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take a quick attendance before I
open the meeting.
Mr. Oppenheimer?
MR. OPPENHEIMER: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Johnson?

Ms. Guerra, obviously.
Ms. Hargraves?

MS. HARGRAVES: Here.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes, present.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Present.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

I'm Flo Girardi.

Once again, Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON: Here.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
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MR. LODATO: He's not coming. He is not available today.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'd like to remind everybody to please say their name before they speak for the court reporter.

I'd like to call to order the Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency meeting to order. We have a quorum.

Does anybody have public comments on any of the agenda items?

MR. AIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Name, please.

MR. AIN: Martin Ain, A-I-N.
MR. LODATO: There was a pre-registration. Nobody pre-registered to speak.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Ain
spoke at the last meeting. We'll give him a few minutes, if you don't mind.

Go ahead, Mr. Ain.
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MR. AIN: Am I speaking now on the project?

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Yes. Well, you can speak on whatever you want, anything that is on the agenda.

MR. AIN: This is regarding the apartment house on Main Street. I'm one of the owners of one of the (inaudible) that were put together to build this apartment house. I'd just like to say that this redevelopment has been going on on part of this property since 1990 . The street has been a total disaster until an apartment house (inaudible). This is the part that has not been redeveloped. This is an opportunity to complete one block of the redevelopment project. My property is the building (inaudible) currently occupied by a church. I am 80 years old. I'm looking to retire and sell the property and I have a waiting buyer which is the
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church. If I'm forced to sell to the church because this project is denied, the taxes will, of course, be removed from the property. The property will never be developed in such a manner that $I$ feel will be an additional benefit to the community. Can you hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Yes. Go ahead, Mr. Ain.

MR. AIN: So if this project
does not go through, I will
basically be forced to sell to the church which certainly will not benefit the area. I have been in touch with the surrounding single family houses. I have a 100 percent cooperation as a go forward with this project from all of the people I spoke to in the area that do not want to see industry there, do not want to see it turned into a strip mall, and $I$ feel that the existing parking (inaudible). I am
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absolutely in favor of this property. Since I've owned the property, which has been in the 1980s, the taxes on the property have dwindled and have almost been reduced to no value whatsoever. This is a chance to get the property back onto the tax rolls, you know, for the Village to benefit, for the community to benefit. It's a plus, plus win for everybody in the community and $I$ am hoping that this project will develop and will go through. Nobody is harmed. Everybody benefits. It's a win, win for the Village, $a$ win for the developers, a win for the property owners, a win for your group, which is there to promote these types of projects, so I'm hoping that the Board will vote yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Ain.

MR. PAROLA: Thank you.
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MR. LODATO: I just want to make sure, we have Paul O'Brien and Bill Weir on, correct? It's just for Denise to write your name down. MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Paul O'Brien is here.

MR. WEIR: And William Weir is here.

MR. RYAN: John Ryan is here too.

MR. LODATO: If you're going to speak, please say your name and spell your last name for the court reporter. Sorry. Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item. Village of Freeport. There is no new business.

Village of Hempstead.
Consideration of an Inducement
Resolution for Main Street
Apartments, 257 Main Street,
Hempstead. It was tabled from the August 27 th meeting.

Anybody here from Main Street?
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Mr. Walker, Mr. Leno?

MR. LENO: Good morning,

Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is David Leno from the Law Firm of Rivkin, Radler. Office is at 926 RXR Plaza, Uniondale, New York 11556 .

I'm here this morning on behalf of Main Street Hempstead Apartments LLC in connection with the property located at 257 Main Street in the Village of Hempstead. We are here before you today seeking a preliminary inducement in an effort to seek benefits from the Town of Hempstead IDA, including sales tax exemption, exemption from mortgage recording tax, as well as a Pilot. On behalf of the applicant, $I$ have the development partner, Rashid Walker, who $I$ will introduce shortly with regard to this project.

Mr. Walker is available to answer any questions or concerns that the
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Board may have.
It should be noted that a
similar form of this application was before this Board in the past and the prior iteration of the application was under Wood Partners. At that time, the Board was inclined to induce a resolution for a 15-year Pilot at that time. While some of the participants have changed, the desire to get this project completed has not waned. The project is now imagined as 173 rental units. I know there was a little bit of a misunderstanding at the last hearing. For clarity purposes, it's 173 rental units. 10 percent of the units are to be used for workforce housing units. The unit breakdown is going to be 39 studio units, 106 one bedroom and 28 two-bedroom units. As we stated, all of the units will be market rate except for the 10 percent set aside
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for workforce housing.
While there are other projects in the Village of Hempstead that are similar, this project does have a particular importance. Number one, it's going to diversify the amount of quality housing stock in the Village. Based on multiple conversations and interactions with the Village at a planning level for this project, the need for updated and modern market rate housing was made abundantly clear. While there might be an inventory of certain aspects of multi-family housing, the need for new, modern updated and improved market rate housing is obviously clear.

Also, this project being
inclusive which integrates affordable housing into a market rate project is also significantly important. This project puts those two elements together. However, in
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building a diversity of housing stock and building market rate and affordable units at the same site, it does require some additional consideration. As the past several years have indicated, project investors will not support this type of project or this endeavor on just a 15-year Pilot. The access to a longer Pilot is needed to make this project financeable and buildable. Several financing entities including JP Morgan and Goldman Sachs have passed on the project in the past because of a lack of a long term sustainable Pilot. However, based on the diligence of the Town of Hempstead IDA staff and our team, we've been able to put together a proposed Pilot that will work for our clients and will work for the equity players to make sure that this project can move forward. With the approval of the
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proposed Pilot, the Town of
Hempstead IDA will be treating this project as it has treated other similarly situated projects in the past including Mill Creek Residential. It will also be following the trend that both the Town of Hempstead and Nassau County IDA have followed with regard to recent projects, and you can only look to the projects that are on line in Mineola, upcoming in the Village of Lynbrook, Garden City and Rockville Centre as an indicator that this IDA has approved these types of Pilots in the past for this type of use for this type of important work that is needed to improve the community. Finally, it should be noted that during the past five, probably more years, there has not been any other interest in this parcel. I know that there have been
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conversations in the past that re-zoning this parcel or a desire to get this parcel to be used for office or some kind of retail use was desirable by certain people in the Village; however, that has never materialized. The market has never materialized for any other use other than my client's proposed use of the site.

As was stated, the site is
currently made up of a storefront church that leases a portion of the property and vacant parking lots. If our client does not purchase the property and move forward with the development, we have been advised by the sellers that the property will be sold to the church. And while there is, you know, while there might be opposition to Pilots in general, the only thing that would be worse to the economic standing of the Village, you know, rather than a
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Pilot would be another exempt piece of property in the Village of Hempstead that would not be contributing any tax revenue to the bottom line. This project will be tax positive. It will not increase the level of school age children in the school district in a material way, as the reports have indicated. And as also indicated in the Camoin Report, the project will have a significant economic benefit to the Village of Hempstead. And also, especially in this type of COVID, this project will bring much needed construction jobs to the Village and will be a benefit to the community in general.

At this time, I'd like to ask Rashid Walker just to discuss some points of the project in greater detail.

Mr. Walker?

MR. WALKER: Good morning.
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Rashid Walker. Thank you, David, for explaining the project. Again, my name is Rashid Walker. I am the development partner for the project. Contrary to what has been said in the past about me being an outsider, in fact, $I$ do live in the Village of Hempstead, as $I$ have, you know, for the past 45 years. As a resident of the Village of Hempstead, I'm very concerned about the amount of taxes that we residents pay in the Village. As Mr. Leno suggested, one of the reasons $I$ believe we pay so much in taxes is because of the amount of tax exempt properties that are in the Village of Hempstead. And as you've heard today, if this doesn't move forward, the property will be sold to the church that is currently renting the property, therefore, be another property off of the tax rolls. That means that, you know,
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the tax burden for the taxpayers that are in the Village of Hempstead would only just increase. The good news is that if the Board induces the project as they have done in the past, the residents of the Village of Hempstead would benefit. In fact, if the Board does agree to grant IDA benefits, the Village of Hempstead would receive 4.6 million dollars in revenues over the term of the Pilot. That does not include the $\$ 750,000$ that my partners and I have committed to the Village of Hempstead to upgrade its aging water and sewer infrastructure.

As Mr. Leno mentioned, the IDA
commissioned an economic impact
study for the project. The study
concluded that if the project is approved it would create 28 jobs in the Village. In addition, the project would provide approximately 1.2 million dollars in annual
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earnings for the Village. The study also concluded that the residents of the proposed development would spend approximately 2.5 million dollars each year within the Village of Hempstead.

In the past, there has been a lot said about the burden that this proposed development would place on the school district in Hempstead. That is not the case. In fact, the project would likely generate high school kids. So if we talk about the cost of educating children in the Village of Hempstead, which is about $\$ 14,000$ per child, and let's assume those children stay in the public schools for 25 years, that's a 1.75 million dollar cost to educate those children over that 25 -year span. Over the same period, this project would contribute approximately 8.6 million dollars to the school district. That's an
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additional 6.8 million dollars that would go to improving the schools in the Village of Hempstead.

I'd also like to mention that this project is as of right, meaning it's permissible under the current zoning code. Years ago, the Village of Hempstead adopted this code to revitalize it's downtown. We believe that, you know, this project is going to do that. It's conforming with the existing code and we're not asking for any variances. We also receive site plan approval from the Village of Hempstead and planning board approval from the Village of Hempstead.

It was also mentioned today
that the project is being used, the site, excuse me, the property is
being used for excess parking for some of the car dealerships in the area. I want to really stress that
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the development is not displacing anyone from the Village of Hempstead. It's a parking lot and a storefront church. There will be no residents kicked out of the Village of Hempstead due to the development of this project.

As Mr. Leno mentioned earlier, I appeared in front of this Board a few years back. At the time, the project was induced for a 15-year Pilot and some other IDA benefits. Unfortunately, that Pilot did not allow or generate any investor interest. The good news is that if the Board does approve this Pilot for the term that we're requesting, we have an investor in place that's ready to move forward with investing over 60 million dollars into the Village of Hempstead immediately.

I would like to give a lot of credit to this Board for approving Pilots in some of the neighboring
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communities, as Mr. Leno mentioned. Your investment there has resulted in economic improvement in those communities. If you take a look at Avalon Bays, Rockville Centre Apartment, which was on a contaminated lot, $I$ think that community has been well received by the Village residents. As a matter of fact, $I$ think Avalon Bay has done, since your first inducement, they have actually done another phase, and $I$ believe they're planning a third phase, so that project has been well received. West 130 at Mill Creek was a project that this Board induced. I can tell
you that $I$ personally live around the corner from that development, and $I$ can tell you that since that project has been there, the neighborhood has improved. As you probably know, it was an hourly hotel which lead to a lot of
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undesirables being in the area. Since that project has been there, that apartment community, we've seen that go completely away. I give this Board a lot of credit for that. Again, it's revitalized that area. The Island Park Development that Avalon Bay is doing, $I$ think you guys approved the Pilot there as well, and that's going to lead to the elimination of a contaminated site on Long Island. Beechwood was another project that the Board granted IDA benefits to a Merrick Boulevard in Westbury. That was an eyesore. That location had outlived its usefulness.

I guess at the end of the day, I'm asking this Board to provide the Village residents, the Village of Hempstead residents, with the same opportunity that those communities received. We want to see our community improve just like those
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communities have improved. We think with your approval here and inducement that would be the catalyst to the Village improving. I'd like to end by saying that the IDA has a pretty straight forward choice in my opinion. A yes vote for this project means that the Village of Hempstead would receive much needed quality housing, an additional 4.5 million dollars in revenue to the Village of Hempstead, $\$ 750,000$ to the upgrade of the utility infrastructure within the Village, 28 annual jobs, 1.2 million dollars in annual earning to the Village, approximately 2.5 million dollars in annual spending for the Village, and nearly 6.8 million dollars in additional dollars given to the Village of Hempstead school district. A no vote means this property comes off the tax roll and the Village of Hempstead receives
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nothing. I'm asking you guys to approve this project, induce the project. I'm happy to answer any questions you may have about the project. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any Board members have questions?

Mr. Parola, I have a question.
Mr. Walker mentioned something about $\$ 750,000$ going to water or sewer improvements. Where does, if it does, that fit into them asking for a Pilot? There is nothing in the paperwork on it.

MR. PAROLA: We are unaware of any improvements other than those that are in the project at the premises. This is new information to us, as is the 28 permanent jobs. I think he means construction jobs. This does not generate 28 jobs on an ongoing basis, just for the record.

MR. LENO: Mr. Parola, the 28
jobs he's talking about comes out of
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the Camoin Report. That is from the Camoin Report itself. We're not talking about on site jobs. The Camoin Report says the development of this project will generate throughout the Village 28 additional jobs as a result of this project. I think that's the reference to that. That's not full time equivalent.

The 750,000 , the IDA was made aware that it has nothing to do with the taxes, Chairman Girardi. It has to do with the fact that as part of the project, it was clearly demonstrated, it was recommended by the Village, $I$ believe, engineers, and Mr. Walker will correct me, and that payment is outside of the Pilot going directly to the Village for the purposes of improving certain aspects of utilities that are going to be used for the site.
Mr. Walker, can you just
confirm the structure of that
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payment, who the payment is going to, and the specific, you know, the specifics on that payment?

MR. WALKER: You're correct. It's $\$ 750,000$ that would be paid directly to the Village. It was an agreement that was made between the development entity and the Village of Hempstead in order to improve the sewer infrastructure. It should be noted though, however, that since we've appeared in front of this IDA Board, the Village of Hempstead utility infrastructure has been upgraded, but nonetheless, we are contributing $\$ 750,000$ to the Village to continue to improve the infrastructure.

MR. LENO: Mr. Walker, that is in addition to the Pilot payments, correct? This is nothing to do with the Pilot. It's an additional 750,000 that is being paid in connection with an agreement the
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development made with the Village.
MR. WALKER: That is correct.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any
questions from Board members?
MR. JOHNSON: Hello?
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Hello.
MR. JOHNSON: This is Lamont
Johnson. I have a question about the amount that is going to the school district. I believe now it would be only 65,000 a year.

MR. WALKER: No, that's
incorrect. As you know, a portion of our tax bill goes to the school district in Hempstead. Over the term of the Pilot, according to the Camoin Report, and I'm just trying to flip back to that, with the Pilot, approximately 15.4 million dollars would go to the town. So if you assume that, you know, some of that is, of course -- I'm sorry.

That was the total Pilot payment to the Village. If you suggest, I
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guess a portion of that, usually they say around 67 percent or so of the taxes from the community goes to the school district, that obviously leaves you to substantially more than $\$ 65,000$. If you just use that math, that's about 9.75 million dollars going to the school district over the term of the Pilot, so I don't think that information is correct.

MR. JOHNSON: I was speaking about the amount for the first three years.

MS. LONGO: I'm just going to explain the way the Pilots are distributed over the course of the life of the Pilot. Every Pilot and every taxing jurisdiction within the Pilot gets the proportionate share as defined by the Nassau County assessor and the Village of

Hempstead. Whatever the school district's proportionate share that
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they are to get per year, they're going to continue to get that based on the total Pilot payment. MR. PAROLA: The first three years for the school district is 65,000, Mr. Johnson, you're correct.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. That's not
a lot of money when $I$ pay 9,000
myself for one home.
MR. WALKER: Well, that's
actually during the construction
phase. Then going forward,
Mr. Johnson, that number
substantially increases. Perhaps
the IDA staff can explain again that
that number ramps up dramatically
throughout the course of the Pilot.
So while the first three years it would remain as currently the $\$ 65,000$, there is no decrease to that. As soon as the property comes on line, that number increases.

MR. PAROLA: For example, in
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the tenth year, the school district would get about 280,000 , give or take, just to put it in perspective.
MS. LONGO: Also, as a
reminder, the Pilot starts with the first three years higher than the existing taxes. So during the construction phase, that's what it will be. It will be higher than it currently receives. Then in year four is when the increase will start ramping up.

MR. LENO: I would just ask the IDA staff to confirm, I think it's standard operating procedure for the IDA when they are negotiating or putting together a Pilot that usually the taxes that are in place on a Pilot is frozen for the first three years, meaning if you were doing this Pilot for anybody, not just us, standard is to keep it at what the current assessment is for the first three years of the Pilot.
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Is that correct?
MR. PAROLA: That is correct.
MR. LENO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Walker,
I have a question.
MR. WALKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Does the applicant give the Village the payment or the water district and when does it give it?

MR. WALKER: We give it to the Village. We would give that to the Village upon TTO or the commencement of the construction. It has not been finalized. We're prepared to give it to them, you know, whenever they request it.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: You have no formal agreement with them, binding agreement with the Village?

MR. WALKER: Yes, we do. It's part of the will serve letter, but the timing isn't addressed on that $I$ don't believe, but we're prepared to
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pay that whenever they request that. MS. LONGO: Just as a note, the agency Board and the agency is not part of that agreement nor can we be part of that agreement.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Right.
MR. WEIR: In terms of the IDA
Act, the IDA cannot be a party to that agreement.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Does anybody want to make a motion?

MR. OPPENHEIMER: Good morning. I'd like to move that we vote on this proposal.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Okay. I am going to take a vote. I need a second for the inducement of the resolution.

MS. VANDERHALL: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Let's take a
vote.

Mr. Oppenheimer?
MR. OPPENHEIMER: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Johnson?
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MR. JOHNSON: No.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms. Guerra?

MS. GUERRA: Nay.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Hargraves?
MS. HARGRAVES: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend

Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: No.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: No.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: No.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut,

I didn't hear you. I'm sorry.

MR. MAJKUT: No.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I am an aye as well. We have five nays and four ayes.

```
        Staff?
```

MR. PAROLA: Fails.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: The motion
is not moved. It does not pass.

Next item on the agenda. New
Business. Applications, Transaction
Resolutions and Presentations.

Excuse me for a minute.

Hempstead Board members, you're welcome to stay on if you'd like obviously.

Consideration of a Sales Tax Exemption Extension of Time only for AVB Harbor Isle, Island Park, due to the COVID 19 Pandemic.

I know we got a letter and then we got another letter on that. I'm going to make a motion.

Does anybody have any questions before I make the motion? No questions from the Board? I'll make a motion. Do $I$ have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Let's take a vote.

Reverend Mallette?
Proceedings - 9-24-2020

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: No.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I am an aye as well. Mr. Girardi is an aye as well.

Next item on the agenda.
Consideration of a Tenant Consent
for 900 Stewart Avenue Holdings,
Garden City. Beyond Air
Incorporated.

MR. PAROLA: This is a medical
device company, 28 full-time jobs.
It's continuing 900 stewart's
attempt to fill its building.
That's what is before you.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll make
the motion. Do I have a second?
REVEREND MALLETTE: I second.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Let's take a vote.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: (No verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

I think we have a bad connection.
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: And I am an aye as well. Motion is passed.

Next item. Consideration of a Tenant Consent for Valley Stream Green Acres, New York. Connection Inc.

MR. PAROLA: This is a Verizon related business. Obviously, going with Green Acres filling its tenancy
Proceedings - 9-24-2020
needs. We see nothing wrong. We approve it, a recommendation from staff.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions?

I'll make a motion. Do I have a second?

MR. MAJKUT: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi is an aye.

Ms. Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: And Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ayes have it.

Next item on the agenda. New Business. The CEO Report.

MR. PAROLA: No change in the
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CEO Report. I can answer any questions. Don't need a vote. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions.

Next item on the agenda. Consideration to Rescind the Resolution for the Town of Hempstead IDA Small Business Assistance Loan Program.

I guess Fred is going to explain that to us.

MR. PAROLA: Yes. If counsel
wants to jump in they can. Upon advice of counsel, we have been told by legal opinion that this program must be pursued through the large $F$ of the Local Development Corporation as the Town IDA cannot legally participate, thus the reason for the rescinding of the resolution. We are not abandoning hopefully the commitment to pursue this assistance to small businesses minorities and women owned businesses.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: AnY
questions?
MR. PAROLA: We need a motion.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll make the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Second.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Let's take a vote.

Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye as well.
Consideration of a Resolution
to extend the Waiving of IDA
Administrative Late Fees for PILOT payments until December 31, 2020, due to the COVID 19 Pandemic.
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If there are no questions, I
think it's self explanatory. I'll
make a motion.

Do I have a second?
REVEREND MALLETTE: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Second by
Reverend Mallette. Flo Girardi is an aye.

Ms. Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: And Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item on the agenda. Old Business. 830

Atlantic LLC. PILOT Update.
MR. PAROLA: It's tabled. They paid. They have paid. We'd like to remove this as an item pending
Proceedings - 9-24-2020 clearance of the check.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: There is our update, okay.

Reading and Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting. We would like to make a motion to waive. I'm sorry. First we need an Adoption of the Minutes from August 27,2020 . I'll make a motion for the Adoption of the Minutes.

REVEREND MALLETTE: I second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Can $I$ get a vote?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: (No verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: I'm going to
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have to say I got cut off for one second.

MR. PAROLA: We're doing
Minutes vote.
MS. VANDERHALL: I'm sorry.
Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item on the agenda. Report of the Treasurer. Financial Statements and Expenditure List, August 21 st to September 17,2020 .

REVEREND MALLETTE: Everything looks to be in place. I have no problems reviewing it and looking at it.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions then.

The Draft Budget for 2021 , Reverend?

REVEREND MALLETTE:
(Inaudible.)
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Committee Updates.

We had a committee meeting this
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morning.
I will make the motion for the draft budget. Do $I$ have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: I'll second that.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Flo Girardi is an aye.

Ms. Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ayes have it.

Committee Updates.
We had a committee meeting this morning.

I'll make a motion for an adjournment of today's meeting. Do I have a second for an adjournment?

```
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MR. MAJKUT: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Let's take a vote.
Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye.
(Time noted: 9:35 a.m.)
```

CERTIFICATION
I, DENISE MANTEKAS, a Notary Public
in and for the state of New York, do hereby certify:
THAT the witness whose testimony is herein
before set forth, was duly sworn by me; and
THAT the within transcript is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.

I further certify that $I$ am not related, either by blood or marriage, to any of the parties to this action; and

THAT I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this list day of October, 2020 .


DENISE MANTEKAS


## 33:11, 33:13, 33:15,

33:18, 33:21, 34:2,
34:23, 35:3, 35:5,
35:7, 35:10, 35:23,
36:2, 36:6, 36:9,
36:12, 36:14, 36:17,
37:5, 37:10, 37:14, 37:16, 37:18, 37:21, 38:4, 39:2, 39:5, 39:8, 39:12, 39:14, 39:16, 39:19, 40:8, 40:13, 40:15, 40:17, 40:20, 41:3, 41:13, 41:16, 41:19, 41:21, 41:23, 42:8, 42:17, 42:23, 43:7, 43:11, 43:13, 43:15, 43:18, 44:3, 44:7, 44:9, 44:11, 44:14
Chairman [1]-25:13
chairman [1] - 9:4
chance ${ }_{[1]}-7: 8$
change [1]-37:25
changed [1]-10:11
check [1] - 41:2
CHERICE [1]-2:15
child [1] - 18:17
children [4]-15:8,
18:15, 18:18, 18:21
choice [1] - 23:8
church [8]-5:22, 6:2,
6:3, 6:15, 14:14,
14:20, 16:22, 20:5
City ${ }^{[2]}-13: 14,35: 16$
clarity $[1]-10: 17$
clear [2]-11:14, 11:19 clearance [1]-41:2 clearly $[1]$ - 25:15
client ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:16
client's [1] - 14:10
clients [1] - 12:22
code [3] - 19:8, 19:9,
19:13
coming ${ }_{[1]}-4: 2$
commencement ${ }_{[1]}$ -
31:14
comments [1]-4:13
commissioned [1] 17:19
commitment [1] 38:23
committed [1] - 17:15
committee [3]-42:23,
42:25, 43:21
Committee [1] - 43:20 communities [4] -
21:2, 21:5, 22:23, 23:2
community $[9]-6: 8$, 7:11, 7:13, 13:20,

15:18, 21:9, 22:4, 22:25, 28:4
company ${ }^{[1]}-35: 19$
complete [1] - 5:19
completed [1] - 10:13
completely ${ }_{[1]}-22: 5$
concerned [1] - 16:12
concerns [1]-9:25
concluded [2]-17:21,
18:3
confirm [2]-25:25,
30:15
conforming [1] -
19:13
connection [4]-9:11, 26:25, 36:10, 36:21
Consent [2]-35:14,
36:20
consideration [6] 8:20, 12:6, 34:11, 36:19, 38:7, 39:21
Consideration [1] 35:14 construction [5] 15:17, 24:21, 29:13, 30:9, 31:15 contaminated [2]21:8, 22:12 continue [2]-26:18, 29:3
continuing [1] - 35:20
contrary [1] - 16:6
contribute [1] - 18:23
contributing [2] -
15:5, 26:17
conversations [2] -
11:10, 14:2
cooperation [1] - 6:19
corner [1]-21:20
Corporation [1] 38:18
correct [9]-8:4,
25:18, 26:5, 26:22, 27:3, 28:12, 29:8, 31:2, 31:3
cost [2] - 18:15, 18:20 counsel [2]-38:13, 38:15
County [2] - 13:9, 28:22
course [4]-6:4,
27:23, 28:18, 29:19
court [2]-4:6, 8:14
Court [1] - 1:15
COVID [3]-15:15, 34:14, 39:25
create [1]-17:22
credit [2] - 20:24, 22:6
Creek [2]-13:6, 21:17 current [2]-19:7,




| procedure [1] - 30:16 | 38:3, 38:5, 39:3, | 27:18, 37:24, 38:2 | Sales [1] - $34: 11$ | spending [1] - 23:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program [1] - 38:10 | 40:2, 42:17 | reporter ${ }_{[2]}-4: 7,8: 15$ | school [13]-15:8, | STACEY ${ }_{[1]}-2: 8$ |
| program [1] - 38:16 | quick [1] - 3 : | Reporter ${ }_{[1]}-1: 15$ | 15:9, 18:11, 18:14, | staff [5]-12:19, |
| project [50]-5:3, 5:20, | quorum [1]-4:11 | reports [1]-15:10 | 18:25, 23:22, 27:11, | $29: 17,30: 15,33: 24,$ |
| 9:23, 10:12, 10:14, | R | 32:2 | 28:24, 29:6, 30:2 | standard [2]-30:16, |
| 11:5, 11:12, 11:20, |  | requesting ${ }_{[1]}-20: 18$ | schools [2] - 18:19, | , |
| 11:23, 11:24, 12:7, | Radler [2]-2:18, 9:6 | require [1] - 12:5 | 19:3 | standing [1] - 14:24 |
| 12:9, 12:12, 12:15, | ramping $[1]-30: 13$ | Rescind [1] - 38:7 | Second [1] - 40:8 | start [1] - 30:12 |
| 12:24, 13:4, 15:6, | ramps [1]-29:18 | rescinding [1] - 38:21 | second [18] - 32:18, | starts [1] - 30:6 |
| 15:12, 15:16, 15:22, | Rashid [4]-9:21, | resident ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:11 | 32:20, 34:21, 34:22, | State [1]-45:4 |
| 16:3, 16:5, 17:6, | 15:21, 16:2, 16:4 | Residential [1] - 13:7 | 35:24, 35:25, 37:8, | Statements [1] - 42:10 |
| 17:20, 17:21, 17:24, | RASHID ${ }_{[1]}-2: 18$ | residents [7] - 16:14, | 37:9, 39:6, 39:7, | stay ${ }_{[2]}$ - 18:18, $34: 9$ |
| 18:13, 18:23, 19:6, | rate [5]-10:24, 11:13, | 17:7, 18:3, 20:6, | 40:5, 40:7, 41:12, | Stewart [1] - 35:15 |
| 19:11, 19:21, 20:8, | 11:18, 11:23, 12:3 | 21:10, 22:21, 22:22 | 42:3, 43:4, 43:5, | Stewart's [1] - 35:20 |
| 20:12, 21:16, 21:17, | rather [1] - 14:25 | resolution [3] - 10:9, | 43:25, 44:2 | stock [2]-11:8, 12:3 |
| 21:22, 22:3, 22:14, | re [1] - 14:3 | 32:19, 38:2 | see [4]-6:22, 6:23, | storefront [2] - 14:13 |
| 23:9, 24:3, 24:4, | re-zoning [1] - 14:3 | Resolution [3]-8:21, | 2:24, 37:2 | 20:5 |
| 24:6, 24:18, 25:6, | reading [1] - 41:5 | 38:8, 39:2 | seek [1] - 9:16 | straight [1] - 23:7 |
| 25:8, 25:15 | ready [1] - 20:20 | Resolutions [1] - 34:6 | seeking [1]-9:14 | Stream [1] - 36:20 |
| projects [5]-7:21, | really [1] - 19:25 | response [2]-36:8, | self [1] - 40:3 | Street $[6]-5: 8,8: 21$, |
| 11:3, 13:5, 13:11, | reason [1] - 38:20 | 41:18 | sell [3]-5:24, 6:2 | 8:22, 8:25, 9:10, |
| 13:12 | reasons [1]-16:16 | result [1] - 25:8 | 6:14 | 9:12 |
| promote [1]-7:20 | receive [3]-17:11, | resulted [1]-21:3 | sellers [1] - 14:19 | STREET $_{[1]}-2: 17$ |
| properties [1] - 16:18 | 19:15, 23:10 | retail [1] - 14:5 | september ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:8 | street ${ }_{[1]}$-5:15 |
| property [21] - 5:14, | received $[3]-21: 9$, | retire ${ }_{[1]}$ - $5: 24$ | September ${ }_{[1]}$ - 42:12 | stress [1]-19:25 |
| 5:21, 5:24, 6:5, 6:6, | 21:16, 22:24 | REV [1]-2:14 | serve [1] - 31:23 | strip ${ }_{[1]}$-6:23 |
| , 7:4, 7:5, 7:8 | receives [2]-23:25 | revenue [2]-15:5, | set [3]-10:25, 45:6 | structure [1]-25:25 |
|  | 30:11 | 2 | 45:15 | studio [1]-10:22 |
| 14:17, 14:19, 15:3, | recent ${ }_{[1]}-13: 11$ | revenues [1] - 17:12 | several [2]-12:6, | study [3] - 17:20, 18:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16: 21,16: 23,16: 24, \\ & 19: 22,23: 24,29: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recommendation }[1] \text { - } \\ & 37: 3 \end{aligned}$ | Reverend [6] - 36:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:13 } \\ & \text { sewer }[3]-17: \end{aligned}$ | substantially [2] 28:6, 29:16 |
| proportionate [2] - | recommended ${ }_{[1]}$ | 42:20 | $24: 11,26: 11$ | 28:6, 29: |
| $\text { 28:21, } 28: 25$ | 25:16 | reverend [5] - 3:11, | SHAPIRO ${ }_{[1]}-2: 12$ | suggested $[1]$ - 16:15 |
| proposal [1] - 32:15 | record [2]-24:23 | 33:8, 34:25, 39:10, | share [2]-28:21, | support [1] - 12:8 |
| proposed [5] - 12:21, | 45:7 | 43:15 | 28:25 | surrounding [1] - 6:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:2, 14:10, 18:4, } \\ & 18: 10 \end{aligned}$ | recording ${ }_{[1]}-9: 19$ | REVEREND [18] - | shortly [1] - 9:22 | sustainable ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| provide [2]-17:24, | redevelopment ${ }^{[2]}$ | $13,33: 10,34: 22$, | significantly ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 12:17 sworn $[1]-45: 6$ |
| 22:20 | $5: 13,5: 2$ | $37: 20,39: 7,39: 1$ | 11:23 | sworn [1] - 45:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { public [2] - 4:12 } \\ & 18: 19 \end{aligned}$ | reduced [1] - 7:7 <br> reference [1] - 25:9 | 40:6, 40:19, 41:12, <br> 41:15, 42:13, 42:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { similar }_{[2]}-10: 4,11: 5 \\ & \text { similarly }[1]-13: 5 \end{aligned}$ | T |
| Public [1] - 45:3 <br> purchase ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { regard [2] - 9:23, } \\ & \text { 13:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 43:5, 43:17, 44:6 } \\ \text { reviewing }[1]-42: 15 \end{gathered}$ | single ${ }_{[1]}-6: 17$ <br> site [8]-12:4, 14:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tabled [2] - 8:23, } \\ & 40: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| purposes [2]-10:18, | regarding [1] - 5:7 | revitalize [1] - 19:10 | 14:12, 19:15, 19:22, | $\boldsymbol{t a x}_{[10]}-7: 9,9: 17,$ |
| :21 | registered ${ }_{[1]}$ - 4:20 | revitalized [1] - 22:7 | 22:13, 25:4, 25:23 | $9: 19,15: 5,15: 7,$ |
| pursue [1] - 38:23 | registration [1] - 4:19 | RHOADS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:9 | situated [1]-13:5 | 16:18, 16:24, 17:2, |
| pursued [1] - 38:17 | related [2]-36:24, | Rivkin [2] - 2:18, 9:6 | Small [1] - 38:9 | 23:24, 27:15 |
| put $[3]-5: 10,12: 20$, $30: 4$ | 45:9 | Rockville [2]-13:15, | small [1] - 38:24 | Tax [1]-34:11 |
| 30:4 | remain [1] - 29:21 | $2$ | sold [2] - 14:20, 16:22 | taxes [8]-6:4, 7:5, |
| puts [1]-11:24 | remind ${ }_{[1]}-4: 5$ | roll [1]-23:2 | soon [1] - 29:23 | 16:13, 16:17, 25:13, |
| putting [1]-30:18 | reminder ${ }_{[1]}$ - 30:6 | rolls [2]-7:9, 16:2 | sorry [5] - 8:15, 27:23, | 28:4, 30:8, 30:19 |
| Q | ve [1] - 40:25 | $\mathbf{R X R}_{[1]}-9: 7$ | $\begin{gathered} 33: 16,41: 8,42: 6 \\ \text { span }[1]-18: 22 \end{gathered}$ | taxing ${ }_{[1]}-28: 20$ <br> taxpayers $[1]$ - 17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { quality }[2]-11: 8, \\ & 23: 11 \\ & \text { questions }[12]-9: 25, \\ & 24: 5,24: 8,27: 5, \\ & 34: 18,34: 20,37: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rental }_{[2]}-10: 15, \\ & 10: 18 \end{aligned}$ | Ryan [2]-2:10, 8:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { speaking }[2]-5: 2, \\ & 28: 13 \end{aligned}$ | team [1]-12:19 teleconference ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
|  | renting ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:23 | S | specific [1] - 26:3 | 1:7 |
|  | report [1]-42:9 |  | specifics [1]-26:4 | tenancy [1]-36:25 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Report }[7]-15: 12, \\ & 25: 2,25: 3,25: 5, \end{aligned}$ | Sachs [1] - 12:14 <br> sales [1] - 9:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spell }[1]-8: 14 \\ & \text { spend }[1]-18: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tenant }[2]-35: 14 \text {, } \\ & 36: 20 \end{aligned}$ |


| tenth $[1]-30: 2$ | units [8]-10:15, | 23:23, 32:14, 32:17, | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| term $[5]-12: 16$, | 10:18, 10:19, 10:20, | 32:22, 34:24, 36:3, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:12, 20:18, 27:17, } \\ & 28: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:22, 10:23, 10:24, } \\ & 12: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 3,39: 9,41: 14, \\ & 42: 5,43: 8,44: 4 \end{aligned}$ | zoning [2] - 14:3, 19:8 |
| terms [1] - 32:8 | up [3]-14:13, 29:18, |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { testimony [2] - 45:5, } \\ & 45: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 30:13 <br> upcoming ${ }_{[1]}-13: 13$ | W |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { THAT }[3]-45: 5,45: 7, \\ & 45: 12 \end{aligned}$ | Update ${ }_{[1]}$ - 40:22 update [1] - 41:4 updated [2]-11:12 | waiting ${ }_{[1]}-5: 25$ <br> waive [1] - 41:7 |  |
| THE [2]-1:3 therefore ${ }_{[1]}-16: 23$ |  | Waiving [1] - 39:22 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { updated [2] - 11:12, } \\ & \text { 11:17 } \end{aligned}$ | Walker [7] - 9:2, 9:22, |  |
| third [1]-21:15 <br> three [6]-28:14, 29:6, | Updates [2] - 42:24, 43:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 21,15: 24,16: 2, \\ & 16: 4,25: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { three }[6]-28: 14,29: 6, \\ & 29: 20,30: 7,30: 21, \\ & 30: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { upgrade }_{[2]}-17: 16 \text {, } \\ & 23: 14 \end{aligned}$ | WALKER $[9]-2: 18$, 15:25, 26:5, 27:3, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout }[2]-25: 7 \text {, } \\ & 29: 19 \end{aligned}$ | upgraded ${ }_{[1]}-26: 16$ usefulness [1]-22:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 13,29: 12,31: 7, \\ & 31: 12,31: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { utilities }[1]-25: 22 \\ & \text { utility }{ }_{[2]}-23: 15 \text {, } \\ & 26: 15 \end{aligned}$ | walker [5] - 9:24, |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { today }[4]-4: 3,9: 14, \\ 16: 20,19: 20 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 10,25: 18,26: 20, \\ & 31: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { today's }[1]-43: 24 \\ & \text { TODD }_{[1]}-2: 12 \\ & \text { together }[4]-5: 10, \\ & \text { 11:25, 12:20, } 30: 18 \end{aligned}$ |  | aned [1] - 10:13 |  |
|  | V | wants [1]-38:14 |  |
|  | vacant [1]-14:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { water }[3]-17: 16, \\ 24: 11,31: 10 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOMEO }_{[1]}-2: 8 \\ & \text { total } \\ & 29: 4-5: 15,27: 24, \end{aligned}$ | Valley ${ }_{[1]}-36: 20$value ${ }_{[1]}-7: 7$ | Wax [1]-2:12 |  |
|  |  | Weir [2] - 8:4, 8:8 |  |
|  | VANDERHALL [12] - <br> $2 \cdot 15,32 \cdot 20,33 \cdot 20$ | WEIR [3]-2:11, 8:8, |  |
| touch [1]-6:17 |  | 32:8 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Town }[7]-4: 9,9: 16, \\ & 12: 18,13: 2,13: 9 \\ & 38: 8,38: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 9,36: 16,37: 13 \\ & 39: 18,40: 12,41: 25 \end{aligned}$ | welcome [1] - 34:9 |  |
|  |  | West [1]-21:17 |  |
|  | $42: 6,43: 10,44: 13$ | Westbury $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$ - 22:16 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 8,38: 19 \\ & \text { TOWN } 2]-1: 4,2: 4 \end{aligned}$ | vanderhall [1] $-41: 24$ | whatsoever [1]-7:7 |  |
| TOWN[2] - 1:4, 2:4 town [1]-27:21 | Vanderhall [9]-3:21, | WHEREOF ${ }_{[1]}$ - 45:14 |  |
|  | $33: 19,35: 8,36: 15 \text {, }$ | William [1] - 8:8 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 12,39: 17,40: 11, \\ & 43: 9,44: 12 \end{aligned}$ | win $[6]-7: 12,7: 16$, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { transcript }{ }_{[1]}-45: 7 \\ & \text { Treasurer }{ }_{[1]}-42: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | 7:17, 7:18, 7:19 |  |
| treated $[1]-13: 4$ | variances [1] - 19:15 <br> verbal [2]-36:7, 41:17 | witness [2] - 45:5, |  |
| treating ${ }_{[1]}$ - 13:3 |  | WITNESS [1] - 45:14 |  |
| trend [1]-13:8 | Village [56] - 7:10, | women [1] - 38:25 |  |
| true $[1]-45: 7$ trying $[1]-27: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 17,9: 13,11: 4 \\ & 11: 9,11: 11,13: 14 \end{aligned}$ | Wood [1] - 10:7 |  |
| TTO ${ }_{[1]}-31: 14$turned ${ }_{[1]}-6: 23$ |  | workforce [2]-10:20, |  |
|  | 14:7, 14:25, 15:3, | 11:2 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { turned }[1]-6: 23 \\ & \text { two [2] }-10: 23,11: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 15:14, 15:17, 16:9, | worse [1] - 14:24 |  |
| two-bedroom [1] - 10:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:11, 16:14, 16:19, } \\ & \text { 17:3, 17:7, 17:10, } \end{aligned}$ | write [1]-8:5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { type }[4]-12: 8,13: 18, \\ & 15: 15 \\ & \text { types }[2]-7: 20,13: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:15, 17:23, 18:2, } \\ & \text { 18:6, 18:16, 19:4, } \end{aligned}$ | Y |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 20:3, 20:6, 20:22, | $\begin{gathered} \text { year }[5]-18: 6,27: 12, \\ 29: 2,30: 2,30: 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| U | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:10, 22:21, 23:5, } \\ & \text { 23:10, 23:13, 23:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { years }[13]-5: 23,12: 7, \\ 13: 23,16: 10,18: 19, \end{array}$ |  |
| unaware ${ }^{[1]}$ - 24:16 | 23:18, 23:20, 23:22, | 19:8, 20:11, 28:15, |  |
| under [2]-10:7, 19:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:25, 25:7, 25:17, } \\ & \text { 25:20, 26:7, 26:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 6,29: 20,30: 7, \\ & 30: 21,30: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| undesirables [1] -22:2 | 26:14, 26:17, 27:2, | York [3] - 9:8, 36:21, |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| unfortunately [1] - | 31:13, 31:14, 31:21 |  |  |
| Uniondale [1] - 9:7 | village $[2]-8: 17,8: 19$ <br> vote [14]-7:22, 23:9, |  |  |
| $\text { unit }{ }_{[1]}-10: 21$ |  |  |  |

