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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: It's 9:03
a.m. I would like to call the meeting of the Town of Hempstead IDA to order. We have a quorum. I'm going to take attendance for the court stenographer. I'm Chairman Girardi.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Here.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: (No response.)
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr.
Oppenheimer?
MR. OPPENHEIMER: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Johnson?

No response. Ms. Hargraves?
MS. HARGRAVES: Present.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms. Guerra?

MS. GUERRA: Here.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item on the agenda will be public comment with respect to the agenda items.

Anybody in the public want to make any comments? Please state your name first for the stenographer.

MS. GARRY: This is Katherine Garry. Can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Good morning.

MS. GARRY: My name is
Katherine Garry. I'm the editor of the Freedom Press and director of the Many Races Cultural Foundation, as well as a member of the Hempstead Committee to save Hempstead. I'm here to make an appeal to your moral conscious and to give evidence that the proposed pilot for the Main Street project is totally wrong.

MR. PAROLA: There is no pilot.
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MS. GARY: It's totally wrong and unjust.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: There is no pilot. They're just making a presentation.

MS. GARRY: I said the proposed pilot.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No pilot is being proposed.

MR. PAROLA: There's no proposed pilot.

MS. GARRY: Was is it proposed in the abstract?

MS. LONGO: It's not a pilot.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: They want to
make a presentation for consideration of a proposal.

MS. GARRY: Yes, that's what I'm speaking to, the proposal.

MR. PAROLA: There's no proposal on the table yet.

MS. GARRY: Well, it's on the abstract.

MR. PAROLA: Go ahead.
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that it's morally wrong for this agency to force this project on the Hempstead community. While there are obviously some people in the community who support this nonsense, the Hempstead community residents on the whole have, for many years, spoken out strongly against projects of this nature.

In the 2017 election, Mayor Hall, a foolish advocate of such projects, was soundly defeated by the people. In October of 2018, the people from all corners of Hempstead strongly defeated proposal for this same type of housing which was proposed for the same North Main Street area. The proposed pilot brought out a huge crowd at Village Hall and the plan was finally rejected. I note that it was rejected by the people, not merely because of the pilot, but because
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the project, pilot or not, was not wanted by the community. The project was not wanted by the community.

Certainly, you can understand why the people rejected it. We all know that Hempstead is already overcrowded. That is why the people rejected it. There was suggestion to the developers to build their apartment buildings in some of the wealthy communities which are not so overcrowded.

If more housing is needed then the other communities need to be forced to open their doors. Please don't give me the argument that the proposed pilot will bring in more tax dollars than what is there now on that land. That argument only stands if the particular project is desired by the community. It's the project itself is not desired by the people then to force it on the
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community is unconscionable.
Pilots are for the purpose of providing the type of development that people want, not the type of development that the developers want. It is up to the Hempstead community to find other ways to revitalize itself without being forced to bow down to the desires of developers who protect their own community while they seek to exploit Hempstead. Enough is enough.

Hempstead already has 80 percent of its housing in apartment buildings. Hempstead is already overcrowded and already has a transit based downtown. So let the developers take their millions and buy up some of the Rockville Centre or Garden City parking lots so those communities can construct parking garages and the apartment buildings that are claimed to be needed in the town or in the county.
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Thank you for taking these comments under consideration and $I$ sincerely hope that you will be guided by your love for justice to see the value and logic of what $I$ propose. Respectfully, Katherine Garry.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you.

MS. GARRY: You're welcome.
MR. LODATO: If you're not
speaking, please mute your phones. There's a lot of feedback.

MS. WINSTON: Terry Winston
would like to speak.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead,

Ms. Winston.

MS. WINSTON: My name is Terry
Winston. I reside at 55 Courtney Road in the confines of the Village of Hempstead. I have lived at that location well over 20 years. I pay more than my fair share of taxes and do so willingly and without question.
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Hempstead has a
disproportionate amount of this kind of structure as it is and can no longer subsidize or even afford to pay to stay in Hempstead.

Furthermore, this property was acquired and to add more insult to injury is now worth $\$ 10,000,000.00$. Surely, the owner can well afford to pay their fair share.

The jobs this project will create, as well as the creation of economic growth, are a sham. Look at the current abysmal state that Hempstead is at. These handouts have not yielded any improvement. To the contrary, they only serve to bring the community down.

Last but not least, Mr. Rashed Walker is a member of the Village of Hempstead Planning Board and because of this there may be a conflict of interest. I say no to the development.
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Today Hempstead is suffering under 25 pilots. Of the 25,17 are for huge apartment complexes. A study done in 2019 for only 13 of the 17 were apartments. It shows a loss of taxes to the Village of Hempstead of 5 million per year. Over 20 years it will be 100 million.

In addition, the school has lost close to 200 million over the 20 years. This is outrageous. It's overkill. No wonder Hempstead is struggling.

Thank you for your time.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Ms. Winston. Do we have any other public comments on any of the agenda items?

MR. MOHAMED: Yes. Good afternoon. My name is Aukman Mohamed. I'm a Community advocate of Hempstead. I'm running for mayor in March 2021 .
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This is a 30-year pilot for a 56-unit, mostly upscale, apartment building on Main Street. It's an outrage. We're in the midst of the worse financial crisis since the great depression of 1929. And again, the poor are getting poorer and the shrinking middle class and the wealthy are treated with tax breaks at the expense of the struggling taxpayer.

This is part of a bigger plan
to build 16 apartments in a building being subsidized by residents who are already displaced by the thousands while footing the bill. The wealthy undeserving outsiders sucking the economic blood from struggling residents raising property and school taxes first.

There is no true economic incentive in this for the residents. This is for future outsiders. Cease this bad business that is
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gentrification of black Hempstead. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, sir. Any other comments?

I'm going to move on to Village business. Village of Freeport, we have no new business.

Village of Hempstead, we have consideration of an approval for a refinance/subordination and attornment agreement for JS Hempstead which was tabled from the April $23 r d$ meeting.

Does the staff have any comments?

MR. LODATO: JS Hempstead is looking to refinance. They're taking, $I$ believe, eight or nine of their properties and doing one mortgage for all of them. JS

Hempstead and further down on the agenda, J\&C Autoworld, are part of those eight or nine properties going in to the one mortgage.
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The subordination and attornment agreement is part of that as well, it just reflects between JS Hempstead and the owner of the building who is in charge of what with regards to the payments?

This one is on for Hempstead because obviously it's a parcel in Hempstead, but further down the agenda Autoworld is doing the same thing.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: That's 750 Merrick Road, you're talking about?

MR. PAROLA: No. Is it J\&C Autoworld?

MR. LODATO: That's J\&C but that's not in Hempstead.

MR. PAROLA: That's why it's on this separate calendar. And obviously, from a standpoint of business, it's an attractive time for businesses to consolidate their debt.

I guess there are no questions.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions. We need a motion. I will make a motion. Do $I$ have a second?

MS. GUERRA: Karla Guerra second.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Hargraves?

MS. HARGRAVES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: We're going to take a vote.

Ms. Hargraves aye or nay?
MS. HARGRAVES: Excuse me?

Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: We're taking a vote. Ms. Hargraves, you're an Aye. Ms. Guerra, you're an aye, correct?

MS. GUERRA: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
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MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend

Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'm an Aye as well. Motion is passed.

Next item on the agenda. The presentation for Main Street Apartments, LLC.

MR. LODATO: I just want to say there's no abstract. There's no pilot. There is nothing in place currently for this project. This is purely informational for the Board.

MR. RYAN: No votes today.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Who was
looking to speak on behalf of -- Go ahead.

MR. LENO: Good morning, Mr.
Chairman, members the Board. My name is David Leno from the Law Firm of Rivkin Radler with offices at 926 RXR Plaza in Uniondale, New York. I'm here this morning on behalf of
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Main Street Hempstead Apartments, L.L.C. in connection with the property located at 257 Main Street in the Village of Hempstead. We are here today before you seeking a preliminary inducement for benefits from the Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency, including the sales tax exemption of mortgage recording taxes --

MR. PAROLA: David, there is no preliminary inducement.

MS. LONGO: There is no preliminary inducement on the table, not preliminary or otherwise.

MR. LENO: Understood. That's
what we're seeking. I understand this is an informal presentation as Mr. Lodato has pointed out. I'm just telling you our plan, the road map going forward what action the Board would take today or not.

On behalf of the applicant, I have Rashed Walker who is a company
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contract on this transaction. Mr. Walker is available to answer any questions or concerns that the Board may have in connection with the development.

It should be noted that a similar form of this application was before the Board with prior participants, partners. While some of the participants have changed, the desire to get this project completed has not waned.

This development now is imagined will consist of 166 units. Ten percent of the units will be used for workforce housing units and the breakdown of those units will be 23 studio units, 105 one-bedroom and 38 two-bedroom units. All of the units will be market rate units except for the 10 percent set aside, which will be the workforce housing.

While there are other similar developments built in the Village to
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this project, this development has particular importance because of the fact that it does strive to have a mixture of both market rate and affordable units in the same development.

The development will create over 200 construction jobs. Based on the Village of Hempstead ordinances, the developer will fill and is obligated to fill as many of those construction jobs that are needed for the project with local residents from the Village of Hempstead and this can help people get to work immediately.

As was discussed with the prior
iterations of this application, there is no negative impact with regard to the creation of the generation of school-aged children for the project. We have sulomitted reports prior from Camoyne (phonetic) and there is an updated
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review being done as we speak that will delve further into the impact and show that this will not have a negative impact or material impact on the school district.

In addition, the developer has already committed to attainment of over $\$ 700,000$ towards infrastructure for the Village as determined by the mayor. This development will diversify the housing stock in the Village.

Based on multiple conversations and interactions with the Village's planning level for this development, the need for quality market rate housing is abundantly clear. Also, the need to be inclusive and integrate affordable housing into a market rate development was also put forth as being important. This development puts those two onus together.
It should be noted though the
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diversity of the housing stock mixes projects require additional
consideration. As the past several years have indicated, qualified development investors such as Goldman Sachs would not support this type of endeavor on a 15-year pilot so a longer pilot is needed in order for this development to be built. Several financing entities so far have passed on the development because of the lack of a long-term sustainable pilot.

It should be noted, because it was incorrectly stated before, that the pilot, if there was a pilot to be adopted by this Board on behalf of this project, it will generate much more revenue than the current use of the property.

As we talked about, the current property is a vacant privately owned parking lot, as well as a tax exempt church. If we were not to develop
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this property and as there has been no other interested developers looking at this property for the last five years with regard to any other type of project, the likelihood is that the church will continue to be there and, based on conversations of the seller, may even buy the property which would make this property tax exempt for the Village's purposes.

So, in essence, we are looking
for the Town of Hempstead IDA to treat us as they treated other similarly situated developments, including Mill Creek Residential, the understanding that those developments did not have an obligation or condition of meeting affordable units as part of their unit breakdown.

We know that the Village has mentioned, in the past, in conversations, a desire to generate
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office and retail uses at the location. It's not going to happen. Based on the previous five years there has been zero interest in any developer or project manager looking to invest significant funds building office or retail space at the location.

Given the current situation
with the pandemic, office uses are going to see a dramatic downturn in the future regardless. Brick and mortar retail locations are also reconsidering their business models, so a desire to hold up this project or potential project for the hope that it will be turned into an office complex or retail, you know, retail big box store or something similar, is really just not realistic.

This development will bring
much needed construction jobs to the area at a time when job creation is
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much more important than ever.
Without a longer pilot, the development cannot be built. And without the development, the property, in all likelihood, will be sold to a tax exempt church which will provide zero benefit to the tax palfir (phonetic) and the school districts in the Village of Hempstead.

So at this time, I would like to see if the Board has any comments or question --

MR. RYAN: What, if any, approvals do you need from the Village of Hempstead?

MR. WALKER: Good morning everyone. The approvals that we -We actually have site plan approval already. We do have to make appearance in front of the planning board but the actual approval has already been granted for the project.
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MR. MALLETTE: This is Reverend Mallette. I just wanted to ask, can you tell us the name of that church?

MR. WALKER: It's being rented to the United Church of Christ, I believe is the operator of the church.

MR MALLETTE: Thank you.

MS. GUERRA: This is Karla

Guerra. My question is, is there no retail component to this current project that is being proposed or sought at this point?

MR WALKER: There is a retail component, yes.

MS. GUERRA: What is that?
MR. WALKER: It's approximately 2,350 square feet of ground floor retail.

MS. GUERRA: Do you have anyone interested in that at this point?

Mr. WALKER: We don't have a building permit and nothing, no. We have not gone out to the market yet.
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MS. GUERRA: Okay. Is there any sort of parking that you're envisioning with this? Is there going to be a parking garage or underground parking again like the last time?

MR. WALKER: There was never underground parking and there is going to be a parking garage, yes.

MS. GUERRA: How many stories?
MR. WALKER: It's a four-story parking garage. The apartment and the retail will be wrapped around the garage.

MS. GUERRA: I'm sorry if I missed this but what is the division of two bedrooms, one bedrooms and studios in this project?

MR. WALKER: David, do you want to read that back?

MR. LENO: Yeah, the breakdown is of the 166 units there would be 23 studio units, 105 one-bedrooms and 38 two-bedroom units.
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Ten percent of those units being set aside.

MS. GUERRA: You said that
there was a study being done about the lack of an impact, I guess, on the local school district that was going to be completed some time; am I understanding that correctly?

MR. WALKER: You are correct. There was a study that was done for the project. The current developer, which is Grub Properties, actually, has over 1,080 apartments around the country and out of those apartments they have generated three school children.

So 303, which is the apartment building next door to the proposed site, has approximately four school children and we envision that we will have approximately five school children based on the fact that our apartment building is approximately 166 units, so based on that more
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than the apartment building before.
If you use the Wachter study, which is just based on national statistics, the total number of school-aged children for the project would be 17 , but based on our data for the Village of Hempstead, again, we would generate significantly less school children than that.

Metro 303, which was a similar project, 156 units, the Village of Hempstead has generated four school children and those children do not --

MS. GUERRA: Is that a
report -- Oh, finish, please.
MR. WALKER: Those children
don't attend public school in the Village of Hempstead.

MS. GUERRA: Is that a written
report that's going to be made available as part of the application or anything?

MR. WALKER: It has been
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submitted to the IDA staff.
MS. GUERRA: Okay. I don't
think $I$ have anymore questions.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any more questions from Board members?

MR. MARSH: I have two
questions. After construction, how many full-time jobs does this project generate?

MR. WALKER: After
construction -- Let me just find that information for you. Based on the study that was done, 107 jobs created during construction. After construction there would be four full-time jobs.

MR. MARSH: How long is the construction estimated to take?

MR. WALKER: Eighteen months.
MR. MARSH: My second question
deals with the pilot you're
requesting. Our standard policy is not to exceed 10 years. Could you
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please give us the basis for your request exceeded by 50 percent going to 15 years.

MR. WALKER: The previous
applicant was induced for a longer
pilot, for a 15-year pilot, if I'm
not mistaken, and there was zero
interest from the investment
community in the project. The
feedback that we were given is that due to the extremely high taxes in the Village of Hempstead the only way that anyone would make the investment would be if their pilot were at a minimum of 20 years.

They also stated that there was precedent in the Village of Hempstead with the 303 project receiving a pilot of 20 years. So they were just looking for similar treatment with no interest in the project with the pilot below 20 years.
We have zero investors. In
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fact, the one investor that was there pulled out of deposit because it was not long enough, the pilot.

MR. MARSH: When the pilot's in place and we're reducing taxes on this project to generate the type of return your investors want, okay, we're creating a problem for all the other residents in the village and in the Town.

What's the justification for doing it? Creating four jobs is hardly a justification.

MR. WALKER: For the record, that information is not correct. We're not proposing a reduction in the taxes in the Village of Hempstead from the existing taxes on the site. In fact, the taxes would go up.

MR. MARSH: Excuse me. Excuse me, sir. The existing taxes are based on a parking lot and a tax exempt organization so to do that
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comparison is fundamentally unfair.
MR. WALKER: I'm just
responding to your point that you said there was a reduction in taxes and a burden of the residents of the Village of Hempstead, which this wouldn't be true.

So again, just responding to your claim.

MR. MARSH: That's your opinion.

MR. WALKER: It's not an opinion. It's a fact.

MR. MARSH: Thank you. You answered my question.

MS. VANDERHALL: I have a question for the project and the applicant.

MR. WALKER: Sure.
MS. VANDERHALL: I know you mentioned four full-time jobs would be created permanently by this project, but will there be any subcontractor positions, security,
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parking attendants, things of that nature?

MR. WALKER: Yes, Ms.
Vanderhall. We will create 197 jobs during construction. Also based on the zoning in the Village of Hempstead, the Hempstead residents will have a first opportunity to fill those construction positions as well and what was your question?

MS. VANDERHALL: I'm sorry, Mr. Walker, I should not have used the word subcontractors. I meant independent employees. So permanent security or parking attendants, are there any other permanent jobs that are not direct employees of the owner of the building?

MR. WALKER: Yes, you are correct. It would be additional employees besides the employees of the four permanent employees from the developer. There would be another five jobs from security as
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well.

MS. VANDERHALL: Security would
be by an independent contractor?
MR. WALKER: Yes, ma'am.
MS. VANDERHALL: Okay. Would there be a parking attendant or anything like that?

MR. WALKER: No. We would have controlled access to the parking but the residents would have to put in a code to enter the parking.

MS. VANDERHALL: Okay. And then, you know, there's a question -- request for an extension of the pilot by 50 percent and only the creation of four full-time jobs. What in the Village of Hempstead is unique from other areas in the Town of Hempstead in which that request is justifiable?

MR. WALKER: The Village of Hempstead has higher taxes than many of the other municipalities on Long Island. It's really based on
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(inaudible) familiarity with it. We have, in addition to new taxes, we also have Village taxes which are still very high in many instances; so if, in fact, if you have these stabilized --

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, I have to interrupt. There is way too much noise for me to get this.

MR. PAROLA: There is no project. At this point, can we terminate this? We wanted to be fair to the Board as to the general outline of this proposal but it is not a project. I think the basic question has been asked. There is a long way to go before these types of questions should be presented to the Board and at which point before that we will present the Board with information.

We have nothing before us.
There is no application. This is merely informational so $I$ think it
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would be appropriate to wind this up.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Parola, I suggest to you and the proposed applicant that you guys sit down and work on a pilot that you can present to the Board and obviously the public.

Any other quick comments?
MS. VANDERHALL: I don't think Mr. Walker was answering my
question. If you don't mind, I would like him to finish and then we can wrap up.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead, Mr. Walker.

MR. WALKER: So to answer your question, the Village of Hempstead has significantly higher taxes than many other municipalities on Long Island so, for example, if we were to take both taxes, let's say there were no pilot granted, the per unit taxes would be $\$ 12,000$ per unit over
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so about $\$ 1.5$ million in taxes per year which is over half the anticipated income for the project before any other expenses so due to those reasons the project would not be financeable at all.

MS. VANDERHALL: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: We'll move on to the next item of business. Consideration of an approval for a refinance of the Village Loft, L.L.C. which is also in the Village of Hempstead.

MR. Bythewood: This is Dan. Do you want me to start, Mike, or did you want to do an overview? How did you want to proceed?

MR. LODATO: You really don't need to speak, Dan. The Board was furnished with your letter which breaks down what the old amount was and the new amount is.

If anyone has any questions otherwise we can move forward.
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MR. BYTHEWOOD: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any
questions?
MS. GUERRA: I have no questions. I second the motion.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms. Guerra seconds. We'll take a vote.

Ms. Guerra?
MS. GUERRA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Hargraves?
MS. HARGRAVES: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend
Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Sorry, Mr. Marsh, I didn't hear you.
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MR. MARSH: The answer is yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye. The motion is passed.
Move on to the next item on the agenda which is the Town of Hempstead Village Board obviously you are encouraged to stay on.

New business. Application, Transaction Resolutions and

Presentations. First item in consideration of an authorizing resolution for Gabrielli Inwood, L. L. C.

MR. LODATO: This was induced.
They had a public hearing in between the two meetings and they are now up for authorization.

MR. PAROLA: There was no
opposition from the community.
Hearing went very easily.
No questions just a motion.
MR. MARSH: There is a
question. How many new jobs are being proposed in consideration of
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this pilot?
MR. PAROLA: There are 19
full-time jobs equivalent now and the second year they expect 50 jobs and beyond that they expect to grow exponentially.

MR. MARSH: The pilot is for how many years?

MR. PAROLA: Ten years, I believe.

MR. MARSH: Congratulations.
This is the type of project I would like to see more of working for us.

MR. PAROLA: We'll take that as a yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll make a motion to authorize the resolution. Do I have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Second.
MR. MARSH: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette.

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
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MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Motion is passed. Next item on the agenda, Consideration of an Authorizing Resolution for 750 Merrick Road, L. L. C.

MR. AVVENTO: Thank you everybody. Paul Avvento from Gabrielli. Appreciate it. Everybody stay safe. Thank you very much again.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you. Thank you.

MR. DEEGAN: Good morning
everybody. Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, my name is Dan Deegan. I'm the attorney for the applicant.
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> We made a presentation last time. This project involves the demolition of construction of a brand new 140,000 square foot commercial storage facility. It's geared towards servicing the local businesses. We're talking about 130 construction jobs.

One of the things $I$ want to clarify from our presentation and make clear to this Board is that the applicant does intend to use local labor and be in compliance with the Town of IDA policy for labor. We went around last time but we're making that commitment 100 percent to this Board.

I just also want to point out that the pilot is a proposed 10 years and it would almost immediately, upon completion of the building, more than double the existing taxes and hopefully go up to close to four or five times the
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what the existing taxes are.
The project has received a zoning approval is ready to go. This is a project that would create immediate construction employment which is much needed in this current economic crisis. With the IDA's assistance, we'll can get going on this project immediately.

Jonathan Orr from the applicant is here to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Board
members have any questions?
MR. MARSH: After
construction -- First of all, how
long is it estimated that the construction will take?

MR. DEEGAN: Fourteen to 18 months.

MR. MARSH: And the commitment to use local labor, I'm happy to
hear that. My further concern
though is the number that you set for local labor is that going to be
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full-time for the full 14 months or is that number different that you're going to have over the 14 months?

MR. DEEGAN: It's going to be in ebbs and flows throughout the process depending on what part of the construction is undergoing, but the calculation is 130 construction jobs over that 14 to 18 months in total. There may not be 130 at any given time although at some times there may be more or less than that.

MR. MARSH: Okay. How many full-time jobs are you anticipating creating post construction?

MR. DEEGAN: There are four
jobs that will be created by the project, but as we indicated last time, the benefit of this is that the project was going to support local businesses that gives them a flexible, less expensive storage option which is even more necessary now given this whole COVID crisis.
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of that is you hurt the existing
brick and mortar businesses,
correct?

MR. DEEGAN: As we said last time, this is not going to compete with the traditional warehousing. This is the smaller units compared to typical warehouse so this is for start-up businesses or small businesses where they can't typically afford the warehousing space which is typically larger and allows flexibility as they're growing their business to have a facility that allows them to grow their business and move out, have a facility other than their house or other substandard storage method.

MR. MARSH: You're looking for a 10-year pilot?

MR. DEEGAN: That's correct.
MR. MARSH: Thank you. No more questions, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you.
Any other questions from the Board?
MR. MAJKUT: I just want to
address the local labor issue. I
know that they are committing to
follow whatever policy of the IDA.
I just want to make it crystal clear that the last time during the inducement they had mentioned the five boroughs and the five boroughs is not part of that, so it would be Nassau, Suffolk local labor. I just want to make sure that that's what we're understanding here.

MR. DEEGAN: Yes.

MR. MAJKUT: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you
Mr. Leno. Any other questions from
the Board. I'm going to make a motion. Do $I$ have a second?

MS. VANDERHALL: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Can $I$ have a vote.
Proceedings 5-28-2020

Mr. Bedford.
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye.
Next item on the agenda is
Presentation in consideration of a preliminary inducement for a pilot extension for Angion-Biomedica.

MR. BAKER: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Dan.

MR. LODATO: One preliminary because we were waiting on a
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particular document. We received those documents so this is now a regular inducement.

MR. BAKER: This is Daniel
Baker on behalf of the applicant.
For the court reporter it's
Cirtilman, Balin, Adler \& Hyman, L.L.C., 90 Merrick Avenue, East Meadow, New York 11554 .

Again, good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. I hope everyone is well. I am here today on behalf of the owner of the property, the applicant Nova Clark, L.L.C. In addition, one of the tenants of the building is Angion-Biomedica Corp which is a related company to the owner.

This property is located at 51
Charles Lindbergh Boulevard in Uniondale. It already has a pilot agreement with the IDA. This IDA, which was approved and set up in 2011, at the time that Nova Clark
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the premises for the purposes of its related company Angion to take 35,000 of the existing 108,000 square feet. At that time, Angion renovated that space for themselves and utilized it to hook up the remaining property about 60,000 square feet or so was, at that time in 2011, and still is operated by Last Corp, which everyone is probably familiar with. It's a major testing company.

I'll get into the specifics of all the different things, but there is now a third tenant that took over the remaining 15,000 square feet and in 2018 that tenant is Jovia, formerly known as --

MR. MARSH: I apologize but I did not see this application on today's agenda. Apparently, the agenda was updated and maybe I got it. I get so many e-mails, I'm not sure, but Jovia is a major client of
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mine and as an applicant I'm going to excuse myself from this application.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Marsh.

MR. BAKER: Thank you for noting that. Just to explain why we are seeking an extension of the existing benefits.

There are currently three tenants in the building and, again, this is a 108,000 square foot office building located in the Mitchell Field area. You have Angion which is a pharmaceutical company. They are heavily involved in developing new pharmaceuticals related to organ function. They have now, as a result of the COVID pandemic begun child testing for pharmaceuticals related to and trying to help ease the COVID pandemic.

They currently have 30 employees located on site. There
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are another 20 throughout the country, but the bulk of their testing and, you know, all their research and development is done here.

They are very, very good at high paying jobs, as much as $\$ 375,000$ for certain employees. I will point out that the original approval for this project required and still to this day requires 50 full-time employment positions which are --

MS. LONGO: Somebody is walking around. Please stop that or stop shuffling.

MR. BAKER: Angion currently has 30 employees of the required 50 for the overall project, quite a large number. In fact, lab Corp currently has, or at the end of 2019
had 116 full-time employees. Lab Corp is vacating the premises this upcoming month in June. Their lease
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has expired and they have put the owner of the property on notice quite some time ago that they would be vacating. They have over the past five years started the process of relocating all of their employees to their facilities in New Jersey and that will be completed from this facility next month. So the big push for this project was to try and find a new tenant to replace approximately 60 percent of the building. I'll get to that perspective in a minute.

The third tenant that is currently occupying the premises, as I mentioned earlier, is Jovia. Jovia occupies approximately 15,000 square feet and as of the end of 2019 had 104 FTs on site. So remember, 50 required from the original approval and now, you know, at this time for the end of 2019, 245 FTEs on site. That number is
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```
going to decrease when Lab Corp
```

moves to 130 and until such time as
there is a new tenant and that space
is filled out remain at 130.

That brings us to the perspective tenant. The perspective -- and $I$ will point out that it has taken more than now about 20 months for the real estate brokers to find a tenant that will come to this property and I am told there has always been one with interest and that is a company called Chem Rx.

Chem Rx is another pharmaceutical distribution company and a subsidiary of a company called PharMerica, which is a fortune 1000 company doing huge business throughout the country and the world.

Chem RX is a local subsidiary of theirs which currently is located at a facility in Long Beach. My
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understanding is they have been there for a long time and their building there is tired. They have outgrown it and they need to relocate. This is a perfect setup for them so it's really a good pairing for both the owner and the perspective tenant.

On a conservative basis, it's
our understanding that Chem Rx will bring 125 jobs to this location. So from Long Beach into the Town of Hempstead and Uniondale, 125 jobs which easily replaces the loss of the Lab Corp tenant and their FTEs and will allow this building to continue to thrive. Certainly in trying times and as history has shown in almost two years trying to find somebody else to allow the building to continue in a fully occupied state.

So the project itself is expected to cost 3.5 million dollars
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to build out. The owner is
financing most of the renovation of
the new tenant portion of the
building with oversight in the
construction by the tenant.

$$
\text { There will be } \$ 3.9 \text { million in }
$$

financing. As a result of that,
we're seeking three different
benefits with typical benefits from
the IDA, the first one being
mortgage recording tax exemption in

$$
\text { the amount of approximately } \$ 29,250
$$

We are seeking sales tax benefit

$$
\text { based on the } 3.5 \text { million dollar }
$$

construction costs and equipment and

$$
\text { material purchasing. At } 60 \text { percent }
$$

of that which is roughly

$$
\$ 2.1 \text { million. As a result, we kept }
$$

the sales tax exemption benefit to

$$
\text { be } \$ 181,125
$$

As far as the pilot, I
mentioned that there is currently an
existing pilot that has two years
remaining on it. It was a 10-year
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deal originally. There are two years left on that. We are seeking an extension of 10 years beyond the remaining two years. And we have been given a proposed draft pilot by IDA staff which we find acceptable and have discussed with them. We will be fine going forward with that. The reality is in order to get this tenant in and to keep things moving and steady with this property and replace a major tenant who unfortunately is leaving the Town and the county and the state. This is a deal that the owner needs in order to survive and to continue to be able to carry the costs of this building.

Again, I will point out that the employment calculation is well in excess of the existing requirement of 50 and this is, as I see it, a very good project. We
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would ask that the Board consider and approve it.

If there are any questions, I'm also joined by the principal of the owner at Angion, Dr. Goldberg. So if there are any technical questions, certainly he can answer what $I$ can't.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Baker.

MR. BAKER: Mr. Chairman, if I
could just point one other thing
out. I think it's good for the Board to know it's more of a "feel good" kind of thing, but in addition to the uses in the building, which are all really good uses and Angion is certainly at the forefront of developing necessary breakthroughs in pharmaceuticals, not only for what they've done in the past but going forward with COVID.

I would also like to point out that Angion has been conducting a
Proceedings 5-28-2020
program for high school and college students locally to perform research and be involved in what goes on with Angion.

This has been a great program but it's the kind of program that companies can do when they are not worried about what's going to happen with their building and if, you
know, the cost of the building is going to be more and so forth and so on.

I do also want to point out that Angion is a very good partner with the community and students in the community.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Interesting. MR. BAKER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mike, you originally started off with -- I'm a little confused there. I mean we don't have the new pilot.

MR. LODATO: It should have come in a separate e-mail. I sent
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it afterwards when we realized we did not scan it.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Okay. Hold on. The only separate e-mail $I$ have is the 750 Merrick Road and the Gabrielli.

MR. LODATO: We can send it again.

MR. PAROLA: The current pilot is 450,000. We're jumping that pilot in the first year for the first three years to \$497,000. So immediately it's going up almost $\$ 50,000$ and then it goes up incrementally after the remaining years at 470 and then it goes to 510 to 35,000 and then it ends up 600,000. It's an increase and -CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Do any of the Board members not have this pilot that they are talking about? Reverend Mallette, Mr. Marsh, Mr. Majkut, do you have this pilot information?
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REVEREND MALLETTE: I have it.
MS. VANDERHALL: I have mine.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I guess I'm the only guy that doesn't have it but Mike knows we have been having an issue this month with my e-mail. MR. LODATO: Right.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: So I guess we need a motion for inducement for the pilot for Angion Biomedica. Who is making a motion?

MR. PAROLA: Don't be shy.
MR. MAJKUT: I'll make the motion.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Do I have a second?

MR. BEDFORD: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Myself, I'm an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: I'm not taking a vote.
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To your point of not getting the e-mail on the copy of the pilot, when $I$ realized that $I$ was looking at the wrong agenda, part of the problem is the one that is being utilized for today's meeting apparently came in the afternoon of the 26 th which was less than two days ago and $I$ really require that staff provide whatever we're going to consider at least two weeks in advance. It's simply not fair to get multiple e-mails and agendas and expect us to sort out what we're supposed to be looking at for the meeting.

MR. RYAN: Mr. Chairman, I think you should complete the vote. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut.

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
Proceedings 5-28-2020

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: The motion is passed. Next item on the agenda J\&C Autoworld.

MR. BAKER: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Mr. Baker.
Consideration of an approval
for a mortgage refinance for J\&C Autoworld.

MR. LODATO: J\&C Autoworld was
approved last month for subordination and attornment, which is very similar to what JS Hempstead did this morning already.

They are now seeking the same refinance. They are in the same package as JS Hempstead where they are combining a bunch of properties under one mortgage and they would like to refinance because of the interest rates currently.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any Board
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members have any questions? No questions. I'll make the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

MS. VANDERHALL: Second. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend
Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I am an aye as well. Okay. Thank you.

Next item on the agenda, consideration and adoption of the bylaws.

MS. EAMES: The bylaws were
adopted by the Governor's Committee
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in April. As you know, they define the rules of Board members and staff and it's up for the full Board's consideration today. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions? I'll make the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

MR. BEDFORD: Second.
MR. MARSH: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Flo Girardi is an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item on
the agenda, consideration and
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adoption of the Travel Policy.
MS. EAMES: The Travel Policy
remains unchanged from the last time it was passed. It was adopted by the Governor's Committee in April. It basically defines the rules for travel for business for the Board and staff and it's up for consideration today by this Board.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions? I'll make the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend
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Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi is an aye.

Next item on the agenda is consideration and adoption of the Recapture and Termination Policy.

MS. EAMES: This policy defines
the criteria for termination and
recapture of benefits and it was adopted by the Governor's Committee in April and is up for full Board's consideration today.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any
questions? I'll make the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

MR. BEDFORD: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. I'm an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
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MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item.
Consideration and adoption of the Retail Policy.

MR. PAROLA: There's been no change and just trying to keep up with current events. Because of the economic impact of COVID, there was a lot of pressure on the Albany administration to change the Retail Policy, but that has not been done and $I$ don't expect it will be at this point.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll make the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote.

Mr. Bedford?
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MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye as well.
Next item. Consideration and adoption of the Fee Schedule.

MS. EAMES: The Fee Schedule speaks for itself. It has not changed since it was last adopted and it was adopted by the Governor's Committee in April and is on for full consideration by the Board today.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions? I'll make the motion.
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Do $I$ have a second? MR. MARSH: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take the vote. Flo Girardi is an aye. Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item.
Consideration and Adoption of the
Code of Conduct of
Conduct/Whistleblower Policy.
MS. TOMEO: No change. The policy is adopted by the Audit Committee in April. No changes are made.

REVEREND MALLETTE: So moved.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll second the motion. I'll take the vote. I'm an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item.

Consideration and adoption of the

Time and Leave Policy.
MS. TOMEO: This policy was
also adopted by the committee and there is no changes that were made and now is up for the Board's approval.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions? I'll make the motion.
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Do I have a second?
MR. BEDFORD: Second.
MR. MARSH: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: We'll take a vote. I'm an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item.
Consideration and adoption of an
Amended COVID-19 Policy.
MR. PAROLA: Pursuant to the
Board's request, as you see there were other items -- We were able to take out a number of items, for example, compensatory time, which
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we're not doing, and other items, sanitation and special other needs for the Town of Hempstead (inaudible). We toned this down and adjusted it, as was your request to the Board. Our six member staff, we basically are working full-time anyway because $I$ have had power under the COVID guidelines to designate everyone in this office as an unnecessary person. So nobody has been sitting home relaxing and getting paid. We are working and continue to do so and God willing we'll open up and be back to normal soon.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any
questions? I'll make the motion.
Do $I$ have a second?
MS. VANDERHALL: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. I'm an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item.
Consideration and adoption of the Agency Mission Statement.

MS. EAMES: This is a stand-alone document required by the Authority Budget Office for recording purposes for 2019.

MR. PAROLA: Questions?
Motion?
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll make a motion. Do I have a second? MS. VANDERHALL: I second.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: We'll take a vote. Flo Girardi is an Aye. Reverend Mallette?
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REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item on
the agenda. New business, CEO's report.

MR. PAROLA: So if you were doing your homework, you'll note that we've gone through almost all of these projects. Mike and I made calls to the various developers and/or their attorneys trying to ascertain the status of their project and whether it was viable still.

What we learned for the most part and, again, because of the
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COVID situation, they are bottlenecked in the Town because obviously the Town Board has been running slowly and the Building Department has been working with not full-time employees so consequently you'll note that we have a good number of projects, including a number by Mr. Breslin and other developers that are ready to move quickly and I'm happy to say we should have a very busy second half of 2020 and into '21.

If there are any questions, I'll be happy to answer them but it should speak for itself. That's just information, Mr. Chairman. We don't need a motion.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item on agenda. Nobody has any questions. Next item is Old Business, Compliance 2019 and annual reporting update.

MS. EAMES: As you know, we
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were unable to file our 2019 annual financial report with New York state because of COVID-19 and not being able to have our independent auditors come into the office to audit the report. The ABO has, which is the Authority Budget Office, has since extended the deadline for the IDA for three months bringing us out to June 30th. We've made plans to bring the documents to our auditors directly and have them review and respond to questions and we are fully confident we'll be able to report on time. Otherwise, the next item is a consideration of approval for the audited financial statements prepared by Sheehan \& Company. Those are summaries of all of our financial transactions throughout the year and once approved these documents will be circulated to the
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Board members and will also be available on our website and we just need a vote for that.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Need a vote for the approval of the audited statements?

MS. EAMES: Yes.
MR. PAROLA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll make the motion.

MR. MARSH: I'll make the motion.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh made the motion. I'll second the motion. Do $I$ have a vote? I'm an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?
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MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item
consideration and adoption of the minutes of the April $23 r d$ meeting.

I'll make a motion to waive the reading of the minutes. Do $I$ have a second?

MR. BEDFORD: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Seconded by
Mr. Bedford. I'm an aye. Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: And Mr.

Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Next item on the agenda. Financial Statements and Expenditure List April 17th to May 21, 2020 .

REVEREND MALLETTE: All is good.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Very good.
Any questions? Committee met this morning. Audit committee met this morning. I don't see any need to take executive session.

Does anybody have the need for executive session?

MR. RYAN: No need for executive session.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Ryan. I make a motion to adjourn today's meeting. Do I have a second?

MS. VANDERHALL: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Everybody seconded it. Flo Girardi is an aye.

Reverend Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: And Mr.
Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: The meeting is adjourned.
(Time noted: 10:30 a.m.)
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