yes, go ahead.

MR. MUHAMMAD: Okay. My name is Aubrey Muhammad. I'm a community advocate and activist of Hempstead. I'm running for mayor in the Village of Hempstead in March, 2021 .
Proceedings-1-5-21

This 42 year PILOT is unneeded for current residents. This is a racist Reaganomics tax break to subsidize a dead end unproductive endeavor in the midst of a pandemic that has spawned the worst financial crisis since the great depression. Tenants of Park Lake Housing, the ownership, developed community value and established generation of wealth. I grew up at 33 East Graham, across the street from Park Leak Housing in the 70 s. Most of the folks in the neighborhood are displaced. They had no idea Hempstead politicians sold them out with an anticipated trickled down benefit from Reaganomics tax break to wealthy developers and apartment owners. Thousands have been displaced to Georgia and other southern states lured by lower cost of living after being taxed out of the Hempstead home town due to over
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20 years of PILOTs and tax breaks to outsiders that don't deserve this.

As I warned in my last address
to this Board, you will come to reckon with Main Street as more common folk wake up and uproot inequity in Hempstead. This has been proven by the recent messages sent to stooges Nancy Pelosi and Mitch McConnell (inaudible) that the common person is tired of being oppressed and abandoned by a predatory (inaudible). Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Muhammad.

Do we have anybody else with public comment?

MS. GRAEMAN: My name is Shelly Graeman.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Say that again, please.

MS. GRAEMAN: My name is Shelly Graeman. I'm a homeowner in the
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Village of Hempstead. My taxes on my home -- I had to home instruct my children because $I$ could not send them to the schools there and I didn't have money to put them in private schools but, the taxes on my home are in excess of $\$ 20,000$ a year. That compared to my neighbors -- I don't have property. I just really don't understand what is going on in Hempstead. There are over 24 PILOTs in the Village of Hempstead. Most of them are two apartment buildings. The balance of them are to car dealerships. If we look at every community in this county, you will not find car dealerships with PILOTS nor apartment buildings like this community. As a homeowner, and many of my neighbors have lost their homes, when is there reprieve for us? When do we get reprieve? I don't see any benefit and $I$ don't
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see it any other communities. The PILOT, I don't know if it was you or the County that gave that PILOT for that Garden City project, it was three years and then people would start seeing a return on their investment. The initial PILOT was more than, for the first year three years, was the regular value of that property. When is Hempstead gonna see something fair, an equitable like that? How come you don't do this in a garden city? I'm very concerned about what is going on and how Hempstead is always used as a pawn. Please reconsider. A 42 year PILOT is unprecedented. If you can tell me anyplace in the country where that has ever been done, I would be very interested in looking at that study. 42 years is excessive. The point of a PILOT is so that you can help a company become sustainable. So 42 years
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have to be on my back and the small businesses in this community which cannot get PILOTs is not fair. Our community will never grow if you keep burdening us like this. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Ms. Graeman.

MS. GRIFFITH: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Good
morning.
MS: GRIFFITH: This is Lerona Griffith, President of the Hempstead Chamber of Commerce.

I'm gonna be very brief. I
just want to ask, what is the benefit of a 42 year PILOT?

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS. Griffith, we can't understand you. You're muffled.

MS. GRIFFITH: I don't want to
repeat everything that everybody else said because I pretty much agree with where they're coming from
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but $I$ don't see no benefit to a 42 year PILOT at all, you know. There is nothing beneficial for the Hempstead community. We shouldn't have to, you know, take a 42 year debt that, you know, is not even gonna benefit our generation, future generation to come. Because at the end of the day, you know, by the time 42 years is up, that's it, you know. The next generation is gonna be here and they're not even gonna remember what's going on. Please, let's reconsider doing something like that. No other community is accepting that. Why should we? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Ms. Griffith.

Is there anybody else with any public comment?

MS. STEVENS: Yes. Good morning. My name is Mrs. Stevens. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead.
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Luther King Drive. I'm calling
because we need the PILOT. We
support (inaudible). I have to say
that we are glad, all of us over here, that when scott came in, he came in and he told us what he was gonna do, making it better for us over here. He have kept his word. We have gotten things and we still getting. He did things like investing. My building was the first building that he took care of. The building was so terrible. I been over here for 43 years. The outside is (inaudible). We want our home. This is our home. We want our homes to be looking good too. It's just like -- I have no problem with (inaudible). We deserve better too and we want better. He have done a whole lot since he's been here and still doing a whole lot. We support the PILOT program. It's
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time for us and we deserve it. We deserve it too. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Ms. Stevens.

MS. EACOT: Good morning, I'm Ms. Ernestine Eacot.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: What is your name?

MS. EACOT: Ernestine Eacot.
Can you hear me?
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Yes.
MS. EACOT: I also live at
Martin Luther King Drive. I support
that 100 percent. I live here 45 years. Scott Jaffee is the only manager decide to come and clean up Martin Luther King Drive and gut everything out. I don't have much to say but we do need that PILOT. Our apartments are terrible and he's willing to fix it. Thank you so much and God bless.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Ms. Eacot.
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MR. FLOYD: Good morning. My name is RG Floyd. I'm a resident at Martin Luther King Drive.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Speak up, please.

MR. FLOYD: (Inaudible.)
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I have eight or nine people so far that spoke, both pro and con. I'm now going to ask Mr. Deegan to give his presentation.

MR. DEEGAN: Good morning. Can everybody hear me? Mr. Chairman, can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: There is an echo.

MR. DEEGAN: Can everybody mute their phone, whoever is not speaking?

MR. LODATO: We hear you.
MR. DEEGAN: My name is Dan
Deegan. I'm an attorney with the Law Firm Forchelli Deegan Terrana. I'm here on behalf of the applicant,
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Park Lake Hempstead, Limited Partnership. Also with me on the phone are the principal, scott Jaffee, who you heard about earlier from some of the public commenters, Chris Poulin and Mitch Ryder from Park Lake Hempstead, LP.

The project that we're here to present today is the Martin Luther King Drive Project known as the Park Lake Apartments, located at 295 South Franklin Street in Hempstead, New York. The project consists of 240 apartments, 14 two-story garden style apartment buildings with two bedroom and three-bedroom units. It sits on an $111 / 2$ acre site. The community was originally constructed in 1948 as military family housing. It has been historically operated as a project based Section 8 housing community and will continue to be.

Many of the residents, as you've heard and as you probably know, have
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lived there for decades. This is a real community. As you also probably know, this housing community is currently a Hempstead IDA project. This project has been exempt from taxes for decades. That's when it was originally built. What we're here today for is to talk about continuity and improvement, not any kind of change to what is already in place. This property has undergone past rehabilitation efforts. In 2005 there was one. There was some other recent attempts that have been not successful. Some have been more successful than others historically.

In 2018, a group lead by Scott Jaffee acquired the property and came to the IDA asking for their assistance. At that time, we projected that the project needed about 5 million dollars worth of investment and committed to this IDA
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to make that 5 million dollars worth of investment in 2018. The IDA granted a 15 year extension of the existing exemption of PILOT back in 2018 .

Immediately after closing with
the IDA, Mr. Jaffee and his group went into these buildings and started to do work. There was a number of different types of work that were required or at least it was promised originally. We ultimately spent 5.2 million dollars over the last two to three years which included health and safety issues like electric panels, lighting, security, repairs. A major item that was addressed was the asbestos, which one of the tenants mentioned earlier. The community was riddled with asbestos.

This was a major undertaking.
Unfortunately, after going into these facilities and really seeing
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what was needed, it was determined that the 5 million dollars was not nearly enough to address the needs here.

As this Board knows, these are real people that live here that have lived here for decades. While it's great to, you know, address the asbestos and these other health and safety issues and it does improve their quality of life, it really does not improve their quality of living. As you heard from one of the tenants, these housing units are in need of tremendous overhaul. It's dated, deteriorating, and in need of a major overhaul. That's what we're here before this IDA to propose.

We're proposing a major gut rehabilitation of all 240 units. This would include new kitchens, bathroom finishes. There would be exterior improvements including new
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windows, entrance canopies and new roofs. It would be energy efficiency upgrades including new heating and hot water systems, efficient lighting and water saving devices. Very importantly, there would be a new community building that would be built which would include on site management offices, a resident community meeting area, and also a laundry room, which is something that is not there currently. There are no laundry facilities currently at the facility. This would provide a laundry facility as well as on site management which is something that will be a great improvement. We're looking at new landscaping, new paving. Basically, it's a gut rehabilitation. There is no other way to describe it. The construction work that would be done will all be done at prevailing wage.

There would be a great effort, which has already been made and continue to be made to use local labor consistent with this IDA Board's labor policy.

Very importantly, there is a relocation in plan. None of the tenants that are currently at the facility would be asked to leave the facility. There is a relocation plan which would basically utilize existing vacant apartments to move tenants that basically renovate those apartments first, the vacant apartments, and then move existing tenants into those renovated apartments and then renovate those apartments that are vacated and so on. At the end of the day, any resident who is there today has the option and the right to stay in the facility and get a brand new apartment. This is a major undertaking. As you heard, this
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really is a substandard facility right now and this is going to take a major refinancing and refinancial structuring effort.

In order to achieve the approximately 133 million dollars required financing for the acquisition that renovation and construction of a new community building, the applicants identified several funding sources including a HUD-backed Section 221 (d) (4) loan or similar loan program. We've identified 63 million dollars in tax exempt bonds, 4 percent of low income housing tax credit program. The structure would entail two years worth of bonds, which $I$ think we've mentioned last time was sought through the Nassau County Economic Development Counsel Agency. There would be two years of bonds during the construction and a 40 year loan. This structure and the purpose of
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the structure is that we'll generate 35 million dollars for the renovation and construction cost associated with this major gut rehab. This is not possible without this IDA's assistance. The programs, loan programs, the federal and state tax credits, require that there would ultimately be a total period of 42 years which, the two years plus the 40 years. What we are requesting of this IDA is that we would -- There is an existing PILOT in place availing ourselves of the remaining eight years of the existing program that we'd ask for a 20 year PILOT plus a 22 -year extension if we are in full compliance at the time after the 20 years. We have provided to this Board a letter from the HUD loan underwriter which clearly states that this term is necessary in order for this financing to be available
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and for this level of gut rehab to be made possible. We are also
looking for a mortgage recording tax exemption and a sales and use tax exemption on the construction materials. As I indicated, we are concurrently seeking the bond issuance through the Nassau County Economic Development Corporation.
Importantly, in generating
support for this project, we reached out to the mayor of the Village and we've also met with individual trustees. They have all indicated their general support for the renovation of this project and our application here. Most importantly, we're had several meetings with the tenants, ongoing meetings with the tenants. You heard from some of the tenants here. We also submitted letters of support from those tenants.
One of the things that is very
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important and you heard some of the tenants mention Scott. Scott is Scott Jaffee, who is the principal. He is a Long Islander. Scott has a reputation of being a hands on manager. He is not someone who buys these things and flips them. This is a long term commitment to make the lives better for the people who live there. Scott Jaffee is a person who literally goes on site and meets with people and is going to personally oversee this project. Their commitment to the IDA and to the various funding sources would be that this project would continue as 100 percent project base Section 8 housing for the term of the PILOT and for the term of the IDA assistance. There is not an option to privatize this. That is the proposal and the commitment that is being made here.

That being said, I would take
any questions from the Board. We're talking about improving the lives of the families who live here. This is an opportunity to create a financing structure that's been identified and we're trying to put together on behalf of this developer that is really going to change people's lives for the better. It cannot be done without this IDA's assistance, as described.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Mr. Deegan.
Do we have any questions from
the Board? Please state your name
for the court reporter.
REVEREND MALLETTE:
Mr. Chairman, this is Reverend Mallette. I have a question I wanted to ask.

My question is, from what I
heard from the attorney, am I
understanding correctly that the
reason for this application to
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extend the preexisting PILOT that's already in place is because for this renovation project there's not enough money? That's my first question. Do $I$ understand that part correctly?

MR. DEEGAN: It's not that
there is not enough money. Right
now, in order to -- there is a
tremendous echo here -- in order to make the -- First of all, 35 million dollars is the amount of money that's been identified that is necessary to complete the gut rehabilitation of this project. In order for that money to be generated for the financing structure, there's a certain private loan program which is called the D4 loan program and also 4 percent tax credit program which are available. In order for those programs to be brought to bear here to generate the money necessary for this renovation, there is a
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requirement that there be a total PILOT term of 42 years.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Hold on one second. Can we get rid of the echo? MR. DEEGAN: It's a function of making these funds available for this renovation by putting this financing structure in place. This financing structure, both the HUD (d4) loan and the tax credits, require that basically the existing PILOT continue to join the term of the financing. It has to be coterminous with the financing. This is not a change. As I said, this property has been off the tax rolls and exempt for, you know, certainly for many, many, many decades. I'm not sure if it goes all the way back to 1948 but, certainly, historically, this project has always been operated off the tax rolls. We're not looking for anything that's a change from
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what the current status is in terms of the taxes.

I will point out that the existing PILOT schedule does call for some significant upgrades each year, increases that was negotiated last time with the IDA. Those increases will stay in place. I'd say, in my experience, this project is actually paying a lot in terms of the higher level of PILOT payment than what we would typically see. And what we're asking basically to do is to, you know, extend that existing structure so that it's coterminous with the financing so that this money can be made available for this major gut rehabilitation.

REVEREND MALLETTE: Let's say if this extension is not granted, what is left on the first PILOT? Is it eight years remaining?

MR. DEEGAN: Yes. And there's
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two things. Number one, if the extension is not granted, these renovations will not take place. This financing cannot take place. 35 million dollars is not available. Unfortunately, this applicant has already lived up to its obligation to the IDA to make the investment of 5 million dollars which has already been made. It just turned out not to be really enough to transform the lives of the people who live there. MR. LODATO: It sounds like somebody has their computer and phone on. Please mute your phone if you're not speaking.

REVEREND MALLETTE: I
understand and $I$ appreciate the tenants that live there that they want to have quality of life. I completely understand that people aught to have a place to live where they can live in comfort, where they have security and things of that
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nature. And, you know, we're all residents. I'm from Freeport, born and raised there all of my life.

I'm a homeowner. I pay taxes, property taxes. I own my home. I'm a pastor but $I$ pay for my house and

I pay property taxes. I can
appreciate the terms of the
residents that are taxpayers that have to deal with, $I$ think it's what, 70 million dollars. That's where my concern is. I understand the residents there at this location that they want the project. I would want it to if I lived there. The problem that $I$ have is with the tax burden that will be placed on the homeowners in the Village of Hempstead. That's where my issue is.

I just want to say so that way
I don't have to make two long
speeches. I may as well do this
now. I want to say that my vote is
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based on my own conscience. I read the material. I make my own
decision. The last time we met, it was -- I made a motion to table. I only represent one vote. That's it.

I don't know how the other Board members are going to vote and cast their vote. To hear that strings are being pulled and things of that nature is insulting to me. But I just want to say that my integrity is in place and $I$ am looking at this application. My concern is for the taxpayers, the homeowners in the Village of Hempstead. You've answered my questions and I thank you very much.

MR. DEEGAN: Let me just
address one of the things that you said. You mentioned 70 million dollars. The 70 million dollars, which $I$ think is a very, not a fair way to look at this, basically, what that 70 million dollars is saying is
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that if this property were fully on the tax roll, it would be generating 70 million dollars and, therefore, that this PILOT is somehow, you know, gonna cost the taxpayers 70 million dollars. This property has never been on the tax roll, at least not for many, many decades. Basically, if it went on the tax roll, it would not be an affordable housing project. It would not be sustainable on the tax roll. That's why it's never been on the tax roll. If $I$ would distinguish this project from when you're considering building a brand new project someplace, you're gonna create the structure on a piece of property that maybe could have market rate housing or a commercial facility on it. This is an existing project that has not been on the tax rolls for many, many decades. To suggest that it could be generating taxes
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for the Village but it's not is not really accurate. These families have lived there for decades. To say that it's going to go fully on the tax rolls (inaudible). It's not sustainable if it's paying full taxes. That's been recognized by the Village, by the Town IDA, by the County for many decades that in order to have 100 percent affordable housing facility, it has to be a tax exemption in place. There is tremendous subsidy that is being brought to the table here for this renovation. It is not being brought by the Village or by the Town. It's really being brought by the federal government and by the state. These tax credits and the refinancing being put in place as well as the Section 8 program provides the income to finance. There is no more burden on the local homeowners because it's not like there's an
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opportunity to get full taxes out of this property. That's just not going to happen unless you're basically talking about -- I think Ms. Garry referenced basically moving everybody out and turning this into a commercial market rate housing project which, number one, is not taxable. Number two, it's nobody's contention and not good for the community. So what we're really trying to do is, this is the mechanism for vastly improving the quality of life for the people and families --

MS. GARRY: Mr. Deegan has totally misquoted me. I said that the Town should pick up the bill. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go on, Mr. Deegan. MR. DEEGAN: So the point is that, you know, this exemption has been in place and it's going to continue in place unless the Town
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and the County and Village are deciding it's no longer gonna be an affordable housing project.

REVEREND MALLETTE: I thank you for your answer. I just think 42 years is extraordinary.

MR. DEEGAN: (Inaudible.)
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Try again.

There is an echo.

MR. DEEGAN: That's why we were suggesting to break this into a 20 year component plus 22 year. That way, that there is a check that (inaudible) and this applicant has delivered on what they promised to the community, to the residents there and to this Town IDA Board that, you know, everything being discussed here gets delivered. If not, it doesn't continue.

REVEREND MALLETTE: Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Reverend.
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Any other Board members have any questions?

MR. JOHNSON: LaMont Johnson.
MS. VANDERHALL: This is Ms. Vanderhall. I have two questions.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead, Ms. Vanderhall.

MS. VANDERHALL: Mr. Deegan, I just want to confirm that for the life of the loan at least that the Park Lake will remain affordable housing; is that correct?

MR. DEEGAN: Yes. It would be a commitment to the IDA and to the funding sources.

MS. VANDERHALL: And then my second question is, the loan term of 42 years, why wasn't it possible to do this project with a shorter loan term, perhaps 30 years?

MR. DEEGAN: The reason is because there is a program called the D4 loan which calls for a 40 year term. It's the loan program
Proceedings-1-5-21
that allows this amount of money to be generated on the financing. The 35 million dollars for the renovation is no easy task. This particular program would allow for that to take place. The best program requires a 40 year term. It's kind of a complex structure where the first two years are tax exempt bonds. Then there's a 40 year permanent loan thereafter. That loan program, the D4 program, is a 40 year program and requires a PILOT to be in place for the entire term.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Deegan.

MR. PAROLA: For the record, understand that under the state legislation that governs the Village of Hempstead and the Village of Freeport, there is a three year full review and audit of the project and the role of the developer to ensure
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both that they have kept their (inaudible) at the time of the closing. In addition, there will be a full review in 20 years to ensure that the same is being retained by the developers.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Mr. Parola.
Mr. Johnson, you had a question
I believe?
MR. JOHNSON: Yes, I have a question.

My question is, it goes along with Katherine Garry's question. After the 14 years, can this property be sold? Can this property be sold?

MR. DEEGAN: Well, there's two questions. One is, could it be sold. Not without the IDA'S permission. In terms of becoming, I think she said that if it would become privatized or the market rate. That is not possible. If it
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went to market rate, it would be a default of the IDA documents and under the loan documents and it would be tremendous penalties and fallout that would make it untenable.

MS. GARRY: Mr. Deegan's answer is incorrect.

MR. DEEGAN: It is correct. If we went to market rate, that would be a violation of the regulatory agreements with $H U D$ and with the IDA.

MS. GARRY: There are three ways that you can do it and you know that and you're secretly keeping it quiet. After 14 years, you transfer a qualified contract to sale.

MR. PAROLA: Ms. Garry, you've already spoken.

MS. GARRY: And you have not waived that. You have not waived it.

MR. DEEGAN: There's two
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separate questions here. The exact question was if we can sell the property in 14 years. With
everyone's permission, you can sell the property, but that is not the intention. The track record of this developer has been to uphold and to maintain property in a way that is very --

MS. GARRY: It could also be done through foreclosure.

MR. DEEGAN: That's an event of default. If there is a foreclosure (inaudible) the PILOT did not guarantee it. A bank would have to come in and ask this Board to (inaudible). If there was a proposed sale of the property, we'd have to come back to this IDA Board and ask permission.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any other Board members have any questions?

MR. JOHNSON: I have one more question.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead, Mr. Johnson.

MR. JOHNSON: My other question is, as resident Shelly Graeman said, are there any other examples of a 42 year PILOT that you can point us to?

MR. DEEGAN: I know there have been PILOTS for 40 years or greater that have been granted. I don't have them off the top of my head. What I would suggest to the Board is that, you know, today we are here for a preliminary inducement. What we'd ask for is a preliminary inducement and we can continue to have discussions with the Board members to get the information required. I do believe that we had a discussion in one of our early meetings with the IDA staff. It may have been a Hempstead IDA matter. It was 30 or 40 years. I'm not sure. It is typical that for a 100 percent affordable housing project
that the PILOT term is coterminous with the financing term of the PILOT and the financing match. That is the reason many times why the IDAs will go beyond their typical 10, 15, 20 year PILOT, you know, in order to match the federal loan programs in order to make the project possible. MR. PAROLA: Remember, this is merely a preliminary inducement. I realized that yesterday. Therefore, if this is approved today by the Board, there will be extensive opportunity for the members of the Board and the public to submit questions and concerns that they have that may not have been resolved today. I know in New York City there are 40 year PILOTs. That's a horse of a different color, $I$ know, but there are others. We're going to be able to discuss this before you approve it for a final vote. This is not the end today. This
merely extends the opportunity for them to go forward.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Parola.

MR. OPPENHEIMER: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead, Mr. Oppenheimer.

MR. OPPENHEIMER: Mr. Deegan
and Mr. Jaffee, my question is, do you have a current certified appraisal on the value of the building?

MR. DEEGAN: I'm sure there has to be one as part of the financing process. I'm not sure if we actually have one at this point.

Mitch, do we have an appraisal? Has one been done?

MR. REITER: Yes, I believe we do.

MR. OPPENHEIMER: I would
request that you provide that as
soon as you can. I know that it was
Proceedings-1-5-21
initially purchased for 50 million dollars in 2018 and the current land building acquisition is 65 million. I think the IDA Board should have an idea of the current certified appraisal value.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Mr. Oppenheimer.
MR. OPPENHEIMER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any other questions from Board members?

MS. GUERRA: This is Carla
Guerra. I have one question.
Mr. Deegan or Mr. Jaffee, there
is some work described as solar in
the work project. Can you just
speak a little bit more as to what
that means and how that might
improve the quality of living of the tenants?

MR. DEEGAN: Scott, do you wanna speak to that?

MR. JAFFEE: Yes. We plan on installing solar panels on the roofs
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and therefore we'd be able to generate electricity. That's going to be beneficial to the property. It's going to be a major savings and will help leverage the debt for the D4 financing that we are getting.

MS. GUERRA: Thank you.
MR. JAFFEE: Currently we pay all the electricity. It will be beneficial for the financing to lower the cost.

MR. OPPENHEIMER: This is Dan Oppenheimer. I have one additional question.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead, Mr. Oppenheimer.

MR. OPPENHEIMER: Mr. Jaffee,
could you speak to some of the security and safety upgrades? There was some material presented that there's a security agency that is installing video and it's supposed to enhance the safety for the residents, if you can detail that a
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little bit.
MR. JAFFEE: Yes. Currently we have a camera system there that is actually been used by police (inaudible) to convict at least two people. We're also installing gates in all the driveways. The cameras are currently in the exteriors. We're going to be putting cameras in the interiors of the hallway. That part of it is going to be phased through the 35 million dollars. This is in conjunction with the Village of Hempstead Police Department, with the Nassau County Homeland Security, who I've reached out to. They have been helping us and giving us advice on how to better the property and make it secure and safe. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Jaffee, Mr. Oppenheimer. Any Board members have any other questions?
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MR. BEDFORD: Real quick. The financing and the improvements that are being done, this is for renovation of existing structures, correct? There is no new construction contemplated as part of the financing project; is that correct?

MR. DEEGAN: No. There is a new community building that is being constructed. There will be one new building which will include the laundry facilities, on site management, which is good for the tenants, and also a, you know, communal space.

MR. JAFFEE: We're also going to be hiring a social service coordinator to work with the tenants in the community full-time.
MR. BEDFORD: And this is
actually a 20 year PILOT with a 22 year option to extend at the end of the 20 years in the event that the
Proceedings-1-5-21
client (inaudible); am I stating that correctly? MR. DEEGAN: Yes. MR. BEDFORD: And that's understood by the financing company?

MR. DEEGAN: Well, it's something we have to get them over but we believe that we can, that they will be okay with it. They have their own requirements for compliance as well. The project has to be in compliance. We're not concerned with it being in compliance. We understand the IDA's concern that they're not gonna want to go for another 22 years if there's some issues at the site. We have every intention of staying in compliance and we can make commitment to stay in compliance. We think that's gonna be consistent between our obligation to the IDA and our obligation to the financing structure.
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MR. BEDFORD: Thank you. I don't have any other questions. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Bedford.

Any other Board members have any other questions? Do I have a motion for preliminary inducement for this project? I'll make the motion. I'll take a vote.

Mr. Oppenheimer?
MR. OPPENHEIMER: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Johnson?
MR. JOHNSON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Hargraves?
MS. HARGRAVES: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms. Guerra?
MS. GUERRA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: For the purposes
of preliminary inducement, I'm an
aye, but $I$ do have other questions.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: So that's an
aye.

Ms. Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: No.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh is not here. Flo Girardi is an aye for the preliminary inducement as well.

Motion is passed. Adjourn today's meeting. I need a motion to adjourn today's meeting.

MR. BEDFORD: So moved.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Bedford. I'll take a vote.

Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE:
(Inaudible.)

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye.
Mr. Oppenheimer?
MR. OPPENHEIMER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Hargraves?

MS. HARGRAVES: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms. Guerra?

MS. GUERRA: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Meeting is
adjourned. Thank you everybody.
Happy New Year.
(Time noted: 10:00 a.m.)
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { nature }_{[2]}-34: 2,2 \text {, } \\ & 35: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  | paying [2] - 32:1 | Port [1]-2:20 possible [5] - 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 11,20: 12,20: 20, \\ & 31: 17,34: 6,34: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| nearly [1] - $22: 4$ |  | payment [1] - 32: | $27: 3,40: 19,42: 2$ | $6: 2,36: 7,36: 19,$ |
| necessary [3] - 26:24, $30 \cdot 15,30 \cdot 24$ |  | Peabody [1] - 2:9 | 46:9 | 8:3, 42:17, 44:4, |
| 30:15, 30:24 |  | $\text { si }[1]-11: 10$ | POULIN [1] - 2:20 | :6, 44:9, 44:19, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { need }[5]-16: 4,17: 20, \\ 22: 16,22: 18,54: 14 \end{gathered}$ |  | penalties [1] - 43:5 | Poulin [1] - 19:7 predatory [1]-11:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:4, } 50: 20 \\ & \text { proposal }[1]-28: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| needed [2]-20:23, |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { people [12] - 5:9, 5:13, } \\ 6: 9.13: 6.18: 9.22: 7 . \end{gathered}$ | predatory [1] - 11:14 <br> preexisting [1]-30:2 | propose [1] - 22:20 |
| 22:2 |  | 10, 28:13, 33:13, | preliminary [6] - | proposed [1] - 44:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { needs }[3]-7: 2,7: 3, \\ & 22: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | 33:22, 38:15, 50:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 14,45: 15,46: 11, \\ & 53: 9,53: 23,54: 12 \end{aligned}$ | proposing [1] - 22:21 <br> proven [1]-11:9 |
| ${ }_{\text {negative }}{ }_{[1]}$ - $8: 1$ |  | people's [1] - 29: <br> percent [6]-17:1 | present [8]-3:13, | provide [2]-23:16, |
| negotiated [1] - 32:7 |  | $25: 16,28: 18,30: 21$ | 3:15, 3:17, 3:20 | 47:24 |
| neighborhood [1] - |  | 37:11, 45:25 | 3:22, 4:2, 4:4, 19:10 | provided [1] - 26:21 |
| 10:15 |  | perhaps [1] - 40:2 | presentation [3] - | provides [1] - 37:22 |
| neighbors [2] - 12:9, 12:22 |  | period [1] - 26:11 | $4: 10,4: 22,18: 1$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { public [6] - 4:8, 4:24, } \\ 11: 19,15: 22,19: 6, \end{array}$ |
| never [4]-8:13, 14:5, |  | $\mathbf{t}[1]-4$ |  |  |
| 36:8, 36:14 |  | $42: 22,44: 5,44: 2$ | President [1] - 14 | ublic [1] - 56:3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { new }[14]-4: 14,22: 23, \\ 22: 25,23: 2,23: 4, \end{gathered}$ |  | person [2]-11:12, | pretty [1]-14:24 prevailing [1] - 23 | pulled ${ }_{[1]}-35: 10$ <br> purchased [1]-48 |
| 23:8, 23:20, 24:23, |  |  | principal [2] - 19:4 |  |
| 25:10, 36:17, 51:6, |  | phased [1]-50:12 |  | purposes [1] - 53:22 |
| 51:11, 51:12 |  | Phillips [1]-2:9 | Principal ${ }_{[1]}-2: 20$ | put $[4]-7: 11,12: 6$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { New }[4]-19: 14,46: 19, \\ & 55: 19,56: 4 \\ & \text { next }[1]-15: 12 \\ & \text { nine }[1]-18: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | phone [6]-5:22, 7:24, | private [2]-12:7, | 29:7, 37:21 |
|  |  | 18:19, 19:4, 33:16 | 19 | putting [2]-31:8, |
|  |  | $k[1]-38: 1!$ | ivatize [1] - 28:2 | 50:10 |
|  |  | piece [1] - $36: 19$ | privatized [1] - 42:24 |  |


| Q | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 16,32: 20 \\ & \text { REITER }[2]-2: 21, \\ & 47: 21 \end{aligned}$ | RG [1] - 18:3 <br> RHOADS ${ }_{[1]}-2: 7$ <br> rid [1] - $31: 5$ | several ${ }_{[2]}-25: 12$, 27:19 <br> shape $[1]-6: 8$ | stooges [1] - 11:10 <br> story ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:15 <br> street ${ }_{[1]}-10: 13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| qualified ${ }_{[1]}$ - 43:19 |  |  |  |  |
| qu | reject ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:24 <br> related [1]-56:9 <br> relocation [2]-24:8, | riddled [1] - 21:22 | O's [1] - 2:10 | Street [2] - 11:6, 19:1 |
| 22:13, 33:21, 38:15, |  | T1]-2:13 | Shelly [3] - 11:20 | ngs [1] - 35:9 |
| 48:20 |  | Rockville [3]-5:4, | 1:24, 45 | structure [11]-25:18, |
| questions [14]-29:2, | 24:11 | 5:25, 6:5 | short [1] - 7:3 | 5, 26:2, |
| 29:15, 35:17, 40:3, | remain [1] - 40:12 | 41 | ter [1] - 40:20 | 18, 31:9, 31:10, |
| 6, 42:20, 44:2, | remaining [2]-26:1 | roll [5] - 36:3, 36 | Ws [1] - 5:1 | 16, 36:19, 41 : |
| 23, 46:17, 48:12, | 32:24 | , 36:13, 36 | nt [1] - 32 | 52:25 |
| 25, 53:3, 53:7, | remember [2]-15:14, | rolls [4]-31:18 | similar [1] - 25:14 | ctures [1] |
| 24 | 46:10 | 23, | similarity [1] - 5:2 | structuring [1] - |
| quick [2] - 3:5 | renova | roofs [2]-23:3, 48 | site [6]-19:18, $23: 1$ | study [1] - 13:22 |
| qu | 24:1 | - $23: 1$ | 7, 28:12, 51:1 | tyle [1] - 19:16 |
| quorum [1]-3:6 | renovated [1] - 24:17 renovation [9]-25:9, 26:4, 27:17, 30:4, | 5:15, 6: | 2:1 | 46: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 25,31: 8,37: 16, \\ & 41: 5,51: 5 \end{aligned}$ | Ryan [2] - 2:8, 7:22 <br> Ryder [1] - 19:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { social }[1]-51: 19 \\ & \text { solar }[2]-48: 16, \\ & 48: 25 \end{aligned}$ | subsidy ${ }_{[1]}-37: 14$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { racist }[1]-10: 4 \\ & \text { raised }[1]-34: 4 \\ & \text { raising }[1]-8: 23 \\ & \text { rate }[6]-5: 20,36: 20, \\ & 38: 8,42: 25,43: 2, \\ & 43: 11 \\ & \text { reached }[2]-27: 12 \text {, } \\ & 50: 17 \\ & \text { read }[1]-35: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $25: 2$ |
|  | repairs [1]-21:18 <br> repeat [1] - 14:23 <br> reporter [1]-29:17 | S | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sold }[5]-5: 19,10: 17, \\ 42: 17,42: 18,42: 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { successful }[2]- \\ 20: 16,20: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { safe }[1]-50: 21 \\ & \text { safety }[4]-21: 16 \end{aligned}$ | someone [1] - 28:7 <br> someplace [1] - 36:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggest }[2]-36: 24 \text {, } \\ & 45: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | represent [1] - 35:6 |  | soon [1] - 47:25 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reprieve [2]-12:23, } \\ & \text { 12:24 } \end{aligned}$ | sale [2]-43:19, 44: | sought [1] - 25:20 | support [6]-16:5, |
|  |  | es [1] - 27:5 | sounds [1] - 33:14 | 16:25, 17:14, 27:12, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reaganomics }[2] \text { - } \\ & 10: 4 \cdot 10: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:24 } \\ & \text { reputation }[1]-28: 6 \end{aligned}$ | sanity [1] - 9:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sources }[3]-25: 12, \\ & 28: 16,40: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:16, 27:23 } \\ & \text { supposed }[1]-49: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | request ${ }_{[1]}$ - 47:24 <br> requesting [1] - 26:13 | ving [1]-23:6 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { real }[3]-20: 3, ~ 22: 7, ~_{51: 2} \end{aligned}$ |  | vings [1] - 49 | South [1]-19:13 <br> southern [1] - 10:23 | supposed [1] - 49:23 |
|  | requesting [1] - 26:13 require [2]-26:9, | hedule [1] - 32:5 |  | sustainable [3] - $13: 25,36: 13,37: 7$ |
| realized [1] - 46:12 | 31:12 | school ${ }_{[2]}$ - 7:12, 8:12 | space ${ }_{[1]}-51: 1$ | sworn [1] - 56:6 <br> system [1] - 50:4 <br> systems [1]-23:5 |
| really [9]-12:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { required }[3]-21: 12, \\ & 25: 8,45: 19 \end{aligned}$ | schools [2] - 12:5, | spawned ${ }_{[1]}-10: 7$speaking $[3]-7: 23$, |  |
| 21:25, 22:12, 25:2, |  | 12:7 |  |  |
| 9, 33:12, 37:3, | $\text { requirement }[1]-31: 2$ | SCOTT | speaking [3] - 7:23, 18:20, 33:17 | systems [1]-23:5 |
| 37:18, 38:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requirements }[1] \text { - } \\ & 52: 11 \end{aligned}$ | Scott [10]-16:7, | speeches [1] - 34:24 |  |
| Realty [1] - 2:20 reason [3]-29:25, 40:22, 46:5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:16, 19:4, 20:19, } \\ & \text { 28:3, 28:4, 28:5, } \end{aligned}$ | spent [1]-21:14 |  |
|  | requires [2]-41:8, |  | spoken [1] - 43:2 | table ${ }_{[2]}-35: 5,37: 15$ <br> tabled [1] - 4:7 |
| receiving ${ }_{[1]}-8: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { resident }[5]-5: 5, \\ & 18: 3,23: 11,24: 21, \end{aligned}$ | second [2] - 31:5, | STACEY [1] - 2:1 | task [1] - 41:5 |
| recent [2]-11:9, |  | second [2]-31.5, | staff [1] - 45:21 | $\boldsymbol{t a x}[25]-5: 17,8: 24$ |
|  | 45:5 | secretly [1] - 43:17 | red ${ }_{[1]}-21: 10$ | 10:4, 10:19, 11:2, <br> $25 \cdot 15,25 \cdot 17,26 \cdot 9$ |
| reckon [1]-11:6 <br> recognized [1] - 37:8 | residents [8]-5:9, <br> 10:3, 19:24, 34:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Section }[4]-19: 22, \\ & 25: 13,28: 18,37: 22 \end{aligned}$ | starving [1] - 8:5 | 25:15, 25:17, 26:9, <br> 27:4, 27:5, 30:21, |
| reconsider [2]-13:17, | 10:3, 19:24, 34:3, <br> 34:10, 34:14, 39:17 | $25: 13,28: 18,37: 22$ <br> secure [1] - 50:21 | state [4]-26:9, 29:16, | $31: 11,31: 17,31: 24,$ |
| 15:15 | 49:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { security [4] - 21:18, } \\ & 33: 25,49: 20,49: 22 \end{aligned}$ | State [1] - 56:4 <br> states [3]-9:5, 10:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 17,36: 3,36: 8 \\ & 36: 10,36: 13,36: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| record [3]-41:19, | resolved [1] - 46:18 |  |  |  |
| 4:7, 56:7 | retained [1] - 42:6 | Security [1] - 50:17 | 26:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 23,37: 6,37: 12, \\ & 37: 20,41: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| recording |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { see }[5]-12: 25,13: 2, \\ 13: 12,15: 2,32: 13 \end{array}$ | stating ${ }_{[1]}-52: 2$ |  |
| referenced [1] - 38:6 | REV ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:12 <br> reverend [2]-3:23, <br> 54:7 <br> Reverend [3] - 29:19, |  | status [1] - 32:2 | taxable [1] - 38:10 <br> taxed [1]-10:24 <br> taxes [10]-12:2, 12:7, |
| refinancial [1] - 25: |  |  | (1] -32 |  |
| refinancing [2]-25:4, |  | 21:25 |  |  |
| 7:20 |  | seeking [1] - 27:8 sell [2] - 44:3, 44:5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 7,32: 3,34: 5, \\ & 34: 6,34: 8,36: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| regular [1]-13:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reverend }[3]-29: 19, \\ & 39: 25,55: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | STEVENS [2] - 15:23, |  |
| regulatory ${ }^{[1]}$ - 43:12 | REVEREND [8] - 3:25, | $\text { send }[1]-12: 4$ | 16:2 | taxpayers $[7]-6: 17$, |
| rehab [3]-5:11, 26:6, | 29:18, 32:21, 33:18, | sent $[1]-11: 10$ | Stevens [2] - 15:24, 17:5 |  |
| 72 | 39:5, 39:22, 54:9, | separate ${ }_{[1]}$ - 44:2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 12,8: 12,8: 24 \\ & 34: 10,35: 15,36: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| rehabilitate ${ }_{[1]}$-6:4 | 55,4 | service ${ }_{[1]}-51: 19$ | still [3]-8:19, 16:11, |  |
| rehabilitation [5] | review [2] - 41:24 | set [3]-5:16, 56:6, | 16:24 | teeth [2]-5:15, 6:15 teleconference ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 20:13, 22:22, 23:22, | 42:5 |  |  |  |



