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            Proceedings
    CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'm going to
    take roll call so I can call the
    meeting to order.
    Mr. Majkut?
    MR. MAJKUT: Yes.
    CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend
Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Here.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
Mr. Bedford? Ms. Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Here.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Present.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is present. Mr. Bedford, are you on?
I'm going to take a roll call quick for the Village of Hempstead.
Mr. Oppenheimer? Ms.
Hargraves? Ms. Guerra?
MR. PAROLA: She's ill. That answers that.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'm going to make a motion to move to executive
```
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session. Do $I$ have a second?
MR. MARSH: Second.
MR. RYAN: John Ryan. The motion is to go through executive session to discuss a matter of litigation.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Correct. Second by Mr. Marsh. Executive session. Now we'll take a vote.

Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend
Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is a yes. Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Motion is
carried. We're going to move to
executive session. Everybody has the phone number to call in?

I would like to call the Town of Hempstead IDA Board Meeting back in session. Do $I$ have a motion?

MR. MARSH: I'll make the motion.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll second it. Can $I$ have a vote?

Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend
Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
Ms. Vanderhall?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'm an aye.
I'm going to move on to the roll
call for the Town of Hempstead again. Anybody here from the Town of Hempstead? Mr. Oppenheimer? Ms.

Hargraves? Mr. Johnston? I
understand Ms. Guerra is ill. Mr.

Parola, are you on?
MR. PAROLA: I'm on, sir.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: So the Village of Hempstead has a couple of items on the agenda. Nobody is here from the Village of Hempstead.

MR. PAROLA: We don't have a quorum for business for the Village of Hempstead. Although we can do the informal presentation by Main Street Apartments. They just want to -- the second item -- they just merely want to address the matter, make the Board of aware of their intent and just get a feeling as to our feeling, your feeling with respect to --

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: We're going to have to do it again in front of the Village. Does it make sense for them to do it twice?

MR. PAROLA: Perhaps not.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: All right, anybody here for Main Street

Apartments?
MR. LENO: Yes. Dave Leno from Rivkin Radler on behalf of the applicant.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Really, I guess it will be your call if you're going to make a presentation today only to make it again in front of the Village IDA members.

MR. LENO: So Rashed Walker is on the call. He is the principal for the applicant so I'm just trying to get confirmation from him as to whether, you know -- I guess...

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: You get confirmation. I'm going to move up to my agenda.

MR. LENO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: So we have a quorum. Next item on the agenda is any public comment with respect to agenda items.

MR. D'AGOSTINO: This is Al
D'Agostino. With respect to JFK

Logistics, $I$ know that you request public comment up front. I had proposed to the attorney for the applicant, Dan Deegan -- Dan Baker, I'm sorry -- that $I$ would join in on those comments and $I$ would expect you'd want to hear something from me at that point but obviously, it's at the discretion of the Chairman.

MR. BAKER: I guess
simultaneously I'm about to jump in and first start off. Good morning to everybody and health to everyone as well. I know our matter is a little further down the agenda, but we did want to make a quick statement during the public comment section if that's okay with the Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: That's fine. MR. BAKER: Okay. So, you
know, to bring the Board up to speed, we first had this matter on a few months ago. We have had many
discussions with the school district mostly by way of discussion between me and Mr. D'Agostino and in light of those discussions we had come to an agreement in consideration of my client JFK Logistics trying to seek to address the concerns of the Lawrence School District which we believe it has. And, at this point, we have agreed to propose an amended pilot schedule to the IDA which we have forwarded to staff counsel, which they have, which would be a 15 -year schedule. In addition to that, the applicant, JFK Logistics, will be making a one-time payment, assuming this is approved at closes, of $\$ 250,000$ to the school district at the time of closing with the IDA. And finally, as part of the agreement, the stipulation of discontinuance with prejudice with regard to the lawsuit initiated by
the school district will be signed now and held in escrow pending that closing with the IDA and payment to the school district upon which time the stipulation will be assigned to the court.

MR. D'AGOSTINO: Are you
finished?
MR. BAKER: Yes, I'm finished. MR. D'AGOSTINO: Mr. Chairman, if you would hear me now. I'm counsel to the Lawrence Union free School District, Number 1510 of Hempstead.

Mr. Baker has accurately stated what the understanding is with the stool district. And should the

Board approve the amended schedule which was sulomitted and as Mr. Baker has put forth, the opposition of the school district to this application would be withdrawn. And the stipulation of discontinuance assigned and submitted to Mr. Baker
as he has accurately represented.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Mr. D'Agostino.
Any more public comments with
respect to JFK Logistics? Any
public comment to anything else on today's addenda?

MR. LENO: Chairman, I just
wanted to advise that $I$ spoke with my client with regard to the informal presentation about Main Street Hempstead Apartments. In light of the fact that the Village of Hempstead members are not available to participate in the conversation, we are fine with
postponing rather than doing it twice. We're fine with postponing until the next meeting when they will be available.

All we were looking to do is really just bring everyone up to date. I think many of the Board members are familiar with this
application. It was originally
under a different group known as
BRP. It involves the same property. It involves roughly the same project design and what have you, and that project as induced. This was really an update and refreshment of that application, but with some additional twists in light of the current circumstances that might make it a little more pressing at this time.

But we are willing to have that presentation, that informal presentation that's indicated on the agenda adjourned until the next available date.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you. MR. PAROLA: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to say to David and his client that we apologize on behalf of staff and the Board. We confirmed that the Hempstead Village portion would be here. We do not
know what happened that they missed the meeting. We do know that Ms. Guerra has the virus so she could not be available, but we are amazed that the other three are not here and we apologize, but we'll make sure we'll make an effort for the next meeting so that this does not occur again.

MR. LENO: Thank you, Mr.
Parola.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'm going to
jump back up to the Village business. Village of Freeport, no new business. Village of Hempstead, we have two projects on there; Main Street Apartments, JS Hempstead, Approval of Subordination and Attornment Agreement and Refinancing approval which we cannot move ahead with because we don't have a quorum from Hempstead.

I'm going to move on to new business. First item, Consideration
of an Authorizing Resolution for JFK
Logistics. I'm going to take a vote. I'll call out your name. Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: I'd like to say a few words about this before we take the vote.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead.
MR. MAJKUT: So I just want to
be clear and state for the record that this project in the lease agreement there is requirement to hire 90 percent local labor from Nassau or Suffolk County. I am going to make sure that we monitor this project because $I$ have received a few complaints, even though we have not approved this project yet, but early on some of the preliminary work that has begun on this site it's been reported to me that they were not using local labor with some of the things being performed. If this project is going to
have that situation continue throughout, $I$ am going to make a motion to have these benefits terminated so I just wanted JFK Logistics to understand that this is what it is. There is an agreement in place and they are going to live up to it. That's all I have to say. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, Mr. Majkut.

MR. MARSH: I have concern that we're violating our UTEC policy on granting more than a 10 -year pilot. The standard is 10 years. I'm very concerned that we're going to 15 and I do not see anything in the record that justifies that grant and $I$ want to note that that's my objection. I think it's a pretty good project, but $I$ don't see any reason to go over 10 years especially since we have another project on Gabrielli that's going to create the same number of jobs and that is a 10-year

Pilot.

So I have not been convinced
that there is any justification for going over 10 years on this project. And that's my comments, Mr.

Chairman. I think you need to have a motion made to adopt the approvals.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Adopt what approvals?

MR. RYAN: I think you need to have someone make a motion to adopt the authorizing resolution for the JFK Logistics project.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'm going to make the motion. Flo Girardi is making the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Second.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Flo Girardi is an aye.

Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: No.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: The ayes have it. The motion is passed. I'll move on to the next order of business which is Presentation and Consideration of an Inducement Resolution on an Expansion for Gabrielli Inwood. It was tabled from Marsh.

MR. SEDEREAS: This is Steve Sedereas from Davidoff, Hutcher \& Citrin. I'm joined by Jeff Citrin also from Davidoff Hutcher \& Citrin. Also, Paul Avvento from Gabrielli Truck Sales and Keith Suma and Frank Smith from H2M. We're the
architects for the project.
The applicant is Gabrielli
Inwood, LLC. The operating entity
at the location of 31 Alemeda
Street, Inwood, New York is
Gabrielli Truck Sales.

By way of background, Gabrielli
Truck Sales is a commercial truck
dealership with a high concentration in service and repairs. It's also a leading distributor for some of the biggest trucking companies including Ford and Mac. It has numerous locations throughout New York, New Jersey and Connecticut.

As the IDA is aware, we entered -- Gabrielli entered into a lease dated February 1, 2015. The original project contemplated renovations and expansion of its existing building by 10,000 square feet. The employee targets for the lease through 2018 covenant just 50 employees in 2019. It could not
meet the 50 employee target due to certain building department delays and $I$ want to talk a little bit about the building department delays.

The building application was not approved until October 15, 2019, which is four years after Gabrielli submitted its original building application. During the delay period, fortunately Gabrielli's business continued to grow but also Gabrielli's needs changed.

During this period of time of the Department of Buildings delay, Gabrielli accepted business operations and its leads at the subject project site. Gabrielli determined that it makes much more sense to expand the facility much more than what was contemplated with the existing project.

The new project is going to call for a larger expansion than
what was originally contemplated.
Gabrielli has obtained approval from
the Hempstead Building Department to construct a 20,230 square foot building extension, which is more than double the size than what Gabrielli originally planned.

The employee target. The
larger expansion will allow
Gabrielli to not only employ the 50 employees as originally promised by 2019, but it will actually be able to employ 75 to 80 employees by the year 2023 .

The cost of the expansion is expected to be about $\$ 7.2$ billion. Gabrielli will be using the site to expand its service and repair
operations through the truck
warranty and repairs. This includes government agencies such as Nassau County.

Gabrielli is looking for a completion date of March 2021, but
it may need to be actually June 2021
due to the COVID-19 pandemic. We're
looking to free the pilot for
3 years and obtain a new pilot for
10 years. This assistance is
necessary because the industry is
very competitive, serving the same
customers for things such as
acquisition operations and
construction. Allowing Gabrielli to
move forward will provide Hempstead
with substantial tax revenue and job
revenue.
MR. MARSH: I have a question.
Are we being asked to approve a
10 -year pilot or 13 -year pilot?
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: It's an
inducement, $I$ believe. I don't
think they've come up with a pilot
yet. Am I wrong, Stan?
MR. MARSH: There was one
attached to the papers they sent
out.
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yes, there's
a pilot.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'm sorry.
MR. LODATO: There is a pilot.
It is a 10-year pilot.
MR. MARSH: But is it being
picky-backed on the three-year pilot
that'S running out or is it
10 years, that's it?

MR. LODATO: It's encompassing
the old pilot and moving forward
10 years. It is not piggy-backing.
MR. MARSH: I just want to be clear they're getting 10 years if we approve it, not if we induce it. Granting the pilot, they are going to have 10 years, not the balance of the three and then another 10? I want to be very clear on this.

MR. LODATO: Yes, it's a 10-year pilot.

MR. MARSH: What about the three remaining, are they going to be subsumed into the $10-y e a r$ pilot?

MR. LODATO: There is more than

3 years remaining. I don't know off the top of my head what year they are in. Perhaps steven sedereas or Paul Avvento can fill that in, but this was just -- If we were to close, the pilot would take place whenever it took place and it would be 10 years in total.

It's the new pilot of 10 years.
It is not getting another 3 years from the old pilot or anything of that nature.

MR. MARSH: The old pilots will be terminated when we close?

MR. LODATO: Correct. Yes.
The old pilot will be wrapped into the new one and the new pilot will take place. That's it. We'll be working off the new pilot.

MR. MARSH: Okay. I just would like the resolutions to be very clear on that if and when we get the inducement and then if and when you finish developing the plan. I want
it to be very clear we're not exceeding the 10 years which is our basic policy because this is a new application and it's a new set of benefits.

MR. AVVENTO: I understand that which is fine.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any other questions from the Board? I'll make a motion. Do $I$ have a second?

MS. VANDERHALL: Second. CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend

Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi is an aye as well. Resolution is passed.

Next item on the agenda, Presentation and Consideration of $a$ Preliminary Inducement for 750 Merrick Road L.L.C.

MR. AVVENTO: I'm sorry - -
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Go ahead, Mr. Avvento, I'm sorry.

MR. AVVENTO: We just want to
thank the Board for the approval.
We appreciate everybody's help.
Hope everybody stays healthy during this crazy time and everybody be careful. God be with all of you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you.
MR. PAROLA: Take care, Paul.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: 750 Merrick
Road.
MR. DEEGAN: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. This is Dan Deegan at the Law Firm of Forchelli, Deegan and

Terrana on behalf of the applicant.

I also have with me on the phone, Steven Novenstein who is the president, Nick who is the CEO and Graham Tedesco and Jonathan Orr for the applicant.

The applicant is storage
Deluxe. The project that we're
talking about here is the
development of a much needed storage facility geared towards serving
local businesses in and around the Valley Stream area. The property is at 750 West Merrick Road in Valley Stream.

The project would be a joint venture between Storage Deluxe and the representatives $I$ have on the phone from Storage Deluxe and CubeSmart which would be the operator.

Storage Deluxe is a leading
storage developer in New York central area and we've developed
over 65 properties. CubeSmart is a public trading company on the New York Stock Exchange with over 500 locations in 24 states.

The concept of this project and the goal is to develop a public storage facility that is geared towards servicing local businesses.

The site itself is a pretty well known site in Valley Stream. It's a 1.2 acre site. It currently has an abandoned building on it. It's about a 7500 square foot structure that was normally used as car dealership. The project would demolish that structure.

The car dealership was abandoned, blighted and the mayor of the village and the Village Board would like to see the redevelopment of this blighted site.

The plan would be to construct a new four-story 140,00 square foot storage facility on the site of
their new single building with new paving and landscaping which will beautify the site. There would really be no school impact and very low traffic generation.

We're talking about the construction of a building that would cost about 24 million, including acquisition about \$24.6 million, and we're talking about a building that at this point is shovel ready and ready to go but for this IDA approval.

If we can get this IDA's
approval we could start construction
and complete it probably in 14 to
18 months. The project would
generate 130 construction jobs which
is obviously much needed in this
current atmosphere at the current
time. The project has already
received building site plan
approval. As $I$ said, it has support of the village mayor and trustees.

One of the goals of this project is to service local
businesses, as I've said. There has been a decline in the number of -We commissioned an Economic Impact Study by Nelson \& Pope and that study confirmed a lot of what we have seen in the market place which is that there has been a decline in the number of local warehouse facilities in the area and rising commercial rents.

There are many types of
businesses that could utilize a facility like this. The idea would be to design the facility in a way that accommodates the businesses by having a majority of the space in the building larger units, larger than 10 x 10 which is what is typically needed by businesses. The commercial users prefer larger units.

In the local area there is 850
businesses in the village and over 2500 businesses in the town. We see this as a real need for those businesses and, you know, providing something that besides beautifying the space would be very important to the business community and the Town and certainly in the village.

The idea would be to market this project to area businesses and target those commercial users. We would be providing an on-site business center with work area in order to cater to the company's commercial threshold customers.

The problem is and the reason why we're before you is that this project with this new construction is not financially feasible without the IDA's assistance. What we're asking for from the IDA would be a mortgage recording tax exemption, sales and use tax exemption on the construction materials and then a
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10-year pilot that would phase in the value of the new assessment.

Currently, because of the structure, the current taxes are just under $\$ 200,000$ a year. The plan would be a pilot that once the project is completed immediately double that current tax space. This has been discussed with the Village mayor and the mayor and the Village Board are supportive of the project and the proposed pilot that would increase the taxes as we're contemplating in our proposed pilot. As I said, I don't think it's being emphasized enough given the crisis that we're all going through that this will provide much needed construction jobs almost immediately. With the IDA's assistance we will be willing to start this project ASAP. As I said, we have approval and support local businesses which is very important
in this time of recovery hopefully that is going to come up and we ask for the IDA's assistance.

With that being said, we'll
take any questions that you have.
MR. MARSH: Of these 130
construction jobs, how many are anticipated to be Town of Hempstead residents?

MR. DEEGAN: I don't know that we've identified -- I'll let the client answer. Jonathan is on the phone. They are heading the development here. I don't know that we've actually awarded any contracts but Jonathan, I'll let you answer that question.

MR. ORR: This is Jonathan Orr.

As far as our bidding process goes, we have in-house GC and we bid our contracts out to any and all applicants so our process will be open. Of course, we would love to include local contractors as they
have easy access to the site and it would be very efficient to them but all of our bidding is open so long as they could deliver what we need to get done for the prices that we need.

MR. MARSH: When the project is complete, how many full-time employees is it going to have?

MR. ORR: It would be 4 .
MR. MARSH: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the answer.

MR. ORR: Four.
MR. MARSH: Okay. So we're giving these benefits for four full-time employees and we don't know where the labor is coming from.

Would you accept a restriction
in these documents that would require you to use local labor, say 90 percent of the construction.

MR. DEEGAN: So I was going to say, I don't know that -- Certainly the intention and the goal would be
to have local labor and that's
local, the Nassau, suffolk and the boroughs. You know, that typically would be the entities that would end up constructing this. We have not gone up for bid and not gotten contractors yet.

MR. MARSH: The problem I have is we have seen projects where the labor has been imported from out of state and since a large piece of what we're supposed to be doing is generating jobs, generating jobs for non-local employees, to me, does not give it any credit. And when the project is done, you're having four full-time employees hardly justifies the amount of benefits you're looking for in my opinion.

MR. DEEGAN: This project, number one, as $I$ said, has a very significant construction job component which obviously is needed at this point. The other driver

```
here is that it will be picking up a
```

blighted site and immediately
beautifying it and doubling the
taxes on it without any kind of real
impact in terms of traffic or
school-aged children. That's why
the Village is so supportive of it.
And, you know, $I$ hear what
you're saying. You know, covenant
though is difficult at this point,
but that is our intention and this
is a preliminary improvement. If we
have to come back before you, maybe
we can get a better sense as to what
we would be willing to agree to
without limiting our ability.
Certainly, $I$ think it's everyone's
intention here to utilize local
labor if it's available and
competitive for this project.
I don't think we're -- The only
question is whether or not we can
have a covenant, which $I$ think it's
premature to agree or not agree to
that at this point.
MR. ORR: Dan, if $I$ can add one
thing in relation to the job
creation. We have found throughout our experience that the overwhelming majority of people that use our facilities come from within 3 to 5 miles of our site, so all the businesses that we would be serving with our services would be local businesses and helping those jobs as well, continuing to thrive with an alternative cheaper solution with a more expensive warehouse or rental in another area.

MR. DEEGAN: By servicing and providing a facility for the local businesses, we are hopefully also having a multiply affect
facilitating those businesses and their ability to hire people local.

We do expect local businesses to be customers of this facility and those jobs, the people employed by
those businesses will be, you know, an ancillary multiply affect of this project.

MR. MARSH: That's an
interesting concept. Do you have any statistics that would justify that statement?

Did you audit any reports?
MR. DEEGAN: Yes. Yes, we did.

So we eluded to the Nelson \& Pope
Report and also industry studies
from Storage Deluxe that show that,
first of all, there's about 850
businesses in the village and over 2500 in the town. The studies show
that approximately 11 percent or 10
to 11 percent of those local
businesses will utilize the space. We do anticipate that a good number of these local business will be using it. And, you know, the study --

MR. MARSH: But that's not the question. This is not the question.

The question is, do you have anything to show how the local businesses who are going to be utilizing your services will increase their employment?

MR. DEEGAN: Well, whether or not they increase it or not or maintain it, the point is it facilitates the business because it's a flexible alternative to renting out warehouse space and taking an entire building for themselves or big space.

MR. MARSH: That addresses the profitability of the project to you. It does not indicate that it's creating or sustaining any jobs in the community.

I thought it was an interesting point that you were making that perhaps your customers would be able to grow their business if they
utilized your service, but $I$ would like to see some documentation for
that.
MR. DEEGAN: I believe the
Nelson \& Pope Report study does include that it would be a benefit to the local businesses to have this available to them. While I don't think it projects actual job creation from it, it certainly is not just a financial benefit to us as the operator, but certainly to the businesses by helping them manage their costs and allow them to be more flexible in their capacity so that they can maximize their business which in turn maximizes their employment.

MR. ORR: That's correct. I
would say that the alternative to
being able to spend a couple of hundred or a few hundred dollars a month to use one of our spaces versus tens of thousands a month, possibly even more if you include real estate taxes for their own
larger warehouse, would allow for companies to be more profitable on their end. And rather than having to decide between letting go of an employee or having to cut expenses that way, we provide a cheaper alternative that allows them to keep their bottom line down and employ as many employees as they possibly can. MR. MARSH: But following that logic, if you're taking business from existing taxpayers, aren't you getting your own fair advantage if we give you a pilot so you're not paying your fair share of the taxes, and then being able to compete with those who are paying full taxes?

MR. DEEGAN: So, Mr. Marsh, the problem is this project, first of all, we're going to be doubling the taxes even with the pilot that are currently being paid on this property and beautifying the property but, number two, we're
talking about creating a new tax base by building this building or phasing in those taxes over the course of the pilot. Without this pilot, this project doesn't get built. It's not an either having 100 percent of the taxes or what we're proposing -- what we're proposing or staying the way it is. That's why we need the IDA's assistance and that's why it's a net positive impact for all taxpayers and certainly the Village who gets the immediate benefit of the development and the blight. MR. NOVENSTEIN: Mr. Marsh, to
answer your question, we're not competing with warehouses. The businesses that we're marketing to and using, based on our experience, are small companies, a lot of start-up companies. There is anywhere from pharmaceutical reps that, you know, that work in the
area or they commute but they live close to the facility and they need to have their drugs be in controlled units which we will supply. It's a small janitorial supply. It's a small HVAC contractor. It's not the big companies. It's small or start-up companies or the local Poland Spring or water delivery or the coffee person or a small person that, you know, fixes copiers. Those are the businesses that we support with self storage.

Instead of taking a 300 square foot or 200 square foot facility on a month-to-month basis, they could not afford to sign a 5-year lease in a warehouse. They just don't have it. So it's at lot of start-up companies. It's a lot of incubator. It's people that started a side business and grow into it.

We've seen people that are, you
know, do a side invitation business
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or party supplier, all kinds of different local businesses. That's really what the bread and butter for us is.

MR. MARSH: Then I
misunderstood your colleague when he was saying that the existing businesses would be able to save tens of thousands of dollars in rents which they could invest in their own business because they would not need warehouses.

You know, which way is it? Are you targeting small start-ups, in which case you may or may not be creating -- those customers of yours may or may not be adding to the employment or are you giving an opportunity to large businesses to grow and add to employees? Which way is it?

MR. NOVENSTEIN: So we have people that do lading for events. We have DJs that do parties. We have other party planners. We have restaurants that sometimes need to store their chairs and tables during the off season in our facility. It's really not sometimes bigger businesses like restaurants.

But to your comment that we're competing with -- We're not competing with an industrial warehouse that's leasing out 10,000 square foot chunks for 5-year leases. That's really not who we are competing with. But what we've seen in a lot of these businesses is they tend to grow. They tend to grow with us. It will take multiple years. At some point they might go get their own building but it's not a one size fits all.

We're trying to cater, like I said before, from the pharmaceutical reps to the water delivery person to some small contractors. I mean we also store records for them. We're
able to do a lot of different things and they like to have the optionality especially a start-up business or smaller business. It's much easier to have optionality rather than lock them into a lease. That's just what we've seen over our facilities in a lot of geographical areas.

Just as a point, we do a lot of stuff in the City. We do Long Island and the City we do the boroughs. Even the borough properties, a lot of our testing agencies and a lot of our contractors and contractors that we use for construction come from Long Island. We'll try to get a roster of what the companies are but we do want to build local when we do build as best we can. Thank you.

MR. MAJKUT: So I just want to correct a couple of points. I believe it was Dan who mentioned
that they try to use local labor to the best of their ability, including Nassau, Suffolk and the five boroughs. The five boroughs is not part of the deal here. The labor will come from Nassau and Suffolk County, 90 percent. That's the deal.

I just want to be clear on
that. Not the five boroughs. We're not looking to subsidize people who live in the five boroughs. We're looking to try to hopefully subsidize people from the Town of Hempstead but Nassau and Suffolk specifically. That's all $I$ have.

MR. DEEGAN: We understand that's the goal.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any other comments or questions? Do I have a motion? Do $I$ have a second?

MR. MAJKUT: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Flo Girardi is a yes.

Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: No. I would
consider changing it if we got a
firm commitment to using 90 percent
Long Island labor for the
construction, but the only
justification $I$ see for giving this benefit since they are only creating but 4 full-time jobs is putting our local people back to work in the construction industry. So I want to see that commitment first before I vote yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you,
Mr. Marsh.

Ms. Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: I'll vote aye at
this point, but $I$ would, you know, also state that $I$ agree that $I$ would
want to see going forward a firmer commitment on the labor. I agree with Mr. Marsh on that.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr.
Mallette? Reverend Mallette, I'm sorry.

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: The ayes have it. The motion is carried.

We'll move on.
MR. DEEGAN: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you, sir.

CEO Report, Mr. Parola.
MR. PAROLA: Yes. You'll
notice on the third page the three new initiatives. Obviously, Main Street Apartments which we have to tabled for today, as well as we have Main Street Apartments and JS Hempstead as two new entities that are moving forward and obviously they were tabled and Village Lofts which is in its early stages in the Village of Hempstead as well that was not addressed this month. Other
than that, most is in place and if you have any questions certainly we'll be happy to answer.

Don't need a motion.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: No questions from the Board?

Next item on the agenda under new business, Appointment of a Contracting/Compliance Officer.

MR. PAROLA: On behalf of staff, the old saying, if it ain't broke, don't fix it. This is a team effort really between -- We had some discussion. We'll down the line look for Arlyn to pursue this but right now it's in place. All the filings are in place with Edie's name and this is a must or we're not going to be able to file our timely reports so staff urges you to adopt. Edie is the officer, compliance officer.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Do we need a motion?
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MR. PAROLA: Yes.
REVEREND MALLETTE: I so move.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi,
I'll second.
Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Second.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Flo Girardi, aye. Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend

Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Motion passed.

The next item on the agenda is the COVID-19 policy, amended policy. MR. PAROLA: As with most
procedures and policies adopted by the IDA, the Town of Hempstead has the policy to negotiate with their unions, and $I$ believe that's in your packet. We are following their procedure as a result of this serious virus situation.

For the most part, we have been deemed essential and have been working, $a$ few of us, each day and working from home or we're not in the office. We've been able to keep abreast of developments through project filings. It never ends.

I will reiterate what I've said before that probably 35 percent of our time, in terms of person hours, is committed to complying with Regulations and requirements and reporting to the state of New York. They constantly are altering, demanding new requirements and consequently we -- you get your bang for your buck because you got three
retirees who don't need the benefits who are doing their job in supporting the staff and this has been a very nice marriage so far. So we're in compliance with the Town.

We're going to look at these policies pursuant to a discussion and committee meeting and we have a subcommittee to do that, and from this point we would urge the Board to adopt so that we can continue working in a flexible and orderly manner. That's it.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Adopt the policy?

MR. PAROLA: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: We need a motion.

MR. BEDFORD: I so move.
MS. VANDERHALL: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Thank you.
We'll take a vote. Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?

MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye.
Next item on the agenda J\&C
Autoworld approval of Subordination and Attornment Agreement Mike, you're going to take this one?

MR. RYAN: Isn't that the matter that was on for the Village of Hempstead? We can't do that.

MR. LONGO: No, different project.

MR. RYAN: Okay, sorry.

MR. PAROLA: This is Autoworld.
MR. LODATO: Right, J\&C

Autoworld. We spoke to our counsel, Nixon Peabody a couple of weeks ago and J\&C Autoworld is looking to do a Subordination and Attornment Agreement.

Bill Weir, are you on the line or Terrance?

MR. WEIR: They are refinancing
their mortgage. They're not asking
for any benefits. Anyway, with
respect to this project, I'll be refinancing their mortgage. The mortgage as come due and so they need to refinance it.

At this point, they are not
asking for any benefits from the IDA. All that we're be requested to do is give a standard form of a non-disturbance and attornment agreement that we will sign that basically says that they are not in default and that the project will go forward and, you know -- So it's just we have a standard form that
the IDA uses. So all we need is the IDA's Board permission for the staff to execute that SNDA.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any
questions from the Board? Any
comments?
Next item on the agenda,
Discussion of COVID-19 Sales Tax
Exemption Extension Policy.

MR. PAROLA: Flo, we need a motion; don't we?

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Okay. I'm sorry. I didn't realize we needed a motion.

MR. PAROLA: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll make the motion. Do $I$ have a second?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Second.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take
the vote. Flo Girardi is an aye.
Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.
Vanderhall?

MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: The ayes have it.

Next item on the agenda, Discussion of COVID-19 Sales Tax Exemption Extension Policy.

MR. LODATO: Fred, do you want to talk about this?

MR. PAROLA: Oh, I'm sorry. I don't have this.

MR. LODATO: This is the
meeting that you were --
MR. PAROLA: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm so sorry. The caption did not trigger anything in my cerebrum because I'm probably brain dead.

This is a policy that's been
adopted in Suffolk county. It is a policy that we're not going to make any money on it, but what it will do is provide up to $\$ 100,000$, so a little under $\$ 1$ million in investment, waiving the sales tax for any company that applies to us and is willing to convert its operation or some of its practices to creating gloves, masks, what have you, to the benefit of our medical people and for individuals who obviously need to be protected from the virus.

Yesterday, I had a discussion,
two discussions, one with Joe

Nocella, Chief of staff to the Supervisor and with Kathy Malone who is also in the Supervisor's office.

We separately discussed this
proposal and although the Town obviously had nothing to per se do with it, they were very favorable to it and urged its adoption informally
because they recognize that we need it now so we need the time for these companies to get moving and provide the incentive.

So on behalf of staff $I$ would recommend that you adopt. Although it's under discussion that you adopt it.

MS. LONGO: Just so the Board is aware, this policy is the same policy that has already been adopted by several IDAs around Long Island, including Suffolk and Brookhaven.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Okay. It
says "discussion" so does that mean
adoption? Approval?
MR. PAROLA: Pursuant to my
discussion yesterday, I think we would like to move it to adoption so that we act in a timely fashion now.

MR. MARSH: The question and
the issue $I$ have with this is I
think to the extent it's addressing the COVID-19 situation, it's too
little too late. Nobody is going to be able to go out and order the types of machinery that they are going to need to perform this type of manufacturing process that's going to come through within any period of time that will produce anything.

To me, this a "feel-good" type of resolution. I'm not against it, but I think it should be expanded to cover other types of pandemics that result in similar types of -- or they refer to it as at the cause as opposed to targeting just the COVID-19 because the problem is I think it's going to just look like we're pandering and we're not really providing any useful benefit to anybody. By the time somebody gets it to the point where they can order the machinery, we'll be obligated to pay the sales tax.

$$
\text { The other thing that } I \text { am }
$$

concerned about it guaranteeing extra sales tax exemptions when I was told that the Nassau County budget is running significantly behind primarily because of the lack of sales tax.

My question is, is it possible that we're just adding to that problem. But that's why I would like some more information on this. I think it's premature to vote on this today. It's not going to have any immediate affect anyway. I would like to see it explored further with respect to pandemics generally, and then $I$ think we should consider it in a thoughtful fashion. That's my opinion. MR. PAROLA: I think delay
would make what Mr. Marsh is articulating a reality. He doesn't know what's out there in terms of the ability of the American system. I mean a ventilator company invented
a new ventilator in the midwest within 30 days so to say this may
not have applicability is an
inappropriate comment.
MR. MARSH: Excuse me, Fred.
MR. PAROLA: Excuse me. I'm
not done.
MR. MARSH: Okay. Go ahead.
MR. PAROLA: In Suffolk County
they have already had companies within a few weeks adopt this and do something. So rather than do something than do nothing at all where there is a possibility where we can save lives.

MR. WEIR: I'm the one who
helped draft the project for Suffolk
County and Brookhaven. The day it
was adopted several companies
submitted applications to the Suffolk County IDA and they have already geared up and started -they ordered equipment. They already geared up and started
producing the PPEs for hospitals around Long Island including gowns and masks and so forth.

Also, with the with the governor's order that anybody going out needs masks, you know, there is a lot of companies out there who are switching over production right now so this is critical. This is not theoretical. Companies are using this and they do need limited benefits of $\$ 100,000$ and so it's a project that does work. It has been working and people are doing it right now. They are switching over production so they are keeping people employed who are coming into their companies and they are keeping people alive so it is, with all due respect, a very relevant and very important program.

MR. MARSH: Did somebody mention that it would be capped at \$1 million in benefits?
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MR. WEIR: No, it's $\$ 100,000$.
MR. MARSH: And there is no cap on it?

MR. WEIR: No, there's a cap of $\$ 100,000$ per --

MR. MARSH: Per applicant?
MR. WEIR: Per applicant.
MR. MARSH: But we have no idea what the ceiling could be of the benefits we're giving away. How are we going to police that once they've ordered the equipment it's, in fact, being used for the purpose of providing protective gear to the front line people?

How do we know that beyond them saying that's what we're doing with it?

MR. WEIR: The documents that we have drafted for suffolk IDA and Brookhaven IDA and we capture provision in there and the same reporting requirements as every IDA project we have.

MR. MARSH: Are those requirements being recited in this policy that we were given yesterday to look at?

MR. WEIR: The documents have all the requirements in them in the form of the equipment lease agreement.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Any other questions from the Board? I'm going to make a motion. Do I have a second?

MR. MAJKUT: Second the motion.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Flo Girardi is an aye.

Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?
MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?
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REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Motion is passed.

Next item on the agenda is annual compliance report update for 2019 .

MS. EAMES: Good morning, this
is Arlyn Eames, deputy financial officer. Can you hear me?

MS. LONGO: Yes.

MS. EAMES: We're in good shape as far as compliance from all of our projects for 2019. We were in the middle of preparing our reports to be sent out to the state agencies regarding project compliance when the Corona virus hit and unfortunately our auditing firm was not able to come to our office to audit our documents; therefore, we have been unable to submit anything to New York State.

The agency has advised the state of this and asked for an extension because we are currently unable to submit anything before it's been audited.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Arlyn, did they give you a timeframe, the auditing company, when they think they're going to come in or should we send them the records?

MS. EAMES: We can look into that possibility next week, but it is voluminous. It's not something that we can just e-mail. We would probably have to box up our documents and send them, copy them first perhaps.

We're going to be checking in with them again in this coming week but it's basically the New York on pause, stay at home orders that are preventing them from coming into our office and their own policies for visiting clients at this time.

> MR. MARSH: What was the state's response for your request to an extension?

MS. EAMES: The state's
response was no, they could not give us an extension, but we have no choice because we can't send a report that has not been audited by our accounting firm.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: So - -
MS. LONGO: This is Edie Longo.
The reason for the no response is that it requires the governor to sign an executive order or for new legislation to be put in place to extend the deadline, and they have not gotten to that. It's pretty similar to they still haven't gotten to the extension of the taxes unless they do that, but that's why. They can't override the governor or the regulations that govern the agency. MR. MARSH: Have they requested for an executive order?

MS. LONGO: I have no idea.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: As a laymen, I'm sure that we're not the only agency that has not submitted.

MR. WEIR: Again, this applies to every single authority in New York State, local and state, including large ones like the MTA, Dormitory Authority, Thruway Authority. It has been brought to the governor's attention and brought to the attention of New York State -- by the New York State EDC and allegedly they're working on an executive order right now. I think it's a little far down on the governor's list of things to do.

The ADO has said they
understand that people cannot file on time and that, you know, no action can be taken against anybody who cannot timely file. The governor has not issued an executive order yet.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Okay. Any other questions?

Next item on the agenda, reading and approval of minutes of the previous meeting. Consideration and adoption of the minutes of the March 26, 2020 meeting. I will make a motion to suspend --

MR. MARSH: Mr. Chairman, I would like to note a correction. I was, in fact, in attendance at that meeting. I'm referred to in the minutes of the meeting but it appears that $I$ was not marked present at the roll call which was incorrect.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: And then I move to approve it.

MR. MARSH: Okay.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Fred?

MR. PAROLA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Michael?
MR. LODATO: Yes.
MR. PAROLA: We'll correct
that. Those were not our minutes. Those are the transmission minutes so I will contact her today.

MR. MARSH: Subject to the revision, $I$ move that we waive it after correction.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi, second. I need a vote.

Mr. Majkut?
MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend Mallette?

REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: MS.

Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi is an aye.

Next item on the agenda, Report of the Treasurer, financial
statement and expenditure list for March 20 to April 16, 2020 .

REVEREND MALLETTE: Financial
statements and expenditure list from what I can see all looks in place and everything appears to be in order.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Very good.

Any questions?
Next item on the agenda, Committee Updates. We had the committee meeting this morning. I don't think any updates are needed so I will make a motion to adjourn today's IDA meeting.

MR. MARSH: Second.

CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: I'll take a vote. Mr. Majkut?

MR. MAJKUT: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Reverend
Mallette?
REVEREND MALLETTE: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Bedford?

MR. BEDFORD: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Ms.
Vanderhall?
MS. VANDERHALL: Aye.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Mr. Marsh?
MR. MARSH: Yes.
CHAIRMAN GIRARDI: Flo Girardi
is an aye. Meeting is adjourned.
(Time noted: 10:20 a.m.)
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|  |  | 45:16, 65:18 | applicants [1] - 32:23 | Autoworld [4] - 53:15, |
| $\begin{gathered} 2020[4]-1: 10,69: 8, \\ 71: 3,73: 13 \end{gathered}$ | A | AGENCY [1]-1:6 | application [6] - <br> 10:22, 12:2, 12: | $53: 24,54: 2,54: 4$ |
| $2021[2]-20: 25,21: 2$ |  | agency [3]-66:2, $67: 23,68: 5$ | $\text { 19:7, 19:11, } 24: 5$ | 11:21, 12:18, 13:5, |
| 2023 [1]-20:15 | ```a.m [2]-1:11, 72:10 abandoned [2] - 27:13, 27:19 ability [4] - 35:17, 36:22, 46:3, 60:24 able [10] - 20:13, 38:22, 39:20, 40:17, 43:9, 45:2, 49:20, 51:13, 59:3, 65:22 abreast [1] - 51:14``` | agenda [16] - 6:6, | applications [1] - | 35:20, 39:7 |
| 23 [1] - 1:10 |  | 7:18, 7:21, 7:23, | 61:21 | AVVENTO [4] - 2:17, |
| 24[2]-27:5, 28:9 |  | 8:16, 12:17, 25:5, | applies [2] - 57:8, 68:6 | 24:7, 25:9, 25:12 |
| $24.6[1]-28: 11$ |  | $49: 8,50: 23,53: 14$ | Appointment [1] 49:9 | Avvento [3]-17:23, 23:5, 25:11 |
| $2500[2]-30: 3,37: 16$ |  | $55: 8,56: 12,65: 7,$ $69: 4,70: 24,71: 11$ | 49:9 | $23: 5,25: 11$ <br> awarded [1] - 32:16 |
| 26 [1] - 69:8 |  | $69: 4,70: 24,71: 11$ | appreciate [1] - 25:14 <br> Approval ${ }_{[1]}$ - 13:19 | awarded [1] - 32:16 <br> aware [3]-6:15, |
| 3 |  | agree [5] - 35:16, | approval [10] - 13:21, | 18:17, 58:11 |
| 3 [4]-21:5, 23:2, |  | 35:25, 47:22, 47:24 | 20:3, 25:13, 28:14, | Aye [4]-4:12, 4:15, |
|  |  | agreed [1] - 9:11 | 28:16, 28:24, 31:24, | 4:18, 5:12 |
|  |  | Agreement [3] - | 53:15, 58:17, 69:5 | aye [47] - 4:23, 5:15, |



| 6, 69:6 | cover [1] - 59:13 | default [1] - 54:23 | dollars [2] - 39:21, | end [2]-34:5, 40:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| constantly [1] - 51:22 | COVID-19[6]-21:3, | delay [3]-19:11, | 43:10 | ends [1] - 51:15 |
| construct [2]-20:5, $27: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 24,55: 9,56: 13, \\ & 58: 25,59: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 19:16, 60:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{DOLLY}_{[2]}-73: 3, \\ & 73: 17 \end{aligned}$ | entered [2] - 18:18 <br> entire [1] - 38:13 |
| 27:23 <br> constructing [1] - | $\begin{gathered} 58: 25,59: 17 \\ \text { crazy }[1]-25: 16 \end{gathered}$ | delays [2] - 19:3, 19:6 <br> deliver [1] - 33:5 | $73: 1$ <br> Dolly | entire [1] - 38:13 |
| 34:6 | create [1] - 15:24 | delivery [2]-42:10 | done [3]-33:6, 34:17, | 48:20 |
| construct | creating [5] - 38: | 4:23 | 61:8 | entity [1] - 18:4 |
| 21:11, 28:8, 28:16, $28: 19,30: 19,30: 25,$ | $41: 2,43: 17,47: 9$ | Deluxe [5] - $26: 9$, | Donnelly ${ }_{[1]}-2: 8$ | equipment [3]-61:24, |
| 31:20, 32:8, 33:22, | creation [2]-36:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 18, \\ & 37: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { double [2] - 20:7, } 31: 9$ | $\text { ERIC }_{[1]}-2: 1$ |
| 34:23, 45:18, 47:7, | 39:9 | demanding [1] - 51:23 | doubling [2] - 35:4, | escrow [1] - 10:3 |
| :12 | cr | demolish [1]-27:17 | 40:21 | especially [2] - 15:22, |
| contact [1]-70:4 |  | department [2]-19:3, | down [4]-8:16, 40:9, | 45:4 |
| contemplated [3] | critical [1] - 62:10 | 19:5 | 49:15, 68:17 | ESQ ${ }_{[1]}-2: 16$ |
| 18:20, 19:22, 20:2 | CubeSmart [2] - | Department [2] - |  | $\text { Esq }_{[1]}-2: 22$ |
| $31: 15$ | current [5] - 12:1 | deputy [1] - 65:1 | - 34:25 | al [1] - 51: |
| continue [2]-15:2, | 28:21, 31:5, 31:9 | design [2]-12:6, | drugs [1] - 42:4 | events [1] - 43:24 |
| 52:13 | customers [5] - 21:9, | 29:1 | due [4]-19:2, 21:3, | exceeding [1] - 24:3 |
| continued [1] - 19:13 | 30:16, 36:24, 38:22, | determined [1] - 19:20 | 54:14, 62:20 | Exchange [1]-27:4 |
| continuing [1] - 36:13 | 43:17 | develop [1] - 27:7 | during [5] - 8:18, | excuse [2] - 61:6, 61:7 |
| Contracting/ Compliance [1] | cut [1] - 40:6 | developed [1]-26:25 <br> developer [1] - 26:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:11, 19:15, 25:15, } \\ & 44: 4 \end{aligned}$ | execute [1] - 55:4 executive [8] - $3: 2$ |
| 49:10 | D | developing [1] - 23:25 |  | \% |
| contractor [1] - 42:7 |  | DEVELOPMENT ${ }_{[1]}$ | E | 68:16, 68:24 |
| 32:25, 34:8, 44:24, | D'AGOSTIN 2:14, $7: 24$, | develo |  | 30:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:17 } \\ & \text { contracts [2] - 32:16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 14,7 \\ & 10: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:11, 32:15, 41:1 } \\ & \text { developments }[1] \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | EAMES $_{[6]}-2: 5,2: 6$, <br> $65 \cdot 10,65 \cdot 14,66 \cdot 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exemption [2]-55:10, } \\ & 56: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 32:22 | D'Agostino [4]-2:14, 7:25, 9:4, 11:4 | 51:14 | 65:10, 65:14, 66:12, | exemptions [1] - 60:3 |
| conversation [1] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dan }[5]-8: 5,25: 24 \\ & 36: 3,45: 25 \end{aligned}$ | different [4] - 12:3 $43: 3,45: 2,53: 2$ | Eames [1] - 65:11 <br> early $[2]$ - 14:20, 48:23 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { existing [4] - 18:22, } \\ \text { 19:23, 40:13, 43:8 } \end{array}$ |
| 11:17 | $\text { dan }[1]-2: 2$ | difficult [1] - 35:1 | easier $[1]-45: 6$ | expand [2]-19:21 |
| convert [1] - 57:9 | DANIEL [1] - 2:13 | Directors [1] - 2:9 | sy [1] - 33:2 | 20:19 |
| convinced [1] - 16:3 copiers [1] - 42:12 | date [3]-11:24, 12:18, | discontinuance [2] | mic [1] | expanded $[1]-59: 12$ |
| $\text { copy }[1]-66: 17$ | 20:25 | d | $\text { EDC }_{[1]}-68: 14$ | Expansion [1] - 17:16 |
| Corona [1] - 65:20 | dated [1] - 18:19 | $\text { uss [1] - } 4 \text { : }$ | Edie [2]-49:22, 67:12 |  |
| correct [5] - 4:8, | Dave [1] - 7: | ussed [2] - 31:1 | EDIE [1]-2: | $\text { expect }[2]-8: 7,36$ |
| 23:16, 39:18, 45:24, | DAVID [1] - 2 | 57:21 | Edie's [1]-49:18 | $\text { expected }_{[1]}-20: 17$ |
| 69:25 | David [1] - 12:21 | Discussion [1] - 56:13 | efficient ${ }_{[1]}$ - 33:3 | expenditure [2] - 71:2, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { correction [2] - 69:11, } \\ & 70: 7 \end{aligned}$ | Davidoff [2] - 17:20, 17:22 | discussion [8] - 9:3, | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { effort }[2]-13: 8,49: 14 \\ \text { either }[2]-41: 7,73: 8 \end{array}$ | $71: 5$ |
| Coslov [1]-2:23 | days [1]-61:3 | $: 16,58: 8,58: 16$ | eluded [1]-37:11 | expensive [1] - 36:15 |
| cost [2] - 20:16, 28:9 | d | 58:19 | emphasized [1] - | experience [2] - 36:6, |
| costs [1] - 39:13 | deadline [1] - 67:17 | discussions [3]-9:2, | 31:17 | 41:21 |
| counsel [3]-9:13, | deal [2] - 46:6, 46:9 | 9:5, 57:17 | employ [3]-20:1 | explored [1] - 60:15 |
| 10:13, 54:2 | dealership [3] - 18:10 | distributor ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:12 | $20: 14,40: 9$ | extend [1] - 67:17 |
| County [8] - 14:15, 20:23, $46: 8,57: 2$, | $\begin{gathered} \text { 27:16, 27:18 } \\ \text { decide }[1]-40: 5 \end{gathered}$ | district [6] - 9:2, 9:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { employed [2] - 36:25, } \\ & 62: 18 \end{aligned}$ | extension [5] - 20: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:23, 46:8, 57:2, } \\ & \text { 60:4, 61:10, 61:19, } \end{aligned}$ | decline [2] - 29:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:2, 10:5, 10:18, } \\ & 10: 22 \end{aligned}$ | employee [4] - 18:23, | $66: 4,67: 4,67: 7,$ |
| 61:22 | Deegan [5]-2:22, 8:5, | District [2] - 9:9, 10:14 | 19:2, 20:9, 40:6 | Extension [2]-55:10, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { couple }[4]-6: 5 \text {, } \\ & 39: 20,45: 24,54: 3 \\ & \text { course }[2]-32: 24, \\ & 41: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deegan }[5]-2: 22,8: 5, \\ & 25: 24,25: 25 \\ & \text { DEEGAN }[11]-25: 23, \\ & 32: 11,33: 23,34: 21, \end{aligned}$ | disturbance ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 54:20 <br> DJs [1] - 43:25 <br> documentation [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { employees [9] - 18:25, } \\ & \text { 20:12, 20:14, 33:10, } \\ & 33: 17,34: 15,34: 18, \\ & 40: 10,43: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 56:14 extent [1]-58:24 extra [1] - 60:3 |
| Court [1]-1:17 <br> court [1] - 10:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 17,37: 10,38: 7, \\ & 39: 3,40: 19,46: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $38: 25$ | employment [3] 38:6, 39:17, 43:1 | F |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { covenant }[3]-18: 24, \\ & 35: 10,35: 24 \end{aligned}$ | deemed [1] - 51:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 20,63: 20,64: \\ & 65: 23,66: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 22:10 | facilitates [1] - 38:10 |


| facilitating [1] - 36:21 | 70:22, 72:7 | 50:9, 53:12, 55:21 | 23, 43:21, | 7:10, 9:12, 9:21, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { facilities [3]-29:12, } \\ & 36: 8,45: 9 \end{aligned}$ | FLORESTANO [2] <br> 1:13, 2:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 64: 16,70: 8,70: 22, \\ & 72: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $44: 16,44: 17$ <br> guaranteeing | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 4,18: 17,28: 14, \\ & 30: 22,51: 3,54: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| facility [11] - 19:21 | following [2] - 40:11, | GIRARDI $_{[111]}-1: 13$, | 60:2 | 2, 61:22, 63:2 |
| 26:12, 27:8, 27:25, | 51:6 | 10, 3:2, 3:7, 3:10 | Guerra [3]-3:21 | 63:22, 63:24, 71:16 |
| 29:16, 29:17, 36:18, | foot [6] - 20:5, 27:14, | 3:13, 3:15, 3:24, 4:8, | 5:25, 13:4 | IDA's [6] - 28:15, |
| 36:24, 42:3, 42:16, | 27:24, 42:16, 44:12 | 4:13, 4:16, 4:19 | guess [2]-7:7, 8:1 | :21, 31:21, 32:4, |
| 44:5 | Forchelli [2]-2:22 | 4:21, 4:24, 5:9, 5:13, | guess.. [1] - 7:15 | :11, 55:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fact }[3]-11: 14,63: 13, \\ & 69: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 25:25 | 5:16, 5:19, 6:4, 6:19, |  | IDAs [1] - 58:13 |
|  | Ford [1] - 18:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 24,7: 6,7: 16,7: 20, \\ & 8: 21,11: 3,12: 19 \\ & 13: 13,14: 9,15: 10 \\ & 16: 10,16: 16,16: 21, \end{aligned}$ | H | idea [4]-29:16, 30:10, |
| familiar [1] - 11 | 4.25, 64:8 |  |  | tified [1] - 32:12 |
| far [4]-32:20, $52: 5$, $65: 15,68: 17$ | forth [2]-10:21, 62:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:10, 16:16, 16:21, } \\ & \text { 16:25, 17:4, 17:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { hand }[1]-73: 13$ | ill [2] - 3:22, 5:25 |
| fashion [2]-58:21, | forward [5] - 21 | 17:11, 21:1 | 1] - 49:4 | 60:14 |
| 60:19 | 22:11, 47:23, 48:21, | 24:9, 24:13 | ly [1] - 34: | immediately [3] - |
| favorable [1] - 57:24 | 54:24 | 16, 24:19, 24:21, | [1] - 3:2 | 31:8, 31:21, 35:3 |
| feasible [1] - 30:20 | forwarded [1] - 9:13 | 24:24, 25:2, 25:10, | hargraves [1] - 5:24 <br> head [1]-23:3 | impact [3] - 28:5, |
| February [1]-18:19 feel-good [1] - 59:10 | four $[5]-19: 9,27: 24$, $33: 14,33: 16,34: 17$ | 46:24, 47:15, 47:19, | heading $[1]-32$ | 35:6, 41:13 |
| feet ${ }_{[1]}-18: 23$ | four-story [1]-27:2 | 48:2, 48:6, 48:11, | health [1]-8:14 | important [3] - 30:7, |
| FEVOLA [2] - 73:3, | FRANK [1] - 2:17 | 49:6, 49:24, 50:4 | Ithy $[1]-25: 1$ | 31:25, 62:22 |
| 73:17 | Frank [1] - 17:2 | 50:8, 50:11, 50:14 | hear [5] - 8:8, 10:1 | imported [1] - 34:11 |
| Fevola [1] - 1: | FRED [1] - 2:4 | 50:19, 50:21 | 33:13, 35:9, 65:12 | improvement [1] - |
| few [6]-8:25, 14:7, | Fred [3] - 56:15, 61:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 16,52: 19,52: 23, \\ & 53: 2,53: 5,53: 7, \end{aligned}$ | held [1] - 10:3 <br> help [1] - 25:14 | $35: 13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14: 18,39: 21,51: 11 \\ & 61: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $69: 2$ $\text { free }{ }_{[2]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 2,53: 5,53: 7, \\ & 53: 10,53: 12,55: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { help }{ }_{[1]}-25: 14 \\ & \text { helped }[1]-61: 18 \end{aligned}$ | IN [2] - 1:4, 73:12 in-house [1]-32:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { file }[3]-49: 20,68: 20, \\ & 68: 23 \end{aligned}$ | Freeport [1]-13:15 front [4]-6:20, 7:9, | $\begin{aligned} & : 13,55: 17,55: 20, \\ & : 24,56: 3,56: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { helping }[2]-36: 1 \\ & 39: 12 \end{aligned}$ | inappropriate [1] - 61:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { filings }[2]-49: 18, \\ & 51: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $8: 3,63: 16$ | 8, 56:10, 58:1 | PSTEAD ${ }_{[1]}-1: 5$ | incentive [1] - 58:5 |
|  |  | 1, 64:24, 65:3 | Hempstead [23]-2:3, | include [3] - 32:25, |
| fill [1] - 23:5 <br> finally ${ }_{[1]}$ - 9:22 <br> financial $[4]-39: 10$, $65: 11,70: 25,71: 4$ | $34: 18,40: 18,47: 10$ | 5, 66:7, 67:11 | $23,$ | 39:5, 39:24 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { full-time }[4]-33: 9, \\ & 33: 17,34: 18,47: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 68:3, 69:2, 69:18, | :13, 11:15, 12:24, | includes [1] - 20:21 including [6] - 18:13, |
|  |  | 21, 69:23, 70:8 | $: 16,13: 18,13: 23,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { including [6]- 18:13, } \\ 28: 10,46: 3,58: 14, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { financially }[1]-30: 20 \\ & \text { fine }[4]-8: 21,11: 17, \\ & 11: 19,24: 8 \end{aligned}$ | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :12, 70:15, 70:17, } \\ & : 20,70: 22,71: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 0:4, 21:12, 32:9, | 62:3, 68:9 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 18,71: 21,71: 24, \\ & 72: 2,72: 5,72: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 51:3, 53:20 | incorrect ${ }^{11]}$ - 69:17 increase [3] - 31:14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { finish }[1]-23: 25 \\ & \text { finished [2] - 10:9, } \\ & \text { 10:10 } \end{aligned}$ |  | given [2]-31:17, 64:4 | hereby [1] - 73: hereunto [1] | 38:6, 38:8 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 17:17, 17:23, 18:3, <br> 18:7, 18:8, 18:18, | gloves [1] - 57:11 | $\text { high }[1]-18: 10$ | incubator [1] - 42:21 indicate ${ }^{[1]}$ - 38:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { firm [3] - 47:5, 65:21, } \\ & 67: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:9, 19:17, 19:19, } \\ & \text { 20:3, 20:8, 20:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { goal }[3]-27: 7,33: 25, \\ & 46: 19 \end{aligned}$ | hire [2]-14:14, 36:22 | indicated ${ }^{[1]}$ - 12:16 |
|  | $20: 18,20: 24,21: 11$ | goals [1]-29 | [1]-65:20 | individuals [1] - 57:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Firm }[1]-25: 25 \\ & \text { firmer }[1]-47: 23 \\ & \text { first }[7]-8: 13,8: 24, \\ & 13: 25,37: 14,40: 20, \end{aligned}$ | gabrielli [1] - 2:15 | $\operatorname{God}_{[1]}-25: 1$ | home [2] - 51: 66:22 | induce [1] - 22:15 |
|  | Gabrielli's [2]-19:12, | govern [1] - 67:2 | hope [1] - $25: 1$ | Inducement |
|  |  |  | ully [3] - 32:2 | 17:15, 25 :7 |
| fits [1] - 44:20 <br> five [4] - 46:4, 46:5, <br> 46:11, 46:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gear }[1]-63: 15^{\text {geared }[4]-26: 12,} \\ & 27: 8,61: 23,61: 25 \end{aligned}$ | governor [3] - 67:1 | 36:19, 46:14 | inducement [2] |
|  |  | $67: 22,68: 24$ | hours [1] - | 21:19, 23:2 |
|  |  | governor's [3] - 62:6, | hours [1] - 51:18 <br> house [1] - 32:21 | industrial [1] - 44:10 INDUSTRIAL [1]-1:5 |
| fix [1] - 49:13 | generate [1]-28:19 | 12, 68:18 | hundred [2] - 39:21 | industry [3]-21:7, |
|  |  | gowns [1] - 62:3 <br> Graham [2]-2.24 | Hutcher [2]-17:20, | 37:12, 47:12 |
| fixes [1] - 42:12 <br> flexible [3] - 38:11, <br> 39:14, 52:14 | generating [2] - 34:14 <br> generation [1]-28:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grana } \\ \text { 26:6 } \end{gathered}$ | 17:22 | informal [3] - 6:1 |
|  |  | grant [1] - 15:1 | HVAC [1] - 42:7 | 11:12, 12:15 |
| flo [2]-4:21, 64:16 | ged | granting [2]-15:14 |  | informally $[1]-57: 2$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Flo }[13]-3: 15,16: 17, \\ \text { 16:22, 25:2, 46:25, } \\ 50: 4,50: 9,53: 12, \\ 55: 11,55: 21,70: 8, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Girardi [14] - } 3: 15, \\ & 4: 21,16: 17,16: 22, \\ & 25: 2,46: 25,50: 4, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | grow [6] - 19:13, | [17] - 2:3, 5:5 | initiated [1] - 9:25 <br> initiatives [1]-48:16 |



| 54:10, 54:13, 54:14 | 63:5, 63:7, 63:8, | 49:9, 51:23, 61:2, | $36: 3,39: 22,44: 20$ | $49: 11,50: 2,50: 25$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| most [3]-49:2, 50:25, | 63:9, 63:20, 64:2, | 67:1 | 17, 57:17, 61:1 | 52:18, 53:24, 55:11, |
| 51:9 | 64:6, 64:14, 64:18, | next [16] - 7:21, 11:20, | one-time [1] - 9:1 | 55:16, 56:17, 56:21, |
| mostly [1] - 9:3 | :20, 65:4, 67:2 | 17, 13:9, 17:13 | ones [1] - 68: | 20, |
| motion [26]-3:25, | 67:24, 68:6, 69:10, | 5:5, 49:8, 50:23 | open [2] - 32:24, 33:4 | 61:10, 69:22, 69:25 |
| 4:5, 4:24, 5:6, 5:8, | 69:20, 69:22, 69:24, | 14, 55:8, 56:12, | operating [1] - 18:4 | rola [2] - 6:2, 48:13 |
| 15:4, 16:8, 16:13, | 69:25, 70:5, 70:11, | 65:7, 66:13, 69:4, | operation [1] - 57:10 | part [3] - 9:22, 46:6, |
| 6:17, 16:18, 17:12, | 70:16, 70:21, 71:17, | 70:24, 71:11 | operations [3] - 19:18, | 51:9 |
| 24:11, 46:22, 48:7, | 71:20, 71:25, 72:6 | nice [1] - 52: | 20:20, 21:10 | participate [1] - 11:16 |
| 49:5, 49:25, 50:21, | MS [21] - 3:12, 4:18, | Nicholas [1] - 2:23 | operator [2] - 26:22 | parties [2] - 43:25, |
| 52:20, 55:12, 55:15, | 17:3, 24:12, 24:23 | Nick [1] - $26: 5$ | 39:11 | 73:8 |
| 55:18, 64:12, 64:14, | 47:18, 50:13, 52:22, | Nixon [2] - 2:7, 54:3 | opinion [2]-34:20 | party [2]-43:2, 44:2 |
| 65:5, 69:9, 71:15 | 53:9, 56:2, 58:10, | nobody [2] - 6:6, 59:2 | 60:19 | passed [4] - 17:12, |
| move [14] - 3:25, 4:25, | 64:23, 65:10, 65:13, | Nocella [1] - 57:18 | Oppenheimer [2] - | 25:4, 50:22, 65:6 |
| 5:20, 7:17, 13:21, | 65:14, 66:12, 67:5, | non [2] - 34:15, 54:20 | 3:20, 5:23 | PAUL [1] - 2:17 |
| 13:24, 17:13, 21:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:12, 68:2, 70:19, } \\ & 70: 1 \end{aligned}$ | non-disturbance [1] - | opportunity [1] - | Paul [3] - 17:23, 23:5, |
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